Introduction

The embryonic process of odontogenesis is originated by two main embryonic tissues which are ectoderm and the underlying ectomesenchyme. The interaction between both two components leads tooth development throughout different phases known as initial stage, bud stage, cap stage, bell stage, appositional stage and root development [1].

Signal molecules, growth and transcription factors among other factors, are responsible of this interaction between epithelium and ectomesenchyme, and the communication in a one tissue layer [1].

Nowadays there are several researches which show the expression of some factors which determine tooth type and position. Here we show which factors are implicated in determination of tooth type [9–13].

Factors implicated in the determination of tooth type. Here we show factors known which act in determination of tooth type [9–13].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barx1</th>
<th>Msx1</th>
<th>Dlx1</th>
<th>Dlx2</th>
<th>dHAND2</th>
<th>Isl1</th>
<th>Lhx6</th>
<th>Lhx7</th>
<th>Activinβ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper Incisor</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Incisor</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Molar</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Molar</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The earliest marker of tooth position is Pixt2 appears in the stomatodeal and is progressively restricted to dental placode determining the request of Pixt2 for early specification of odontogenic epithelium [6]. Pax9 is another early marker of tooth position and its function might be necessary for establishing the competence of future tooth mesenchyme to respond to epithelial signals [7]. The same study proposes an alternative explanation about Pax9 function during initial stage suggesting that it plays a more direct role in the regulation of signaling molecules’ production by the mesenchyme [7]. Wnt7b and Shh act as early markers of tooth position and are expressed in oral ectoderm and dental epithelium, respectively, interacting to keep cell boundaries between oral ectoderm and dental epithelium from E9.5 until E11.5 [8].

In Table 1 [9–13] we can see which factors are implicated in molar and/or incisor formation such as Lhx6 and Lhx7 which control the acquisition of odontogenic potential by molar mesenchyme [14,15], in response to epithelial FGF-8 [15], or Dlx1 and Dlx2 which specify a subpopulation of neural crest derived mesenchymal cells as odontogenic for the upper molar region [10].

In Figure 1 [9,12,16–18], it has been shown interaction between some factors which determine tooth type and position. Thickenings of target genes transcription at this stage. They interact, BMP-4 as inhibitor and FGF-8 as inducer, and lead to different responses (Figure 2) [7,16,19] controlling epithelial proliferation.

Table 1: Factors implicated in the determination of tooth type. Here we show factors known which act in determination of tooth type [9–13].
occurs from E11.5 until E12.5. There are only few researches which study this transition.

Activin-βA is believed to be essential in early mesenchymal signaling, between E11.5 until E12.5, inducing changes in the mesenchyme let the transition to bud stage [13]. Although Activin-βA role in this transition still remains unknown [13].

Epithelial Fgf-8 has also a role in this process inducing the mesenchymal expression of Fgf-3 by Msx1-dependent pathway when the odontogenic potential moves to ectomesenchyme. But it is known that Msx1 is no sufficient for Fgf-3 expression [19] so future studies are necessary. Lhx6 and Lhx7 are also related to acquisition of odontogenic potential by dental mesenchyme [15].

BCL11B seems to be necessary for the proper timing of epithelial proliferation, invagination and down-regulation of epithelial Bmp-4 [21]. And Shh affects epithelial cell proliferation to produce a tooth bud [8,22,23]. Both processes are important for transition to bud stage in tooth development.

**Bud stage**

During this period the tooth bud appears in each arch for proliferative activity of basal cells of ectoderm [24] and the condensation of ectomesenchymal cells also continues [20,25]. The development dominance shifts from ectoderm to ectomesenchyme at early phase of this stage [26].

**Epithelial cell proliferation:** Several factors are implicated in epithelial cell proliferation at this stage; an important one is mesenchymal Bmp-4 that acts as a paracrine molecule inducing or keeping the gene expression of Shh and Bmp-2. One study shows Bmp-4 regulates Bmp-2 expression throughout Shh regulated by Bmp-4 concentration-dependent manner [27]. Another study shows a relationship among Wnt/β-catenin signaling, Bmp-4, Msx1 and Msx2 expression. They propose a model where Bmp-4 mediates Msx expression downstream of Wnt controlling epithelial cell proliferation [28]. Recently, one study proposes an orchestration of non-canonical BMP and Wnt/β-catenin signaling in controlling of cell epithelial proliferation and fate [29]. Non-canonical BMP signaling induces epithelial p38 and ERK1/2 which control cyclin D1 expression in epithelial cell proliferation and fate [29]. Non-canonical BMP signaling induces epithelial p38 and ERK1/2 which control cyclin D1 expression in epithelial cell proliferation and fate [29]. Wnt/β-catenin signaling also regulates epithelial cell proliferation synergistically together with BMP signaling [29].

Other studies support the importance of Shh in epithelial cell proliferation to produce tooth bud [22] and suggest Shh down-regulates, acting as a proliferative factor, at early bud stage and re-initiates in cells at the tip of the late bud, maintaining survival of these cells [23]. Tcf4 is suggested to be involved in the proliferation of oral epithelial cells during bud and cap stage, but further studies are needed to know its role in this cell proliferation [30].

**Mesenchymal cell proliferation and condensation:** Pax9 may be important in pattern of developing tooth ectomesenchyme since this factor seems to take part in the activation of mesenchymal odontogenic factors leading tooth morphogenesis from the early bud stage [31] and in the maintenance of Osr2 expression to restrict Msx1-
mediated propagation of mesenchymal odontogenic program lingual along the tooth development field [26,31–34]. Pax9 acts genetically upstream of both Msx1 and Osr2, Osr2 suppresses the mesenchymal odontogenic program through physical interactions with Msx1 and Pax9 proteins [31].

It is suggested Msx1 is essential for regulation of CNC (cranial neural crest) cell proliferation, differentiation [25] and for specification of the fates of these progenitors during tooth morphogenesis [25,35]. p19INK4d expression is inhibited by Msx1 facilitating the formation of the cyclinD/CDK complex and phosphorylation of Rb protein, thus Msx1 permits the cell cycle transcription factor E2F which regulates the cell cycle genes expression and progression of the cell cycle [25]. Msx1 mesenchymal expression is controlled by Smad1/5/8 which transduce BMP signal independently of Smad4, known as atypical canonical BMP signaling pathway since Smad4 is not essential for BMP/Smad signaling [35].

In section “Thickening of dental epithelium and mesenchymal condensation” we explain the role of Semf3a and Fgf-8 which act physically and chemically in mesenchymal condensation during initial and bud stage [20].

**Transition to cap stage:** The transition to cap stage is the beginning of morphologic differences between the different tooth types [2].

Msx1 takes part in transition to cap stage throughout ectomesenchyme proliferation and condensation, how we explain in section “Thickening of dental epithelium and mesenchymal condensation”. A well-condensed ectomesenchyme is essential for this transition since cell mass acts upon dental epithelium stimulating cell proliferation and preventing apoptosis [25].

PDGF-A and its receptor, PDGFR-α, are associated with the cranial neural crest-derived mesenchyme cells since PDGF-A induces DNA synthesis to increase the cell proliferative activity within enamel organ epithelium contributing the transition to cap stage [36]. Runx2 may be also implicated in this transition to cap stage, but further studies are necessary to explain its role [37].

In Figure 3 we can see other pathways which are related with the enamel knot formation and induction [38-40].

**Cap stage**

During this stage epithelial cells increase their proliferative activity and the deep surface of buds invaginate producing dental germs. In this moment each dental germs are formed by enamel organ, dental papilla and dental follicle [2,24].

Enamel organ is formed by four different layers: outer enamel epithelium, stellate reticulum, stratum intermedium and inner enamel epithelium. The ectomesenchyme surrounded by the invagination is known as dental papilla and will develop originating dentin-pulp complex. And the dental follicle will form supporting structures (periodontal ligament, cementum and alveolar bone) [2,24].

Finally an important structure appears during this stage in dental epithelium, the primary enamel knot. It is a transitory structure which defines crown shape [41]. The enamel knot controls the formation of tooth cusps [42]. In multicuspoid teeth will appear secondary enamel knots at bell stage [43].

**Primary Enamel Knot (PEK):** It is suggested tooth cusp formation is regulated by a balance between cell apoptosis in enamel knot and cell proliferation in dental epithelium [44]. In Figure 4 [42,45–47], it is shown some pathways implicated in the control of cell proliferation by enamel knot.

Several factors are related to enamel knot. Shh is a well-known factor but its function in enamel knot is still unknown, although it is suggested dental papilla is a target for Shh. But they finally conclude Shh and Bmp2 expression in the enamel knot could be dispensable for tooth patterning since the observation of cell apoptosis in enamel knot and cell proliferation in dental epithelium remain unaltered in Msx1-Bmp-4 transgenic mice [44]. Another study suggests that Shh signals directly to lingual cells of enamel knot producing an ingrowth of the lingual epithelial invagination because of Ptc expression, a Shh receptor, has been observed in the lingual epithelial invagination [48]. Shh has also been related to Fgf-4 to co-ordinate the surrounding cells of enamel knots [42,49] and it seems these factors may functionally interact with c-Myb inducing its expression in the underlying mesenchyme of enamel knots [50].

Apoptosis is an important part in enamel knot development. Various factors are known to regulate cell death, such as Bmp2, Bmp4 and Bmp7 which seem to act as autocrine signals within the enamel knot cells [44,51]. Jernvall J, et al., determine that the role of mesenchymal Bmp–4 is the stimulation of p21 expression in enamel knot at early cap stage ceasing the proliferation in enamel knot [51]. p38a MAPK pathway also controls p21 expression in response to BMP2/7 [52]. BMP-4 is necessary for expression of Zeb1 and Zeb2 in the enamel knot too [53]. FGF-4 and FGF-9 are involved in apoptosis, but in prevention of untimely apoptosis of enamel knot cells [54,55]. Enamel knot cells seem not to express FGF receptors and they remain non-proliferative undergoing apoptosis in the distal part of enamel knot [51]. Recently, Wnt5a also seems to be implicated in cell death but in dental non-regions, although in dental regions Wnt5a acts as a direct or indirect regulator of Fgf-10, Bmp-4 and Shh, which are involved in cell proliferation, cusp formation and the determination of tooth size [56]. p63 gene has also related to the enamel knot apoptosis [51,57].

TGF-β2 has been related to secondary and primary enamel knots but it has not already known its role in these transitory structures [58].

**Cell proliferation:** In Figure 4 [42,45–47] we describe some important pathways in cell proliferation in different tissues during cap stage.

**Ectodermal cell proliferation** FGF-4 and FGF-9 stimulate epithelial cell proliferation, but no in enamel knot because FGFs receptors lack this stimulation [42,46,54,59,60]. Other factors that have effect on cell proliferation in enamel organ are HGF [61], Sp6 [62], Tβ4 [30], YAP [63] and EGF, the last one seems to stimulate the DNA synthesis increasing the cell number within the enamel organ epithelia [64]. In case of YAP, the overexpression of this factor in dental epithelium may affect cell movement and/or cell polarization producing the
Figure 3: Pathways related to enamel knot formation at transition to cap stage: In dental epithelium, Wnt10, through Lef-1 and β-catenin, mediates transcriptional activation of epithelial Fgf-4 [39,40]. FGF-4 signals to subjacent mesenchyme inducing Runx2 via Msx1. Runx2 is required for Fgf-3 mesenchymal expression [39] and for other unknown mesenchymal signals (presumably including BMP-4) [40] regulation which together with FGF-3 induce Shh expression in enamel knot epithelium [39,40]. Finally, it has been also described a feedback loop where epithelial Bmp-4 induces Tbx2 and Msx1 expression in dental mesenchyme. Then mesenchymal Tbx2 and Msx1 antagonistically regulate enamel knot formation and mesenchymal Bmp-4 expression, acting Tbx2 as inhibitor and Msx1 as inducer[38]. DE (dental epithelium); EM (ectomesenchyme); EO (ectomesenchyme).

enamel knot fail to move to the tip of the enamel organ [63]. Sp6 function is mediated by phosphorylation of pRb releasing E2F from a pRB-E2F complex to activate cell proliferation [65,66].

Msx2 takes part in the formation of stratum intermedium through the regulation of its cell proliferation [67].

Figure 4: Pathways related to cell proliferation during cap stage: Blue factors are expressed in enamel knot, purple ones are expressed in dental epithelium and orange ones are expressed in dental papilla. Eda activates its receptor Edar in the enamel knot resulting in NF-κB activation and Fgf-20 transcription [45]. Fgf-20 [45], together with Fgf-4 [42,45] and Fgf-9, move from enamel knot to dental papilla inducing the transcription of Runx2 and Fgf-3, and stimulating epithelial proliferation [45]. Mesenchymal Fgf-3 and Fgf-10 signal epithelium stimulating its cell proliferation too[45,46]. Enamel knot Fgf-20 controls its own activation of Fgf signaling in dental papilla and dental epithelium via the induction of Spry4 and Spry2 expression, which act as inhibitor of Fgf signaling in dental papilla and epithelium, respectively [45]. Cervical loop cell proliferation is controlled by Tbx1 which activates Fgf signaling pathway in dental epithelium and/or attenuates Pixt2 activation of p21 expression [47]. EK: Enamel knot; EO: Enamel organ; DP: Dental papilla.

Mesenchymal cell proliferation In addition to the factors shown in Figure 4 [42,45-47], HGF has an indirect effect on cell proliferation in dental papilla since HGF-stimulated inner dental epithelium increases proliferation activity and begins or improves an unknown factor synthesis which regulates cell proliferation in dental papilla [61]. Msx1 promotes dental mesenchyme proliferation too, regulates
the cell cycle of dental mesenchymal cells and prevents odontoblast differentiation by inhibition of Bmp-2 and Bmp-4 expression during cap stage [68].

PDGFB-B and PDGFRβ signalling might be important for mesenchymal proliferation [69] and c-Myb induces the proliferation of underlying mesenchyme of enamel knot [50].

Cervical loops cell proliferation FGF-10 and possibly FGF-3 (the study can’t ensure completely) stimulate epithelial cell proliferation in the cervical loops [46] since the expression of tyrosine receptors for FGF-10 and FGF-3, FGFR1b and FGFR2b, are restricted to the dental epithelium [54]. Lhx6 also takes part in the control of cell proliferation in cervical loop [70].

Transition to bell stage: There is no very much information about this process. It seems that three FGF receptors (FGFR2b, FGFR1b and FGFR1c) expressed in the cervical loops stimulate cell proliferation contributing to the transition [46]. Canonical Wnt/β-catenin signaling also seems to be implicated in transition to bell stage through enhancement of dental papilla cell proliferation [71]. Future studies are necessary to clear this mechanism.

Bell stage

During bell stage the invagination of inner dental epithelium increases acquiring a bell shape and histochemical changes occur in enamel organ, dental papilla and dental follicle [24].

Stratum intermedium appears in enamel organ between stellate reticulum and inner dental epithelium. This new cell layer is larger in sites of presumptive cusp and incisor edge [24].

Odontoblast and ameloblast differentiation from dental papilla and inner dental epithelium, respectively, are important processes at this stage. A basal membrane is separating these cell layers [24]. When odontoblasts start to secrete dentin matrix, the basal membrane breaks up and degrades, allowing direct interaction between preameloblasts and predentin [72].

Crown shape will be defined at this stage through specific signal of dental papilla in inner dental epithelium, these signals fold this cell layer determining the shape, number and position of cusp [24]. Later, inner dental epithelium exerts its inductive capacity above dental papilla leading to odontoblast differentiation. Then, the inner dental epithelium cells begin to differentiate in ameloblast [24]. Although one study is against this theory and suggests that ameloblast differentiation might be independent of functional odontoblast differentiation since the mineralized tissue, bone-like tissue, is sufficient for ameloblast differentiation [73].

Finally, secondary enamel knots (SEK) are transitory structures which appear in enamel organ of multisipid teeth during this stage of tooth development [43].

Secondary enamel knots (SEK): Various studies have been suggested that role of factors could be different in primary and secondary enamel knots. For example, Fgf-4 and Shh expression in primary and secondary enamel knots coordinate proliferation in surrounding cells [42,49]. Both factors functionally interact with c-Myb and induce its expression only in the underlying mesenchyme of secondary enamel knots [50]. Another factor which seems to have different roles in PEK and SEK is TGF-β2 since its immune reactive locations show some differences in PEK and SEK. In PEK, this factor is expressed in cells adjacent to and away from basement membrane and in SEK only appears in cells away from the basement membrane [58]. Fgf-4 and also Fgf-9 shift from the PEK to the forming SEK [54].

Wnt signalling is important in molar cusps development [28]. Liu Fei et al. induced ectopic expression of Dkk1, an inhibitor of Wnt signalling, at early bell stage producing null defects in cusp phenotype. At molecular level, Wnt inhibition down-regulates enamel knot marker p21 in dental epithelium, Bmp-4 is slightly affected and regulator of cusp development Eda is reduced. Together, these data suggest Wnt/β-catenin signalling is required for maintenance of the enamel knots and determination of tooth shape [28].

The position of secondary enamel knots are defined by a signal from primary enamel knot [74]. Two studies suggest the importance of Eda in this process. Liu Fei et al. propose a competition between Eda and Wnt in the establishment of enamel knots boundaries in molar [28]. However, Laurikkala Johanna et al. determine Eda expression, which is stimulated by BMP-2, BMP-4 and BMP-7, around enamel knot establishes the locations of SEK. This stimulation is cointeracted by enamel knot factors Shh and FGF resulting in an ectodin-negative area around the enamel knot which determines the target field of BMP signaling [75].

Other molecules seem to be implicated in SEKs such as Wnt10a [76] and FHL2 [77].

Odontoblast: It is known many different factors are implicated in odontoblast differentiation and its maturation.

Runx2 and Runx3 co-operate regulating Osterix expression during odontoblast differentiation [78]. FHL2 interacts with Runx2 and β-catenin inducing odontoblast, ameloblast differentiation and dentin formation [77].

Klf10 induces odontoblast differentiation through the up-regulation of odontoblastic differentiation markers in the dental papilla cells(Dmp1 and Dspp genes) [79].

Shh signalling is necessary for the elongation of the odontoblasts [48] and c-Myb regulates the calcium level in odontoblasts and ameloblasts and contributes to the mineralization of dentin and enamel during its production [50].

There are two factors related to stem cell population. Rb1 expression in dental mesenchymal stem cell populations is lower to prevent its differentiation, however its expression is upregulated in differentiating cells (odontoblast and ameloblast) [80]. Oct-4A is also implicated in stem cell niche formation, which regulates differentiation into ameloblast and odontoblast [81]. Another study shows that Oct4/3A regulates apoptotic genes to control the balance between apoptosis and cytodifferentiation and it seems to be important in the maintenance of odontoblast characteristics [82].

FGF signalling takes part in odontoblast life. FGF-2 plays a role in the formation of enamel and dentine through regulation of proliferation and differentiation during cap and bell stage [83], and is
Wnt10 is related to cell matrix interactions which regulate odontoblast capillaries invasion into odontoblast layer [89]. Ang1 and Tie2 are expressed in odontoblast and endothelial cells, respectively, at blood vessels development at odontogenesis, since Ang1 and Tie2 collagen type I and Dspp expression [88]. Ang1 and Tie2 are related to dentin quantity and quality because of a failure in odontoblast pericytes development [88]. The lack of Bmp-2 results in a decrease of interaction between phosphorylated NFI-C and Smurf1/2 increasing the binding of NFI-C in the cytoplasm [95]. Wnt signaling is also implicated in odontoblast differentiation. Wnt10 is related to cell matrix interactions which regulate odontoblast differentiation, since cell-matrix interaction is essential for induction of Dspp expression that is downstream molecule for Wnt10a [76]. Wnt15 is suggested to take part in odontoblast differentiation being mediated by Ror2 [90].

Lef1 controls odontoblast differentiation [91–93] through the regulation of different important genes for this process. Lef1 regulates the terminal odontoblast differentiation through regulation of Dspp, osteocalcin and ALP mRNA expression [92]. It has been also shown a relationship between the expression pattern of Lef1 and Dspp, P21(necessary in early phases of differentiation since P21 expression is attenuated in mature odontoblast), Hsp25 and HSP25 in differentiation of odontoblasts in two contexts, dentinogenesis in continuously growing incisor and the crown and root dentin formation of molar with limited growth [94].

TGF-β1 induces odontoblast differentiation through Smad signaling pathway at early odontoblast differentiation but NFI-C modulates late odontoblast differentiation and mineralization [95]. At the onset of odontoblast differentiation TGF-β1 signaling induces p-Smad2/3 increasing the binding of NFI-C in the cytoplasm [95]. Additionally, MAPK is activated by TGF-β1 signaling resulting in the increase of interaction between phosphorylated NFI-C and Smurf1/2 [95]. Taken together, activation of TGF-β1 and MAPK enhance the interaction and formation of Smad2/3-NFI-C-Smurfl/2 complex which results in the NFI-C degradation. However at late odontoblast differentiation and mineralization, NFI-C signaling stimulates dephosphorylation of p-Smad2/3 [95].

TGF-β and BMP signaling pathways work together to control odontoblast differentiation [73,96] during dentinogenesis since Smad4, the common mediator for the canonical TGF-β/BMP signaling pathways [73], is essential for odontoblast differentiation [73]. The lack of Smad in a mutant produces morphological and functional defects in odontoblast during dentinogenesis [73]. In addition, this study provides the first evidence that TGF-β/BMP and Wnt signaling work together to ensure proper cell fate determination during postmitogenic neural crest cell development and organogenesis [73] since they found that the ablation of mesenchyme Snad4 results in ectopic bone-like structure formation in the dentin region and enhances Wnt pathway and when they suppress upregulated canonical Wnt pathway in mutant dental mesenchyme partially rescues the CNC cell fate change [73]. Taken together, TGF-β/ BMP signaling depends on Snad4 to regulate Wnt signaling during dentinogenesis through control of Wnt inhibitors, Dkk1 and SFRP1 expressed in dental mesenchyme and odontoblast [73].

It seems that Wnt-BMP signaling operates in odontoblast and ameloblast differentiation where Sp6 acts as activator of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in dental mesenchymal preodontoblastic cells [62]. This role of Sp6 in control of Wnt-BMP signaling is supported by Aurrekoetxea et al. 2012, [71].

Some factors need further investigations to find out their role in these processes. For instance Midkine gene [97], Fgf-9 [54], Klf4 [98], OASIS [99], Pax6 [100], NGF [101], Tp4 [102], PDGFB [69] and its receptor, PDGFRβ [69], Nanog [81], FGF-1 [84], Runx2 [37,103], Gep [104], FAM20C [105], Bcor [106], HGF [61], Trps1 [107], Sp6 [65] and Bcl-2 [108].

Ameloblast: Several molecules have been shown to take part in ameloblast and odontoblast life for example Oct3/4 how we explain in section "Odontoblast" [81,82] or role of FHL2 in these cells differentiation which have been also described in "Odontoblast" [77]. Interaction between Wnt-BMP signaling regulated by Sp6 takes also part in ameloblast differentiation and it is suggested that disturbed Wnt-BMP signaling at bell stage producing a blockade of amelogenesis and an absence of preameloblastic Shh expression [62]. Since Shh is essential for preameloblast growth, polarization and proliferation, this last one throughout cell promotion of cyclin D1 transcription controlling cell cycle transition [109], and BMP is required for development and polarization of preameloblast [110].

BMP and Shh signaling also control ameloblast differentiation but through the modulation of important genes in ameloblast differentiation. BMP-2 controls expression levels of p75Ngr and amelogenin, the well-known ameloblast differentiation markers and decreasing Hes1, a marker for stratum intermedium. Ectodin is also induced by BMP-2 forming a negative feedback loop to control ameloblast differentiation [111]. And Shh up-regulates amelogenin and ameloblastin expression directly [112].

Other molecules implicated in control of genes related to ameloblast differentiation are PDGF-AA which controls ameloblastin expression, a marker of differentiated ameloblast too [69], and Sp6 which activates mRNA expression for ameloblastin, an important regulator to maintain the differentiation state of ameloblasts [65].

Mx2 controls ameloblast differentiation since this factor acts as a transcriptional repressor of mouse amelogenin gene in a dose-
dependent manner through inhibition of DNA binding C/EBPα activity, a transcriptional activator of amelogenin promoter [113]. Msx2 is also related to terminal ameloblast differentiation and enamel formation controlling the maintenance of cell adhesion complexes between ameloblast through the regulation of laminin5α3 expression [67].

Recently some studies are suggesting that ameloblast determination occurs during bud stage in early progenitor, a small proportion of dental epithelial cells [114], which express Tbx1 in dental epithelium at E12.5 (bud stage). Progressively Tbx1 expression is restricted to inner dental epithelium where this factor regulates directly or indirectly amelogenin expression in dental epithelial cells. Tbx1 expression in dental epithelium is activated/maintained by FGF molecules forming a complex with some integrins [115]. Tbx1, in dental epithelium with amelogenin induction, and FGFs in dental papilla, control the proliferation and survival of the ameloblast precursors [114]. A later study supports this theory and adds that cells of the stem cell niche at the cervical loop of the rodent incisors express Tbx1, develop into odonblasts and synthesize enamel [115].

Finally many other factors participate in ameloblast differentiation such as KLF5 [98], Kif10 [79], OASIS [99], FGF-9 [54], Pax7 [100], Rb1 [80], Krox-25 [116], GEP [104], TGF-β2 [58], c-Myb [50], FGF-2 [83], HGF [61], HGF [117], Six1 [118], Six4 [118] and Nanog [81], although their roles have not been described. KLF4 [98], is known to take part in primary differentiation of ameloblast and these others, FAM20C [105,119], Runx2 [103] and the down-regulation of Fgf-3 and Fgf-10 [46] in terminal differentiation of these cells. MMP20 is necessary for ameloblast cell movement [120].

**Appositional stage**

During this stage odontoblast and ameloblast are going to secrete and mineralize the dentin and enamel matrix [24]. Ameloblast and odonblast life is divided into secretory, transitional and mature phases according to their activity [30].

**Dentinogenesis:** The dentinogenesis mechanism has been widely studied. During this process there are two important parts: dentin matrix secretion and mineralization of dentin. In Table 2 [50,77,79,81,83,84,92,94,98,100,107,121–124], it has been classified different factors according to if its role has effect on dentin secretion and/or mineralization, although this classification is not definitive, further studies could change it.

Trps1 has a context-dependent role in dentine mineralization [107]. Trps1 is necessary for the matrix vesicles dependent initiation of mineralization through supporting expression of two phosphatases involved in hydroxyapatite formation (Alpl, Phospho1) and for transcription factors expression required for this process (Runx2, Sp7). At later stages of dentine mineralization, Trps1 acts as inhibitor by the suppression gene expression related to mineral propagation within extracellular matrix (Vdr, Phex) [107].

In section “Odontoblast” it has been described a relationship between TGF-β/BMP and Wnt signaling which is important for odontoblast differentiation and for dentinogenesis too [73]. TGF-β family is clearly implicated in dentinogenesis [96,125] since TGF-β2 overexpression by odontoblast alters dentine formation affecting its elastic modulus in male mice [125].

Once again we have to make an allusion to section “Odontoblast” where it has been explained the Bmp-2 lack from odontoblast effects in dentin resulting in a decrease of dentin quality and quantity [88].

In Table 3 [76,79,88,92,94,104,121–124,126], it is related growth or transcription factors to a or several proteins and genes which are important for dentine formation. For example, TGF-β3 controls the induction of collagen type I and osteocalcin providing an organic framework for deposition of inorganic components such as calcium [121]. c-Myb has also influence in calcium level in odontoblast controlling the mineralization of dentin matrix [50]. TGF-β1 mediates dspp expression [121,122] but decreasing it during dentin mineralization [122]. And Klf10 induces mineralization of dentin formation via upregulation Runx2, Dmp1 and Dsp [79].

Finally other factors have been related to dentinogenesis but further studies are necessary to determine their specific roles. These factors are FAM20C [105], TGF-β2 [58,127], p63 [57], Ang1 [89], Tie2 [89], IGF-1 [126], FGF-2 [83] and Bcl-2 is related to prevention of dentinogenesis partially via inhibition of odontoblast differentiation [108].

**Amelogenesis:** Similar to dentinogenesis, in amelogenesis many different factors have also effects on enamel secretion and/or mineralization, thus we design again a Table where the factors are classified according to its role in secretion and/or mineralization (Table 4) [50,52,67,77,83,98,100,103,119,126,128–134].

At secretory stage of amelogenesis, Runx2 and ODA cooperate to active transcriptionally MMP-20. Runx2 regulates ODA protein

---

**Table 2:** Expression of transcription and growth factors during dentin formation. Here, we relate transcription and growth factors to secretion and/or mineralization of dentin matrix. [50,77,79,81,83,84,92,94,98,100,107,121–124].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secretion</th>
<th>Mineralization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pax6</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct-3/4</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF-1</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF-2</td>
<td>X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tβ-4</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHL2</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runx2</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-catenin</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lef-1</td>
<td>X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dlx3</td>
<td>X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLF-5</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β3</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c-Myb</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klf10</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trps1</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

expression which in turn regulates MMP-20 promoter activity [129]. During this period Msx2 also controls laminin5α3 expression leading ameloblast terminal differentiation, it is although not known how these two molecules are integrated in a pathway [67].

TGF-β2 overexpression by odontoblast may affect enamel development since dental mesenchyme has influence on enamel formation resulting in more porous and weaker to caries enamel [125]. However one study has suggested that ameloblast differentiation does not depend on functional odontoblast [73], so further studies are necessary for determining the influence of functional odontoblast on enamel development.

Sp6 has also effect on amelogenesis through Wnt/β-catenin signaling activation in mesenchymal preodontoblastic cells [62]. Other studies have related Sp6 to amelogenesis via down-regulation of follistatin gene expression [135], which may function in BMPs antagonism in ameloblast [110,135,136]. RhoA-MKK6-p38α signaling axis is crucial for enamel secretion since they induce p21, amelogenin and ameloblastin genes and p21 transcriptional activation by BMP-2/7 [52].

In Table 5 [52,69,104,111,126,128,131–133,137–141] we related factors to different proteins and genes of enamel formation. For example, C/EBP-α and YY1 interact co-operatively which seem to contribute to the down-modulation of amelogenin gene expression [141]. Another example is the hierarchical interaction represented in Figure 5 [139]. Tβ4 regulates Runx2 mRNA expression which also controls some component of enamel matrices (Table 5) [123]. And amelogenin expression by DLX2 could be mediated by MSX2 [133].

Finally other factors have been demonstrated to take part in enamel formation, but there are necessary further studies to find out its role during this process. This factors are STAT-1, -2, -3 and -4 [142], p63 [57], Krox-25 [116], Bmp-2 [143] and Xbp1 [144].

**Root development**

Tooth root development is initiated when crown morphogenesis ends at the end of appositional stage [145,146]. During this stage dentin-pulp complex and supporting structures are derived from ectomesenchyme and dental follicle [24]. Bcor and FHL2 might be involved in root development but their roles still remain unknown [77,106].

**Transition to root development:** During root development, the transition from dental cervical loop to HERS is generally regarded as the beginning of root formation [147–149]. However, the functional mechanism of HERS in guiding root development is still unclear [147,148,150,151]. It has been considered three mechanisms for this transition to root formation; first the cessation of IEE growth becoming differentiated ameloblast, then the formation of HERS with the fusion between IEE and OEE below the level of the crown cervical crown and finally the fragmentation of dental epithelium [152]. Respect formation of HERS, it has been demonstrated that OEE proliferates more actively than IEE so they hypothesize that OEE elongates downwards below the crown cervical margin producing a bilayered epithelial sheath, HERS [152,153]. IGF regulates this unbalanced proliferation activity between OEE and IEE in autocrine and paracrine manner favouring root elongation [153]. BMP-4 also has influence in HERS cell proliferation through the control of HERS length [154].

Various factors have been related to these processes. Egf promotes the proliferation and maintenance of enamel organ so its disappearance is very important to initiate HERS formation [149]. The disappearance of Fgf-10 is also important to cessation of crown formation and the beginning of root development [152], and it seems that TGF-β/BMP signaling in epithelial cells are inhibiting mesenchymal expression of Fgf-10 [155], there are other studies which support the idea of TGF-β/BMP signaling role at initiation
of root development [59,156–158]. However, the presence of HGF induces the proliferation of HERS cells and its formation [159]. It has been suggested Bmi-1 regulates self-renewal in the HERS proliferative region by inhibition of apoptosis and cytodifferentiation and by promotion of the cell cycle [160]. Oct3/4A enables the precursor cells to maintain the balance between amelogenesis and root elongation throughout control of its cellular localization [160]. However, the cross-talk among Oct3/4, Bmi-1, apoptosis, proliferation and cytodifferentiation pathways is still largely unknown so further studies are needed [160].

**Odontoblast and dentinogenesis at root development:**

HERS controls odontoblast differentiation, dentin formation and the subsequent periodontal tissues formation through epithelial-mesenchymal interactions [161,162]. The mechanism which occurs during dentin crown and root formation are different [163–165].

In Table 6 [76,88,92,94,103,105,154,159,163,165–176], we can see which factors are implicated in odontoblast differentiation and/or dentin development.

TGF-β/BMP signaling role in root dentin formation has been widely studied [88,96,154,155,166]. The ablation of Smad4 results in altered odontoblast polarity, a decrease of Dspp expression and in a mineral dentin apposition rate suggesting intracellular Smad4 takes part in a positive feedback loop in TGF-β/BMP signaling pathway in terminal odontoblast differentiation [166]. Another study increases the knowledge about TGF-β/BMP signaling role in root dentin development proposing a Smad4-Shh-Gli1-Nfic signaling pathway, since epithelial Smad4 is necessary for Shh expression, then Shh releases from dental epithelium, acts through Gli1, and induces mesenchymal expression of Nfic controlling interaction between HERS and dental mesenchyme [155]. The idea of relationship between TGF-β/BMP signaling and Nfic at root dentin formation is also supported by other studies where it is determined Nfic plays a role in differentiation of terminal odontoblast from preodontoblast and its function [163,167,177]. Nfic has been related to intercellular junction formation through regulation of junction component expression and to odontoblast apoptosis in a cell-specific manner but this mechanism still remains unknown [167]. The role of BMPs has also supported by other studies where BMP-4 has also been demonstrated to act as stimulant for odontoblast differentiation at root development [154] and deletion of Bmp-2 gene in early odontoblast results in a more pronounced effect on root dentin than effects explain at section “Odontoblast” [88].

A recent study has also related Nfic to odontoblast differentiation [174]. They propose the Nfic-Klf4-Dmp1-Dspp cascade where Nfic directly binds to Klf4 promoter transactivating its expression [174]. Klf4 promotes odontoblast differentiation by up-regulation of Dmp1 binding its promoter and consequently Dmp1 induces Dspp expression during odontoblast differentiation [174,178–181]. They also propose another cascade Nfic-Klf4-E-cadherin, which is important for regulation of odontoblast differentiation as well as their functional implication in dentin formation, but further studies are necessary [174].

Wnt/β-catenin signaling is another pathway which has been determined to take part in root dentin formation. Wnt/β-catenin signaling roles at root dentin formation depend on differentiation stage [168], since overexpression or suppression of Lef-1, a nuclear effector of Wnt/β-catenin signaling, in dental pulp cells might accelerate and inhibit odontoblast differentiation and mineralization, respectively [92]. Lef-1 is also implicated in the regulation of odontoblast differentiation through the control of Dspp expression [94]. Based on temporospatial regulation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling,
it is known the inhibition of this signaling is required for dentin and cementum mineralization [168], but its activation is necessary for odontoblast and cementoblast differentiation [168,169]. Other studies support this role of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in dentin formation at root development, such as co-expression of Wnt10a with DSPP in odontoblast regulation DSPP transcription [76], regulation of secretion and/or mineralization of dentin matrix by Wnt/β-catenin signaling in part via FGF-18 expressed by odontoblast and sub-odontoblastic layer cells [170]. FGF signaling is also related to Wnt/β-catenin signaling through β-catenin inhibition by activation of PI3K/Akt pathway regulation subcellular localization of active GSK3β in mesenchymal cells, so FGF signaling controls proper fate of dental mesenchyme [171].

Finally it has been related TGF-β/BMP signaling with Wnt/β-catenin signaling. A loss of SMAD4 leads to up-regulation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling, via down-regulation of Dkk-1 and secreted frizzled-related protein. On the contrary constitutive stabilization of β-catenin in odontoblast induces up-regulation of SMAD4. So it seems SMAD4 is required for Wnt inhibitors up-regulation to compensate the persistent Wnt/β-catenin signaling activation in odontoblast controlling terminal odontoblast differentiation and dentin matrix formation at root development [165].

**Tooth supporting structures and their cells:** The supporting structures of tooth are cementum, alveolar bone and periodontal ligament and derive from dental follicle. HERS takes part in development of periodontal tissues throughout epithelial-mesenchymal interaction [161,162].

In Table 6 [76,88,92,94,103,105,154,159,163,165-176], we can see the factors, which take part in supporting structures development, related to cells and matrix where they act.

HGF stimulates periodontal tissues development in an indirect manner through the growth of HERS [159].

Various researches have studied the role of Wnt-βcatenin signaling in dental follicle and show that Wnt-βcatenin signaling has an important role in the control of cementoblast differentiation [168,169,182] and cementum mineralization [168,182]. It is suggested Wnt-βcatenin signaling is required for Npp1 expression in cementoblast to keep the cementum and periodontium integrity, although further studies are necessary [165]. An in vitro study proposes that Wnt3 inhibits cementoblast differentiation through the inhibition of Runx2 expression, which together with Osterix control expression levels of ALP, BSP and OCN in cementoblast and osteoblast differentiation, but enhances cell proliferation [175]. Another research shows a feedback mechanism between canonical and noncanonical Wnt signaling during dental follicle cells differentiation [182]. It seems that Wnt5 acts as a negative regulator of canonical Wnt3a-mediated ALP dental follicle cells expression and does not affect the nuclear translocation of β-catenin as well as β-catenin-mediated transcriptional activation of Tcf caused by Wnt3a so Wnt5a inhibits the downstream part of β-catenin-Tcf pathway [182].

**Conclusion**

We can conclude that Odontogenesis is a complex embryonic process where many factors could have influence during its development so the study of these factors is very important. We can find a large amount of available information about different pathways and/or presence of the different growth and transcription factors during Odontogenesis, but this information must be compiled and classified to improve the quality of future studies. Instead of this all information further studies are still necessary to find out the roles of many key factors but we are in the right way.
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