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Abstract

Background: Cervical cancer is the fourth most common cancer and a leading cause of death due to cancer, in female population worldwide. Prevention is performed via the Papanicolaou test. Since 90ies, this test can be performed via two methods: the conventional method, where cells are layered on a glass slide, immediately after their extraction and Liquid Based Cytology (LBC), where cells are stored in a vial containing a special liquid.

Purpose of the study: To evaluate the performance of conventional and LBC Papanicolaou test in technical level, by assessing the diagnostic performance as well as the disadvantages of each method, and to carry out an economic evaluation via cost effectiveness analysis between the two methods. For this purpose we analyzed the results of 23,604 conventional tests and the equal number of LBC tests.

Results: LBC had better performance than conventional Papanicolaou test. The percentage of inadequate samples was reduced by 37.8%, the percentage of ambiguous examination results was reduced by 52.6%. LBC additionally detects significantly higher number of squamous intraepithelial neoplasias (27.7% improvement on LSIL and 19.1% on HSIL). In terms of cost effectiveness, the analysis showed that the cost for every gained life year when applying LBC is less that 50€, significantly lower than the amount of 50,000$ proposed by insurance organizations.

Conclusions: LBC Papanicolaou test presents significantly better performance characteristics than the conventional test, it also enables the application of modern ancillary examinations relevant to the HPV lifecycle and moreover, the associated extra cost for the gained life years is significantly low.
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Background

Cervical cancer (CxCa) is the fourth most common cancer in women (after breast, colorectal, and lung cancers) and a leading cause of cancer death in females worldwide [1]. More than 85% of these cases and deaths are in developing countries; this is due to lack of screening that may allow detection of precancerous and early stage cervical cancer. Despite the advances in screening, cervical cancer remains a serious problem of public health even in developed countries, due to detection failures [2].

Since the introduction of cytopathology in 1928 [3] and the application of the popular Papanicolaou test (test Pap) [4,5] the method of sampling, preparation and evaluation of conventional cervicovaginal smears has not changed drastically. The combination of the low cost and the high levels of diagnostic accuracy contributed to the method popularity. Scientific data indicate that the periodic examination of women using Papanicolaou test leads to a reduction of mortality from cervical cancer by 70%.

ThinPrep® Pap test is a Liquid Based Cytology (LBC) method, representing the first, after 50 years, evolution of classical Papanicolaou test (Conventional Cytology - CC). This method initiates changes in the way of fixation and production of slides which enhance dramatically the smear quality. Due to this reason, ThinPrep Pap test was authorized in 1996 by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) of U.S.A. as a replacement to the conventional Papanicolaou test. In ThinPrep, the smears, instead of layering on the glass slides immediately after their extraction form the cervix, they are collected using a sampling device which is rinsed into a vial containing a fixative solution (PreserveCyt®). The vial is then transported to the cytopathology laboratory where a slide is prepared by specialized modalities that create a single layer of cells on the slide with total area less than 50% comparing to the area of a conventional slide. The remaining biological material in the LBC vial can be used for molecular techniques.
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The cytological findings, irrelevant of the applied method (CC or LBC), are nowadays reported and formulated according to the revised Bethesda classification system (TBS2001 system) [6,7]. The management of women according to the diagnostic categories proposed by the TBS2001 is:

1. **Inadequate**: in this case the Papanicolaou test should be repeated.

2. **WNL (Within Normal Limits)**: No clinical approach is required, the test should be repeated after a few years (three of five according to the applied national strategy)

3. **ASCUS (Atypical Squamous Cells of Undetermined Significance)** or AGUS (Atypical Glandular Cells of Undetermined Significance): The woman is requested to perform colposcopy (or cytological examination after a period).

4. **LSIL (Low grade Squamous Intraepithelial Lesion)**: The woman is requested to repeat Papanicolaou test. According to the scientific literature 25% of LSIL cases progresses to HSIL, 25% progresses to cancer and 50% regress, as this procedure takes many years.

5. **HSIL (High grade Squamous Intraepithelial Lesion)**: The woman should be treated; the applied surgical treatment is conization. After therapy the survival rate is similar to that of healthy women.

6. **Cancer cases**: surgical treatment is performed, followed by radiotherapy; the expected survival is 5 years.

CxCa is known to be caused almost always by human papillomavirus (HPV) infection which is the commonest sexually transmitted infection worldwide. There are about 100 types of HPV virus that can infect humans. Among them, 15 are oncogenic and may cause CxCa. The improved understanding of HPV infection along with the natural history of cervical neoplasias have nowadays, resulted in the addition of the HPV DNA test along with the Papanicolaou test as ancillary test and frequently reported as a competing test. In the scientific literature 25% of LSIL cases progresses to HSIL, 25% progresses to cancer and 50% regress, as this procedure takes many years.
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Greek health care environment.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study population**

In order to compare the performance of the CC and the LBC, 23,604 conventional smears from the same number of women were analysed and 23,604 ThinPrep smears from equal number of different women. The smears were collected from 1/2/2006 until 31/1/2007.

The study was performed at the departments of cytopathology of a) University General Hospital ATTIKON b) “Alexandra” Hospital and c) “Agia Olga” Hospital, all located in Athens, Greece. The study was confromant to the Helsinki declaration; as there are no interventions in the participating women, there was no requirement to obtain signed informed consent forms from the study population.

**Methods**

The cytological findings were formulated according to the revised Bethesda classification system (TBS2001 system) [6,7]. We considered that the standard clinical approach is applied, according to each diagnostic category as presented in the background section.

In order to address the cost of the various examinations and treatments, we used the costs as suggested by the Greek National Health System, specifically:

- **Cost of conventional Papanicolaou test:** Includes the cost of woman admission, consumables, the health professional time costs, and the time required to be spent by the woman (about 4 hours). This cost was: 15.99 €.

- **Cost of ThinPrep Pap test:** In this case the required consumables are different, due to the use of a vial containing the liquid and the application of a technique to create single layer specimens, which requires the use of an additional filter by a device (ThinPrep Processor: TP2000). The depreciation percentage equals to 20% of the device price per year. The cost of ThinPrep Pap test was calculated to be 25.94 €, including depreciation.

- **Cost of treatment:** The price that insurance organizations reimburse the hospital was used as treatment cost. This cost is for colposcopy: 11.74€, for conization 103.00€ and for cancer therapy (surgical treatment, medication and radiotherapy) 3,276.40€ (mean value).

**Assessment:** In order to assess the results with orientation to the outcome of the health status of women and the effectiveness of diagnosis, two parameters were considered: the calculation of years of life saved (YoLS) and the Cost to “win” a single YoLS (Cost/YoLS), being the fraction of the additional cost required for ThinPrep Pap test by the total years of life gained in the study population.

For the calculation of the YoLS, we considered the life expectancy of Greek women as it is reported by the National Statistics Institute, which is 80.7 years. As the average age of women in this study is 55 years and the life expectancy of women with CxCa is 5 years, the YoLS for every woman diagnosed at an early stage of the disease and therefore does not progress to cancer are 20.7 years.

**Results**

The results of the CEA are summarized in table 1. Initially we calculated the cost of the first round examinations for CC and LBC, this was 377,427.96 € and 612,287.76 € respectively (i.e. 234,859.80 € higher cost for LBC). Subsequently we added the cost required to repeat examinations due to inadequate samples. Specifically, with CC it was required to repeat 5,556 tests, while via LBC 3,456 more tests. This increased the cost of LBC by 808.20€.

However, due to the higher performance of ThinPrep Pap test, there were improved health outcomes, specifically:

- 597 more cases of ASCUS and AGUS had to undergo colposcopy as CC resulted in 1,134 such cases and ThinPrep cytology in 537 (table 1). These women, according to the applied policy, had to be examined via colposcopy, this had an increased cost in CC by 7,008.78 € (which is counted as benefit of LBC and thus is subtracted)

- ThinPrep enabled monitoring of 135 (621 – 486) more cases of LSIL which were not detected by CC. Those women had to be called again to repeat test Papanicolaou. This results in 8,337.60€ additional cost of LBC (as test Papanicolaou via LBC costs about 10€ higher than CC)

- For 21 (132-11) CC missed to detect a HSIL, these women are referred to colposcopy (cost 103.00€/case), this increased the cost of LBC by 2,163.00€.

- Finally there were no differences in the number of detected Ca cases, 51 cases which had to be treated (cost 3,276.40€ / case).

Summarizing at this stage (see table 1) the **cost of interventions** for CC was 665,882.10€ while for LBC 905,041.92€, thus LBC had an overhead of 239,159.82€ for the same number of women (23,604).

However the lower performance of CC resulted in:

- For 34 women (33.75 in table 1) that a non-detected LSIL lesion will progress to Ca, these patients require surgical treatment, medication and radiotherapy, the associated cost is 110,578.50€ which could be avoided by LBC

- Similarly there are 34 women (33.75 in table 1) that a non-detected LSIL lesion will progress to HSIL due to detection failure in CC. The associated cost is 3,476.25€ as these women had to be called again to repeat test Papanicolaou. This results in additional cost in CC of 68,804.40€.

In summary, the cost of the detection failures (loses due to missed cases in table 1) is 182,859.15€ against CC.

By summarizing the additional cost of LBC and the cost of detection failures in CC total cost of diagnostic and therapeutic actions for the studied cases due to ThinPrep Pap test is higher by 56,300.67€ for the 23,604 women. However the YoLS for the 55 (54.75) cases of cancer that were prevented was 1133.33 years [54.75 women * 20.7 years/woman]. Thus the cost per saved life year (Cost/YoLS)
### Table 1: Cost Effectiveness Analysis of conventional cytology and ThinPrep cytology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Conventional Cytology</th>
<th>ThinPrep Cytology</th>
<th>Additional cost of ThinPrep vs. Conventional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of women</td>
<td>23,604</td>
<td>23,604</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First round examinations</td>
<td>23,604</td>
<td>23,604</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of first round examinations</td>
<td>377,427.96 €</td>
<td>612,287.76 €</td>
<td>234,859.80 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of repeated examinations</td>
<td>5,556</td>
<td>3,456</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of samples requiring new examination (inadequate samples)</td>
<td>23.54%</td>
<td>14.64%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of repeated examinations</td>
<td>88,840.44 €</td>
<td>89,648.64 €</td>
<td>808.20 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>235,668.00 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ASCUS-AGUS cases</td>
<td>1,134</td>
<td>537</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of ambiguous cases (ASCUS-AGUS)</td>
<td>4.80%</td>
<td>2.28%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost for each ASCUS-AGUS case (colposcopy)</td>
<td>11.74 €</td>
<td>11.74 €</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost for ASCUS-AGUS cases</td>
<td>13,313.16 €</td>
<td>6,304.38 €</td>
<td>-7,008.78 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>228,659.22 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of LSIL cases</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>621</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of LSIL cases</td>
<td>2.06%</td>
<td>2.63%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost for each LSIL case (repeat Papanicolaou test)</td>
<td>15.99 €</td>
<td>25.94 €</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost for LSIL cases</td>
<td>7,771.14 €</td>
<td>16,108.74 €</td>
<td>8,337.60 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>236,996.82 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of HSIL cases</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of HSIL cases</td>
<td>0.47%</td>
<td>0.56%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost for each HSIL case (conization)</td>
<td>103.00 €</td>
<td>103.00 €</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost for HSIL cases</td>
<td>11,433.00 €</td>
<td>13,596.00 €</td>
<td>2,163.00 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>239,159.82 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Ca cases</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost for each Ca case (surgical treatment, medication and radiotherapy)</td>
<td>3,276.40 €</td>
<td>3,276.40 €</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost for Ca cases</td>
<td>167,096.40 €</td>
<td>167,096.40 €</td>
<td>0.00 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of interventions</td>
<td>665,882.10 €</td>
<td>905,041.92 €</td>
<td>239,159.82 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases of Ca cases missed as LSIL</td>
<td>33.75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost for each Ca case (surgical treatment, medication and radiotherapy)</td>
<td>3,276.40 €</td>
<td>3276.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of missed cases</td>
<td>110,578.50 €</td>
<td>0.00 €</td>
<td>-110,578.50 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases of HSIL cases</td>
<td>33.75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost for each HSIL case (conization)</td>
<td>103.00 €</td>
<td>103.00 €</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of missed cases</td>
<td>3,476.25 €</td>
<td>0.00 €</td>
<td>-3,476.25 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases of Ca missed as HSIL</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost for each Ca case (surgical treatment, medication and radiotherapy)</td>
<td>3,276.40 €</td>
<td>3,276.40 €</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of missed cases</td>
<td>68,804.40 €</td>
<td>0.00 €</td>
<td>-68,804.40 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loses due to missed cases</td>
<td>182,859.15 €</td>
<td>0.00 €</td>
<td>-182,859.15 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost (includes cost for examinations and treatment of missed cases)</td>
<td>848,741.25 €</td>
<td>905,041.92 €</td>
<td>56,300.67 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of additional cases that detected and will not progress to cancer</td>
<td>54.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YoLS per cancer case</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YoLS</td>
<td>1133.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/YoLS</td>
<td>49.68 €</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
is 49.68€. This cost is significantly lower than the cut-off proposed by the insurance organizations, which in the U.S.A, for instance, is in the range of 50,000 €.

There are several other aspects of ThinPrep cytology and in general LBC that are not considered in the cost effectiveness analysis in this study, most of them would result in even lower cost/ YoLS:

1. The consumed time by cytopathologists: The average time to examine a single ThinPrep slide is 3 minutes, while the average examination time for conventional slides is 6 minutes. In the sample studied, the LBC method led to a reduction of 1581 man hours.

2. As ThinPrep vial allows additional examinations without the need for an additional sample, there is no need to recall women; this produces additional cost savings for the patients.

3. Transportation of samples from sampling sites to the cytopathology laboratory facilities via LBC reduces errors and contributes to the reduction of pre-analytical errors.

4. The shorter turnaround time in the cytopathology laboratory and the less time needed to obtain the examination results along with the higher sensitivity comparing to conventional cytology contribute towards the confidence of the method and a higher trust level on the patient side.

5. The higher confidence level contributes as well to the reduction of the number of women who do not follow or quit the organized screening programs.

6. The LBC method introduces one more stage in the slide preparation, i.e. the use of a device that creates mono-layer slides; this process introduces an overhead of about one minute for slide preparation. This overhead is not considered in the study, however to the authors’ opinion this may be surpassed as the overhead for the cytopathologists for conventional slides is about three minutes/specimen.

7. LBC vial has higher weight and volume than conventional cytology slides, thus transportation costs for the same number of samples is higher. This cost was not considered in this analysis, as samples are transferred by sample takers (midwives) to the cytopathology laboratory on a scheduled basis. On every visit, sample takers receive the cytological results of the previous batch and submit the new samples. Additionally, many of the samples are obtained from the hospital clinics, thus there is no associated transportation cost. In the authors’ opinion, additional cost for sample transportation would add a relatively small cost to the process compared to the health outcome gains.

8. Other advantages of LBC include the alcohol of the solutions which acts as a fixative for cells, inactivates microbial flora that causes lyses or red blood cells and mucus. Additionally, the pH of the solution allows the preservation of the morphological characteristics of the cells. Moreover, via LBC method, all collected cells are removed from the collection device immediately, thus dehydration and oxidation of cells are avoided as they remain exposed in the air for a very short time. The availability of the biological material in the vial allows the application of ancillary molecular methods.

The results of this study indicate significant performance improvements, specifically the percentage of inadequate samples was reduced from 23.54% to 14.64% (37.8% improvement), which is a statistically significant difference (8.90%, 95% CI: 8.19% to 9.61%, x²=604.66, p<0.0001). The number of ambiguous cases (ASCUS and AGUS) was reduced from 1,134 to 537 (4.80% and 2.28% respectively, as percentage of all cases), this is 52.6% improvement, while the difference in the proportions is statistically significant (Difference=2.52%, 95% CI: 2.19% to 2.86%, x²=218.75, p<0.0001).

There were detected 135 more LSIL cases (percentage 2.06% and 2.63% for CC and LBC respectively, on the basis of the 23,604 studied cases), the improvement is 27.7%, while the difference in the two percentages is statistically significant (Difference = 0.57%, 95% CI: 0.29% to 0.85%, x²=16.50, p < 0.0001). Finally LBC detected 21 additional HSIL cases that were not detected by CC (132 vs. 111 cases, 0.56% and 0.47% respectively), the improvement in the detection rate of HSIL is 19.1% while there is no statistically significant difference between the two percentages (Difference=0.09%, 95% CI: -0.04% to 0.22%, x²=1.69, p = 0.1930). These results are in line with other studies [24,25], where the use of LBC (SurePath™ method, TriPath™, Burlington, NC), showed improvement in LSIL detection by 196% and HSIL by 243%, such findings in these studies extend to carcinomas as well [25].

**Discussion and Conclusions**

According to published research, false positive rates in conventional Papanicolaou test range from 5-10%. However the most severe issue remains the percentage of false negative results. According to bibliographical data, false negative cases range from 5% to 50%, according to Gay et al. [26], this percentage is 20%, and in a meta-analysis study performed by the Agency for Health Care Policy Research published in 1999, this percentage was 50% [27].

Thus, quality control of the process and of cytopathology laboratories is an important issue [28-30], as it can increase the performance of the cytological examination.

Most of the studies agree that 60% – 90% of false negative cases are due to wrong sampling of the biological material [26,31]. According to Hutchinson et al. [32], more than 80% of collected cells from the cervix are not deposited in the glass slides. Moreover, it is not possible for gynecologists to select a representative sample for the slide. This was evident in our analysis, as the percentage of inadequate samples was 23.54% and 14.64% for CC and LBC respectively, thus LBC reduced significantly (p<0.0001) the inadequate percentage by 38%. The process for conventional slides often leads to bad quality specimens due to inadequate fixation and excess blood and mucus. Thus, the microscopic examination becomes laborious, difficult and error prone as it is hard to identify rare cells indicative of neoplasias.

LBC clears all these artifacts and cytopathologists examine a smaller and clearer area of the slide.

In terms of ambiguous results (ASCUS and AGUS), the outcomes of this study showed a reduction of the relevant percentage (4.80% and 2.28% ambiguous cases in CC and LBC respectively) by 53%.
(p<0.0001). Reduction of this percentage were reported in other studies, for example 26.59% was reported in [33] and 52.75% in [34].

Simulation experiments [35], showed that simply substituting CC via LBC without any change in compliance, may result in more than 32% in reductions of the incidence of CcCa. It has been proved that LBC is a cost effective method when applied in the general population, specifically in the Greek health system the introduction of LBC costs less than 50€ per saved life year, a cost lower than the cut off used (about 50,000$).

The cost effectiveness analysis subjects to numerous parameters, such as the incidence of the disease, the specific costs of the involved “components” including examination and treatment costs, the conformance of the population in the required repetitive process. All of these may be different from country to country and even in different regions in the same country. Future studies may include more detailed analysis for factors not being considered, for example savings due to the reduced work hours of cytopathologists and the economic effects on the women due to reduced psychological effects.

Conventional Papanicolaou test has saved and continues to save millions of women. The introduction of LBC 50 later was an important enhancement, in terms of performance as shown in this study and other existing studies, as well as for improved quality and enhanced standardization of the process. Moreover, LBC has paved the way for the application of modern molecular techniques, either as adjunctive to the test or claiming to be alternative. These modern techniques that would not be possible without LBC, have the potential to provide important outcomes for the HPV lifecycle and the deeper knowledge of the disease’s natural history.
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