Hemodialysis with Polymethylmethacrylate Restores the Response to Hepatitis B Vaccination in Chronic Dialysis Patients: Hypothesized Mechanism of Action

Abstract

Patients undergoing hemodialysis often present with a reduced response to anti-hepatitis B virus (anti-HBV) vaccination. The soluble form of CD40 (sCD40) is elevated in hemodialysis patients and this has been shown to correlate with lack of response to anti-HBV vaccination. Due to its high molecular weight, conventional dialyzers cannot clear sCD40. Previous studies have demonstrated, that dialysis membranes in polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) can reduce the levels of sCD40. We have studied the effect of dialysis with PMMA membranes in patients who were non-responders to anti-HBV vaccination after a complete cycle of vaccinations. Interestingly, we found that significantly more patients in the PMMA group were able to mount a response to vaccination, compared to the control group (P = 0.04).

Materials and Methods

Patients

Patients were included in this study if they had been on maintenance thrice-weekly dialysis treatment and had undergone at least one complete cycle of anti-hepatitis B virus vaccination (20 mg Fendrix, administered at 0, 1, 2 and 6 months) and were non-responders (e.g. anti-HBV antibody titre <10 UI/L). Patients were excluded from this study if they had undergone dialysis treatment with PMMA dialyzers prior to enrolment and/or if they had active neoplasia. All patients gave their informed consent to participate in the study. Following enrolment, patients were randomized into two groups, a control group that continued on the same dialysis treatment as previously, and a treatment group that was shifted to dialysis with PMMA series BK-F (1.3 to 2.1 m²). The treatment with PMMA continued until after the administration of the fourth vaccination. Patients underwent thrice-weekly dialysis for three months and were then administered the fourth vaccination dose. Immune response was evaluated hereafter.

Laboratory parameters

Serum levels of sCD40 were measured every four weeks by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) during the 12 weeks of study and again 4 weeks after anti-hepatitis B virus vaccination. ELISA was performed essentially as described by Contini et al. [2], all samples were measured in duplicates and the mean concentration was calculated. Blood urea nitrogen (BUN) concentrations, Kt/V and C-reactive protein (CRP) were evaluated every four weeks.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using Excel. Quantitative data were...
presented in the form of mean and standard deviation. The Student t-test was used to compare data for the study group and the control group. Chi Square test was used to assess the independence of observed and expected data between the two groups. P was considered significant when <0.05.

**Results**

Thirty-two patients fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were enrolled in the study (average age 73±12 years). Following randomization 17 patients underwent dialysis with PMMA filters, and 15 patients underwent dialysis with either polysulfone or polyamide filters (Table 1). Significantly more patients in the PMMA group were able to mount a response to vaccination, compared to the control group ($\chi^2$ test, p = 0.04). Of the 17 patients who were dialyzed with PMMA filters, eight patients (47%) were able to develop a protective immune response against HBV (anti-HBs antibody levels > 10UI/L), whereas only two patients out of 15 in the control group (13%) developed a protective response (Table 2). In the PMMA group six out of the eight responders had anti-HBs antibody levels ≥ 100UI/L (Figure 1), whereas only one of the responders in the control group had anti-HBs antibody levels ≥ 100UI/L (Figure 2). This is relevant, because anti-HBs antibody levels ≥ 100UI/L provide a very good seroprotection against HBV.

There were no significant differences in the level of sCD40 in the PMMA group and the control group throughout the study period (Figure 3). However, when dividing the data for the patients undergoing dialysis with PMMA membranes, into responders and non-responders, there was a noticeable (although not significant) decrease in sCD40 levels among the responders after the second month of dialysis, which was then followed by an increase back to baseline levels after the third month (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

In the results presented here, we have shown that significantly more patients in the PMMA group were able to mount a response to vaccination, compared to the control group ($\chi^2$ test, p = 0.04). Of the 17 patients who were dialyzed with PMMA membranes, eight patients (47%) were able to develop a protective immune response against HBV (anti-HBs antibody levels > 10UI/L), whereas only two patients out of 15 in the control group (13%) developed a protective response. In the PMMA group six out of the eight responders had anti-HBs antibody levels ≥ 100UI/L, whereas only one of the responders in the

---

**Table 1**: Characteristics of patients who were randomized following one complete cycle of anti-HBV vaccination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>PMMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>73 ± 12</td>
<td>78 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>18/14</td>
<td>8/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry weight (kg)</td>
<td>74 ± 21</td>
<td>75 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialysis vintage (months)</td>
<td>75 ± 58</td>
<td>97 ± 67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of dialysis</td>
<td>Bicarbonate</td>
<td>Bicarbonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>Polysulfone-polyamide</td>
<td>Polysulfone-polyamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBsAb (UI/L)</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**: Results after the final dose of vaccination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>PMMA</th>
<th>X2 test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with HBsAb &gt; 10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of responders</td>
<td>13 %</td>
<td>47 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1**: Anti-HBs antibody levels in control group. Anti-HBs antibody levels (UI/L) were measured one month after the last vaccine injection.

**Figure 2**: Anti-HBs antibody levels in PMMA (BK-F) group. Anti-HBs antibody levels (UI/L) were measured one month after the last vaccine injection.

**Figure 3**: Level of sCD40 in the PMMA group and the control group throughout the study period.
control group had anti-HBs antibody levels ≥ 100 UI/L. Anti-HBs antibody levels ≥ 100 UI/L provide a very good protection against HBV. These results are in agreement with the results published previously, which demonstrated that 60% of patients undergoing dialysis with PMMA membranes mounted an immune response after three months of dialysis [7,8].

The sCD40 level was found to vary considerably from patient to patient. In the small patient population presented here, we found no significant difference between the PMMA group and the control group. After the second month of dialysis we observed a tendency towards a decrease in the PMMA group, although this was not significant. When the data from patients undergoing dialysis with PMMA membranes were divided into responders and non-responders, the decrease in serum sCD40 levels after the second month was even more noticeable in the responder group.

In our precedent work [8] we underlined that PMMA is the only form of CD40 in deficient immunological function of dialysis patients: new.

sCD40 and high-molecular weight protein bound uremic toxins (PBUTs) involved in other major comorbidities such as uremic pruritus, anemia, and amyloidosis ecc. In addition to altered immune-response.

Therefore, following this line of thought super-flux dialyzers with high convection and adsorption such as the PMMA-BK series could be widely used in clinical situations where large quantities of High Molecular Weight Toxins (HMWT) or PBUTs are produced including septic patients with acute renal failure in which it is necessary to control at the same time uremia, fluid status and the removal of cytokines.

We wondered whether there could be a rationale for an occasional use of PMMA in order to maintain better immune competence during and after every vaccination and also strengthen the immune system of dialyzed patients.

So, in conclusion, PMMA membranes should become the first choice treatment for immune depressed patients, as well as for patients awaiting kidney transplantation in whom a good response to anti-HBV is recommended. Looking at recent findings sCD40 removal could play, in the near future, a role in HD patients improving endothelial function and preventing some dialysis comorbidities (i.e. artery coronary disease) [10].

In addition we should resolve the important doubt whether continuous or periodic use of PMMA (i.e one or two sessions per month) could improve immune dysfunction in all dialysis patients who seem to be particularly immune compromised. Further studies are needed to clarify the possible role of periodical – intermittent dialysis sessions with PMMA adsorptive membranes in the removal of HMWT that are produced daily.
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