Research Article

Hurdles for Starting Ministernotomy Aortic Valve Replacement Program

Abstract

Research article regarding hurdles for starting ministernotomy Aortic valve replacement program in Grant Medical College, Mumbai and techniques to overcome them. Here we studied twenty patients of aortic valve replacement surgery out of which ten are operated by ministernotomy and ten by full sternotomy in our institution, from May 2013 to May 2016. Middle age patients are selected out of which seven had regurgitant and three had stenotic lesion of aortic valve. Our observations are sternotomy time was more in initial cases but it decreased with experience. We faced difficulties in deairing heart and giving shock with routine internal shock paddles. It required special sterile external shock paddles. We required conversion to full sternotomy in two patients because heart continued to fibrillate even giving shock with paediatric internal shock paddles. CPB time, cross clamp time, CCU stay was same as compared to full sternotomy AVR patients. Cosmetically incision was better. Even with early difficulties and hurdles we continued our efforts to improve and succeeded in it. Ministernotomy AVR will always maintain its place in between full sternotomy AVR and minithoracotomy AVR.

Introduction

In this era of percutaneous interventions and minimal invasive surgery, ministernotomy aortic valve replacement is good option to start minimal invasive cardiac surgery in any institute. Aortic valve replacement seems more feasible through ministernotomy as aorta is anterior structure and cannulation required can be done through exposed aorta and right atrium [1]. Most importantly it can be done with same instruments that are used in conventional full sternotomy aortic valve replacement. Patients with same criteria (Table 1), are selected and divided into two groups. In Group one 10 cases undergone ministernotomy AVR and in Group two 10 cases undergone conventional full sternotomy AVR. Observations in both groups are compared.

Patients and Methods

Twenty patients of aortic valve replacement surgery - ten by ministernotomy and ten by full sternotomy studied at our institution Grant Medical College, Mumbai from May 2013 to May 2016.

Table 1: Patient Selection Criteria In Group 1 and Group 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection Criteria</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male/Female</td>
<td>6/4</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age Group</td>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>20-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic Annulus</td>
<td>20-22</td>
<td>20-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regurgitant/Stenotic lesion</td>
<td>7/3</td>
<td>7/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathology</td>
<td>Rheumatic 7/Bicuspid 3</td>
<td>Rheumatic 7/Bicuspid 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In both groups, techniques used for heparinisation, cannulation (Figure 1), going on bypass, aortic valve excision (Figure 2a,b), mechanical valve used, suturing technique (pledgetted ethibond with pledget on left ventricle side), all are same. Intraopt and postopt observations in both groups are compared.

Intraopt observation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ministernotomy AVR</th>
<th>Full sternotomy AVR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sternotomy to cross clamp time</td>
<td>30 minutes[20-40]</td>
<td>30 minutes[20-40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cross clamp time</td>
<td>60 minutes[40-90]</td>
<td>60 minutes[40-90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closure time</td>
<td>25 minutes[20-40]</td>
<td>30 minutes[20-40]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: All canulations as routine. Arterial aortic cannula, venous dual stage cannula in right atrial appendage and cardioplegia cannula in ascending aorta.

Figure 2: a). Adequate aortic valve exposure. b). No difficulty in sizing the aortic annulus.
Postoperative Observation

- Single pericardial drain was placed which was removed after 48 hours and incision size was 5 cm only (Figure 3a,b).
- Less pain (pain score reduced to 6).
- Early mobilisation and discharge from hospital.
- No reexploration.
- Reoperation required in one patient due to significant paravalvular leak cause may be defective surgical technique.
- No Mortality.

Comparison [2,3]

- Sternotomy time was more in initial cases of ministernotomy group but it decreased with experience.
- CPB time, cross clamp time, CCU stay was same as compared to full sternotomy AVR patients.
- Ministernotomy incision was cosmetically superior and without any complications as compared to full sternotomy incision.
- Pain was less in ministernotomy group.

Discussion

Starting ministernotomy aortic valve replacement program was challenging. What hurdles we faced and techniques to overcome them are discussed here.

Sternotomy

Initial cases it was slightly difficult and time consuming to do ministernotomy but with time and experience duration became less. For easy access to right atrial appendage and for insertion of vent through LA-RSPV junction, we preferred right side ministernotomy that is J shaped rather than L shaped. It was preferable to keep the saw side by in sterile tray after ministernotomy so that in emergency if full sternotomy required can be done easily [4].

First the suprasternal notch and xiphoid are marked and then 2 cm below suprasternal notch manubriosternal joint was marked. 5 cm incision was taken starting from manubriosternal joint (Figure 4) towards xiphoid.

Pursestring and suturing

It was preferable to take pursestring by open hand grip method of holding the needle holder (Figure 5). It allows use of minimum space without any difficulty.

Deairing

Difficulty in deairing because of limited exposure and enlarged left ventricle. It overcome by giving hot shot and deairing through left atrium roof and appendage by direct needle aspiration. It can be overcome by use of carbon dioxide insufflation if available. Transesophageal echocardiography was useful for complete deairing.

Massaging

Proper cardiac massage was difficult due to limited exposure and enlarged left ventricle. As a result heart tends to fill with blood and become distended causing subendocardial ischemia hampering the myocardial protection.

Shock delivery

Due to difficulty in deairing and massaging, heart tend to fibrillate and even by using pediatric shock paddles it was not possible to reach left ventricle through ministernotomy route. Two of our first patients required conversion to full sternotomy for same. Use of disposable external shock paddles (Figure 6) become important prerequisite for ministernotomy surgeries.

Advantages of ministernotomy aortic valve replacement

Without increase in operative time, ministernotomy provides adequate exposure, good cosmesis and less pain. Main hurdle...
encountered was while delivering shock when heart was fibrillating and overcome by using disposable external shock paddles. It preserves respiratory mechanics. Cost savings as no new equipment was required. Early mobilization and reduced hospital stay. Ease of conversion to full sternotomy. Redosternotomy becomes easier [5,6].

Postopt 2 D Echo of first ministernotomy AVR patient showed significant paravalvular leak due to surgical technique problem requiring reoperation within 20 days.

Disadvantages of ministernotomy aortic valve replacement

Inability to visualize whole heart. Difficulty in deairing the heart. Difficulty in applying the epicardial pacing wires so it is better to apply when patient is on CPB. Limited control in case of haemorrhage. Steep learning curve.

Conclusion

Disposable external shock paddles and transesophageal echocardiography is must. Ministernotomy AVR though technically challenging but with increased surgical experience, it offer results comparable to the conventional full sternotomy AVR. Ministernotomy AVR will always maintain its place in between full sternotomy AVR and minithoracotomy AVR. Even with early difficulties and operative hurdles we continued our efforts to improve and succeeded in it.

Limitation of our study

➢ Number of cases studied are small.
➢ Patients above forty years of age are not in study.
➢ Degenerative and calcific aorta are get excluded from study.
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