Introduction

The appearance of a framework for occlusive colorectal tumor raises complex issues and difficult. We need to ensure a resumption of normal bowel function in patients often in extreme conditions. Emergency surgery flounders in a derivation and a resection with restoration of intestinal transit. The progress of digestive endoscopy can now make available a medical procedure that can solve the framework occlusive both as palliation both as a bridge to safer surgery. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the indications and limitations of the method of colon prosthesis with self-expandable stents.

Materials and methods: From 1996 to 2004 at the surgical clinic III - University Policlinic of Catania - were performed 162 interventions for colorectal pathology of which 82 for benign disease and the remaining 80 for malignant disease. In four of these cases of neoplastic occlusions (one in which the precarious clinical condition and high anesthetic risk high - ASA 5 - in a metastatic patient made problematic the surgical indication and 3 in which the significant intestinal distension induced to believe priority prosthetic re-canalization) recovery of bowel function was made possible with an attachment of metal self-expandable prosthesis. These 4 patients were compared with the same number of patients in overlapping conditions in which the re-channeling has been obtained by surgical methods in emergency. This has highlighted, despite its low numbers, at least a trend of lower risk in favor of the prosthesis, while recognizing that the endoscopic procedure involves a considerable wealth of complications. It emphasizes collaboration, critical, of course, with the endoscopist, even with the radiologist who, in addition to laying the groundwork for the definition of indications, is directly implicated in the satisfactory development of the procedure during and after its implementation.

Conclusions: In patients with blocking colorectal tumor is feasible to implement an attempt endoscopic decompression useful to the recovery of the intestinal canalization. Primarily by the occlusion of a tumor locally advanced or with distant metastases, or from a patient with clinical conditions so complex that make the operative risk unacceptable. But beyond these cases, it soon became apparent that, even in patients not extreme, the affixing of a prosthesis can be used to ensure normal bowel function before surgery, and therefore to obtain an optimal rehydration of the patient, a reduction gas expansion of the bowel, with improved intestinal trophism loops upstream and lower risk for the anastomosis. That affixing can make the indicated treatment in one time of the occlusive status. In these cases, therefore, the prosthesisation of acute occlusion can be a procedure able to move the patient to a virtually elective surgical procedure, This represent a bridge to surgery, without a doubt the best procedure in every sense (bridge to surgery) [14]. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the indications and limitations of this method on the basis of a comparison of the endoscopic procedure and emergency surgery. This, despite numbers too small, certainly not useful for statistical evaluation, however, can indicate an operative trend and gives an idea of the possibilities of the endoscopictecnhique.

Materials and Methods

From 1996 to 2004 at the surgical clinic III - University Policlinic of Catania were treated 162 interventions for colorectal pathology moving from the emergency room. Of these cases, 82 were benign diseases (diverticulitis, volvulus, and intussusception), the remaining 80 were cancer diseases. Given their provenance of urgency, in 75
cases, the patients presenting with a severe bowel obstruction for which it was necessary to adopt an emergency procedure. In 3 cases was present sigmoid volvulus with septic shock, in 2 necrosis and bowel perforation for femoral strangulated hernia and peritonitis. These cases, suffering with the intestinal wall, were all surgically treated in emergency. In 4 of the 75 cases occluded by cancer occurred to our observation, we have tried alternative routes to emergency surgery in the period 2002/04. In the first case we have adopted the procedure of palliative endoscopic placement of a self-expandable stent for cancer of the rectum-sigma locally advanced in a very elderly patient in poor general condition with pulmonary repetitions. The patient lived a couple of months, but fully ducted. The success of the procedure, has led us to extend the indications of the use of stents to patients not in poor general condition, in that mode which has since been called “bridge to surgery”. Three other patients had indeed placed the stent and, after an average of 16 days, underwent definitive surgical treatment in channeling state, with a chance to make preoperative bowel cleaning. The clinical postoperative evolution of these patients was a subclinical dehiscence in case 3 and a burrowing led by drainage in case 1, both healed without major problems. Uneventful the case 2. These patients were all treated in the early 2000. After we have not got to continue the procedure for both the endoscopist transfer to another hospital and hints for both negative the Dutch experience that was maturing. We now, years later, given the renewed interest in the procedure, compare our 4 patients with prostheses with as many cases of our series emergency surgery, stratified by age, sex, site of occlusion and comorbidity similar the previous, but not undergoing “re-canalization” stents, and treated in emergency with surgical resection / anastomosis and ileostomy. While, as mentioned, in re-canalized endoscopic bridge were only a postoperative subclinical dehiscence and a fistula, both healed without special measures, in historians patients instead there were two important clinical dehiscence that required the first a) repackaging of the anastomosis and the positioning of multiple drainages, the other b) an intervention of Hartman, after disassembly of the anastomosis, and then a double stoma and both a third intervention to restore intestinal continuity. In 4 cases underwent stent only in the first case (palliation) the clinical condition at admission were precarious and high anesthetic risk (ASA 5) while the occlusion was caused by metastatic tumor. The other three were in better clinical conditions, such as to consider feasible the adoption of the stent as a bridge to surgery. In all cases the re-canalization, both palliative both preoperative, of bowel function was made possible by affixing of a self-expandable metallic prosthesis (Figure 1). The devices used for localized stenosis in the left colon, for anatomical reasons, were of the Evolution type. Today the covered stents are also available, perhaps more suitable for palliative action, while for the short period of the bridge to surgery, open stents that pass in the working channel of the endoscope (TTS), are still eligible.

In Figures are shown the prosthesis (Figure 1) the direct [2] radiology, the endoscopic aspect (Figure 4).

The diagnostic study of the patient occluded is obtained by performing a CT scan (Figure 3) to evaluate the location, extent and nature of the stenosis, the state of the intestinal wall, the amount of air-fluid levels in order to have an overall picture of the situation (to exclude a bowel perforation, a suffering loop, a closed-loop dilatation).

It should also assess the extent of the disease for the objective palliative or bridge to surgery of the procedure [13,14]. The indication for stent placement is decided after specialists consultation (surgeon, radiologist, endoscopist and anesthesiologist). The onset of occlusive symptoms, their severity and gas dilatation of bowel, are the elements that allow you to assess the extent of the occlusion and within how many hours must be backed attempt of endoscopic decompression. In most cases the endoscopic procedure can be performed within 6-8 hours after admission. The anesthesia care required for patients with critical conditions, is that of sedation which may be sufficient for a dose of benzodiazepines. In other cases one resorts to most important sedation. In the course of endoscopic insufflation worsens abdominal distension favoring the onset of further pain and vomiting. For this reason, the SNG and aspiration of intestinal contents, where possible,
can help. Subsequently, reached the stenosis, the endoscopist to overcome the stricture using guide-wire and catheter. Once you’ve crossed the stenosis under radiological contrast graphic control, the guide-wire remains in situ and through a catheter is seeking to defend the section of the colon upstream, in order to avoid a further risk of (late) perforation, taking into account that already the dilatation of the stenosis before the true procedure (pre-dilatation) represents a serious risk factor for intestinal perforation. The stent should has a length of at least 3–4 cm greater than that of the stenosis in order to allow a good fit even in very angled positions where a stent too short could put on the opposite wall increasing the risk of dislocation and perforation of the wall. After the stent adaptation in the stenosis, the release of the prosthesis is under radiological and endoscopic control (Figures 2, 4), come on slowly and gradually. To underline the importance of collaboration with the radiologist responsible not only as for the study of the occlusion, as for the evaluation of the viability of the loop occluded, the size of the obstacle, the overcoming of the stenotic tract with the stent, the absence of perforative complications close of the procedure, the evolution of the channeling (reduction of distension and levels) and the onset of any late complications (in particular the dislocation of the prosthesis).

Discussion

The treatment of a framework of intestinal occlusion usually requires emergency surgery. The latter, despite the usual gastric drainage and an attempt necessarily short of fluid and electrolyte balance, poses different general (ventilatory failure, pH disquilibre, electrolyte imbalance, etc) and local (intestinal distension, thickening and edema of tissues upstream occlusion, direct damage of tissues in case of strangulation) problems. General alterations jeopardize the evolution of the postoperative course especially involving the cardio-respiratory system, local ones instead can disrupt the evolution of the healing process of the intestinal sutures consequent to the restoration of intestinal continuity after resection loop bowel cancer. Frequently you choose not to carry out the intestinal reconstruction, sends it back to a second intervention. At least we resort to an external user of intestinal transit, to “protect” the suture or better sends it back to a second intervention. At least we resort to an restoration of intestinal continuity after resection loop bowel cancer.
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Figure 4: Endoscopic prosthesis.
Despite everything, no doubt the positive experience of the four cases occurred under our observation (are high, over 90%, the possibilities for proper placement and effectiveness reanalyzing prosthesis) may lead to the conclusion that the prosthetic want palliative want to bridge, may represent a therapeutic option in most armory available to health for better and more appropriately address these health emergencies, because the incidence of complications does not appear in the literature, even in large series, aggregate exceed 5%.

Conclusions

Most severity of the complications occurred in the series made in emergency surgery compared to the series undergoing stent, as well as the consideration that in these serious complications often need further surgery lead us to consider that in patients with colorectal tumor blocking is feasible to implement an attempt endoscopic decompression useful to the recovery of the intestinal channeling overcoming the state of necessity and reporting clinical parameters to an acceptable condition for subsequent excision (bridge to surgery) [14]. Especially in those cases where the clinical conditions do not allow any type of intervention, the procedure is a valid alternative to palliative colostomy. It is necessary to acquire more experience on large series, make a rigorous evaluation of the benefits and risks of the procedure, and obtain from bioengineering new and more suitable materials, to offer patients an adequate answer to their problems.
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