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**Abstract**

There are different types of congenital anomalies who have its origin in the embryological development of the esophagus and trachea at the fifth and seventh weeks. Examples of these are Laryngotracheoesophageal clefts, esophageal atresia with or without fistula and Congenital Esophageal Stenosis (CES) [1-3].

The following case expose a 28-days-old baby boy with a strange type of tracheoesophageal fistula with an esophageal stenosis.

**Case report**

A 28–days-old, 41 week gestation and 3170-g baby boy was admitted on March 22, 2015 with the clinical suspect of esophageal atresia with tracheoesophageal fistula. His fenotipical appearance seems like a genetic syndrome. In a chest x-ray we found that the oragastric catheter reach the right bronchus, in many attempts to progress it to the stomach we failed. A radiopaque solution through the tube shown contrast in the airway with a narrow passage to distal esophagus (Figure 1). A superior airway endoscopy was made to seek up a fistula: We observed a big communication between trachea and esophagus at the level of the fifth tracheal cartilage progressing endoscope to carina; in an attempt to reach up the esophagus an stenosis was found above this big communication (Figure 2).

An anterior cervical transverse incision was made. A common space between posterior wall of trachea and anterior wall of the esophagus was visible (Figure 3). Tracheoesophageal communication and esophageal stenosis were resected and trachea and esophagus were anastomosed separately in a terminal way. Previously we introduce a transanastomotic tube through esophagus for postoperatory early feeding.

The posoperative esophagogram shown no leaks or strictures. Patient starts oral feeding with excellent tolerance.

**Discussion**

There are different types of congenital anomalies who have...
its origin in the embryological development of the esophagus and trachea. Examples of these are Laryngotracheoesophageal clefts, esophageal atresia with or without fistula and congenital esophageal stenosis.

Herein we describe a twenty-eight days newborn who present difficulties to pass through an orogastric tube. At the chest x-ray we found that the tube was in the right bronchus. Barium esophagogram shows a perfect tracheogram with a narrowing course to distal esophagus without reaching the stomach.

In the surgery we found a big communication between the trachea and the esophagus that share the posterior wall of the airway and the anterior wall of the digestive tube; above that the normal esophagus had a stenosis impossible to pass through with a 6fr catheter.

Since 1973, Spitz was the first to demonstrate a congenital basis for distal esophageal stenosis associated with esophageal atresia by showing the presence of tracheobronchial rest in a esophagectomy specimen [4,5].

In 1987, Nihoul-Fékété et al [4,6] analyse 20 cases of CES and found 2 patients with associated esophageal atresia or tracheoesophageal fistula and define congenital esophageal stenosis as an intrinsic stenosis present at birth, which is caused by a congenital malformation of the esophageal wall not necessarily symptomatic. They classify the etiology as: tracheobronchial rest, membranous diaphragm or segmental hypertrophy of the muscularis and diffuse fibrosis of submucosa.

Analyzing and comparing our patient with the previous, we found substantial differences: our patient has a short round stenosis above the tracheoesophageal fistula that was visible in the surgical procedure because the impossibility to reach the distal esophagus; in those reports, the patients presents as a esophageal atresia with or without fistula and in most cases the stenosis was a postoperative discovery. The esophageal stenosis in these cases present frequently as a long narrowing segment distal the esophageal anastomosis.

In an attempt to find similar cases to compare and understand our patient, literature offers us an entity call laryngotracheoesophageal clefts. Bruce Benjamin and Andrew Inglis [7,8], in 1989, classified this congenital malformation in four types: (I) supraglottic interarytenoid cleft (above the vocal cords); (II) Partial cricoid cleft; (III) Total cricoid cleft (with or without further extension into part of the cervical cervical tracheoesophageal wall) and (IV) Laryngotracheoesophageal cleft (involves major part of the intrathoracic tracheoesophageal wall). In the latest type, if the extension of the cleft rises the carina leaves a common esophageal and tracheal lumen.

Analyzing the case once more time, we found only one simmilarity to the Benjamin–Inglis Classification. Our patient had a tracheoesophageal communication like a type IV cleft, but in the endoscopy the supraglottic portion of the larynx and cricoid cartilage were intact and the tracheoesophageal communication was below thyroid cartilage. If we reach and association between a typical cleft and this case, we are able to think a board spectrum of the previous classification.

Finally, in 1976, Dietrich Kluth [9] describe different types of esophageal atresia. In this atlas, Type IV are call Membranuous Atresias. Looking at the pictures we can compare our finding to the author description.

**Conclusion**

Tracheoesophageal fistula has its origin at the fifth to seventh embrionyc weeks in a failure of the fusion of the tracheoesophageal septum. It is clear that the congenital malformation of our patient start at this point of the embryological development.

In the literature we found different cases of tracheoesophageal fistula, laryngotracheoesophageal cleft and isolated esophageal stenosis but we did not found similarities to this baby boy. He has a kind of defect that is different to the classical reports. We call it esophageal stenosis with “tracheoesophageal window” because the length of the communication (seven tracheal cartilages) no appears to be a classic tracheoesophageal fistula and the integrity of the upper portion of the tracheoesophageal wall rules out a laryngotracheoesophageal cleft.
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