Introduction

One of the sequels due to the surgical repair of the Cleft Lip and Palate (LPH) [1], is the retrusion of the middle facial third, affecting the three-dimensional growth of the maxilla. The contracture due to scar tissue is one of the most important causes of maxillary growth alterations [2], reporting an incidence of 15% to 25% in most series [3]. The main clinical features such as concave profile, anteroposterior deficiency of the paranasal and zygomatic region, excessive buccal corridors, negative horizontal bite, anterior crossbite produce functional alterations in the masticatory system and dysarthria causing nasopharyngeal constriction as well as damaging the physical appearance of the expensive.

The severity of maxillary hypoplasia results from factors such as: the number of surgeries performed or the not early orthopedic maxillary intervention [4,5]. Subsequently treatment in these sequelae depends mainly on the degree of involvement as well as the age of the patient. This group of patients represents a challenge in the treatment due to the skeletal deformity, usually greater than in dentofacial deformities not associated with LPH [6–8].
the middle palatal suture), the greater anterior anteroposterior growth is completed at the age of 14 years and the growth vertical of 16 to 20 years (sutural growth between frontal and zygomatic bones) [20,21].

Traditionally, maxillary traction has been reported with anchoring to orthopedic dental fixation devices as treatment to patients with a tendency to class III, associated with mild maxillary hypoplasias. In patients with moderate to severe skeletal discrepancies, it is contraindicated to use dental anchoring before the traction forces, in addition to locating bone thickness and adequate quality, ensuring stability in the fixation related to adverse dental effects [15,16]. Performing bone anchorage allows a true movement of the maxilla, applying force directly to the maxillary complex without involving dental movements.

The advance of the maxilla by a forward movement induced by external traction forces is achieved by remodeling the circummaxillary sutures and maxillary tuberosity, stimulating the growth of the maxillary complex as soon as possible is more effective because of the greater degree of cellular activity in the maxilla [22]. The circummaxillary sutures. Kyung Suk Cha found a greater peak in the effect to external forces of protraction in one group of patients with prepubertal and pubertal growth [23], effect that decreases in the group of post pubertal growth increasing the adverse dental effects, for that reason it is suggested to classify the groups of growth using carpal radiographs using the Fishman method [24].

The maxillary bone anchorage has been described with the zygomatic abutments of the maxilla or naso–maxilla, it was decided by the maxillary zygomatic due to offer sufficient bone thickness and adequate quality, ensuring stability in the anchorage before the traction forces, in addition to locating the area near the center of resistance of the maxillary complex favoring the growth vector [25].

Material and Procedure

A 10-year-old male patient with Complete Bilateral Lip and Palate Sequela submitted to the protocol of Cha et al and Clerck; maxillary support with mini fixed plates and microscrews is selected, tensioning the facial mask plus the rapid expansion intra oral device with inter occlusal acrylic to open the canine class III bite and molar mixed dentition (Photograph A). The rapid expansion was repeated in four monthly periods, the first 4 days of the month, the activation was 1mm per day with simultaneous use of the protraction mask (Photograph B). Prospective observational study conducted at UNITEC and HGO CMN La Raza.

The clinical features presented by the patient were maxillary hypoplasia as a consequence of the sequelae of Bilateral Lip and Cleft Palate with anterior crossbite and convex profile, erupted lower permanent canines; the patient, according to Lamparski, was in a stage of prepubertal maturation in cervical vertebrae CS5, (Photograph C). Lateral skull radiography was taken at the beginning, clinical photography and gypsum study models. Placing two miniplates fixed with one self-drilling titanium microtubes in each infracygomatic zone. The flaps were sutured freeing the mucogingival junction, taking two weeks to recover the tissues before starting with traction, having an evaluation control with lateral x-ray of the skull, orthopantomography and pre–operative occlusal, before the placement of the miniplates and postoperative, after the placement of miniplates (Photograph D).

The activation with the elastics started in the fourth week after the procedure. Starting with one of 150gr per side, increasing to 200gr after one month; 250gr after two months to reach 600 gr per side. The force was measured with a Dontrix calibrator from the Dentaurum brand. The elastics are changed by new ones daily at night. The use of the elastics is for 24 hours a day, it is indicated to remove them for feeding, and the protraction was carried out in a period of 12 months (Table 2).

### Clinical case

11-year-old male patient who visited the National Medical Center of La Raza in the Pediatric Maxillofacial Surgery department with diagnosis of Bilateral Cleft Lip and Palate Sequelae and lyophilized bone graft, combining it with autologous calvarial sponge graft. Retouching labial to form a vestibule, performing the conventional protraction, without

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Indications</th>
<th>Period of use</th>
<th>Vector</th>
<th>Strength</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facial mask</td>
<td>Early treatment of mild maxillary hypoplasia &lt;4 mm.</td>
<td>10 – 14 hrs.</td>
<td>30º below the occlusal plane.</td>
<td>180-400g.</td>
<td>16 months.</td>
<td>Maxillary anterior antero movement 3 - 5 mm. Depending on the treatment time: Maxillary anti-clockwise rotation, Lower facial height increase. Lingualversion of lower incisors. Pro inclination of upper incisors. Mesialization of molars. Loss of maxillary teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteogenic distraction</td>
<td>Hypoplasia of severe maxilla. Craniofacial deformities LP.</td>
<td>24 months.</td>
<td>Go ahead and down.</td>
<td>1 kg.</td>
<td>90 days.</td>
<td>Maxillary advance 13 - 24 mm. Increase of paranasal projection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone anchoring for protraction</td>
<td>Hypoplasia of moderate to severe maxilla with vertical involvement, before puberty.</td>
<td>14 – 24 months.</td>
<td>30º below the occlusal plane.</td>
<td>200-250g.</td>
<td>12 months.</td>
<td>Advances of 4 - 7 mm. Lightweight anti-clockwise rotation. Increase in paranasal projection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
maxillary advance. With concave facial profile, skeletal class III anterior maxillary deficiency, on horizontal bite of ~8 mm, it starts with one over maxillary expansion in the intermolar area according to Ton-Jakel and Pont Ancho, superior interpemolar distance: 33mm, upper intermolar distance: 51mm lower interpemolar distance: 31mm, lower intermolar distance: 49mm open bite tendency. Steiner, Ricketts cephalometric analysis, where it is obtained as a list of diagnoses (Table 3).

Subsequently, surgical technique was performed under general inhalation anesthesia balanced with orotracheal intubation, mucoperiosteal incision in the labial vestibule at the maxillary zygomatic buttress, raising a full thickness flap to expose the zygomatic process of the maxilla bilaterally, conformation of a 5-hole mini L-plate MODUS midface 1.5, fixing it with two monocortical screws of 1.5 mm diameter and 7mm long, each one at the level of the basal bone in the zygomatic apophysis of the maxilla, suturing by planes with (polyglactin 910) 4-0, leaving two holes on the plate exposed at the level of inserted gingiva. After three weeks of healing, protraction forces were applied with elastics in class III, placing a protraction mask (Photograph E). Obtaining as results the advance of the maxilla with decrease of open bite.

Discussion

Moss, with the functional matrix theory, points out that bone and cartilage lack growth determination and develop in response to the intrinsic growth of the associated soft tissues. This growth is visceral and is expressed through the sutures, producing a secondary displacement of the maxilla as a consequence of the functional requirement.

The use of mini plates in the area of the infra zygomatic fissure with the placement of miniplates supported on bone tissue and an external force such as the anterior traction with the facial mask allows an important advance in the maxilla, in addition to the fixation in the jaw, as a firm support element, it contributes to this advance in patients with growth deficit of the middle facial third (Photograph F). According to Moss, the stimulated functional matrix is favored with growth as long as this stimulus exists. Using this technique, the force vector will be in the oblique direction (forward-downward) (Photograph G). Installing mini plates with a long connecting bar in the region of the infracigomática crest (with the orthopedic fixation head that approaches the level of the occlusal plane) (Photograph H) and mini plates or implants in the most anterior and superior region of the Jaw, like the canine region, the force vector can be placed in the most horizontal direction possible.
Results

Significant results of growth modification were obtained in the patient using intermaxillary elastics and devices anchored in the maxilla and mandible. Therefore, the intermaxillary elastics can produce enough traction to stimulate the bone to change and grow, therefore, we assume that the stimulation of the direction of the force vector and the activations of the intraoral mask device, can have similar growth effects in the maxilla of growing children.

Achieving class I in canines and permanent molars, the decrease of telescopic bite, stability in the pre-maxilla from the bilateral alveolar grafts and over -3 mm bite. The patient had an excellent result with a rapid expansion of the maxilla, the traction was applied in the protraction mask following the Cha BK protocol.

Conclusions

The maxillary protraction technique originally described by Clerk [26–30]. Can be an effective treatment in patients with Cleft Lip and Cleft Palate who did not have a timely prior

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Hours of use</th>
<th>Vector</th>
<th>Strenght</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facial mask</td>
<td>Maxillary hypoplasia</td>
<td>16 to 18 hours daily.</td>
<td>25°</td>
<td>150 – 600 g</td>
<td>12 months.</td>
<td>Advances of : 4 - 7 millimeters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone anchoring for protraction</td>
<td>Severe maxillary hypoplasia with vertical involvement before puberty.</td>
<td>14 hours daily.</td>
<td>30° below the occlusal plane.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12 months.</td>
<td>Slight maxillary rotation anti-clockwise. Increase in paranasal projection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Diagnostic list obtained from Steiner and Ricketts cephalometry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vertical</th>
<th>DENTAL</th>
<th>OSSEOUS</th>
<th>SOFT TISSUES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Molar and canine class: Right: III 3/4 NV. Left: III ½ NV.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Photograph E: Using this technique, the force vector will be in the oblique direction forward-downward.

Photograph G: Determination of the sagittal measurement “Wits” (abbreviation of the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa) proposed by Jacobson (1975). The points AO and BO represent the projection A and B, respectively, in the occlusal plane. This projection of A and B uses a right angle to the occlusal plane.

Photograph F: The anterior traction with the facial mask allows important progress in the maxilla, besides the fixation in the jaw as a firm support element contributes to this advance in patients with growth deficit of the middle facial third.

Photograph H: Plaque exposed at the level of inserted gingiva, after three weeks of healing, protraction forces were applied with elastics in class III, placement of a protraction mask.
orthopedic control; to compensate for the anteroposterior deficiency of the middle third avoiding the unwanted effects, mainly of dental movements. It is economical compared to distractor techniques, even though it does not present all the advantages achieved with this technique.

However, it is an alternative for the stimulation of growth in this type of patients, with an adequate control in terms of facial growth, in which patients have this great deficiency, allowing a stable facial condition and acceptable aesthetic.
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