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Abstract

Background: After the universal two-child policy in China, the Second-Birth Fertility Desire (SBFD) has aroused widespread concern. There have been some research on SBFD, however, current reports on social factors and SBFD are not detailed. The objective was to investigate the impact of social factors on SBFD and to explore correlation between them.

Methods: By stratified sampling, 436 married women who had one child from urban area in Zhejiang province had completed the questionnaires in 2017. Multiple Correspondence Analysis (MCA) was performed to clarify the relationship between SBFD and socioeconomic factors such as Socioeconomic Status (SES).

Results: The results showed that the determinants of second-birth fertility desire varied across parities: SES, age and Couple from One-Child Families (CFOCF). MCA showed that couples both from one-child families or whose SES were "Mid-High" were inclined to have a second child. The "Not sure" second-birth fertility desire was related with one of the couple from one-child families and "Middle" SES. Married women whose SES were "Low" or "Mid-Low" or 40+ years old were not prone to have a second child.

Conclusion: Second-birth fertility desire was related with social factors, including SES, age and CFOCF. Government should be focus on people with middle and above socioeconomic status, couples who have at least one spouse from one-child family and people under 40 to improve the total fertility rate of urban dwellers.

Abbreviations

SBFD: Second-Birth Fertility Desire; SES: Socioeconomic Status; CFOCF: Couple from One-Child Families; MCA: Multiple Correspondence Analysis; CA: Correspondence Analysis; TFR: Total Fertility Rate

Plain English summary

People are producing fewer and fewer children and the Total Fertility Rate (TFR) are declining in the world especially developed countries, which has contributed to a reduction in the population sizes. China’s one-child family policy has had a great effect on the lives of population for nearly 40 years. However new problems arise as rapidly ageing and high sex ratio according to the development of economy and policy implementation. So selective two-child policy and universal two-child policy have been introduced successive. In this paper, the Second–Birth Fertility Desire (SBFD) was explored among 436 married women who had one child living in Zhejiang province, a urban district in eastern China. Overall, the study found that three factors (Socioeconomic Status (SES), Couple from One-Child Families (CFOCF) and age) be associated with SBFD. The couples both from one-child families or whose SES
were “Mid–High” were inclined to have a second child. However women whose SES were “Low” or “Mid–Low” or 40+ years old were not prone to have a second child. The findings suggest a need to target those who are middle and above socioeconomic status, couples who have at least one spouse from one-child family and people under 40 years old in order to raise second-child fertility levels in urban population.

**Background**

The one-child family policy was introduced in 1978 to slow population growth and improve economic level and living standards. Despite the policy’s success, massive changes have taken place in the social economy during the past 35 years. Problems such as very low fertility, a rapidly ageing population and the high sex ratio arose [1–2]. Fertility dropped below the replacement level in the early 1990s and has been increasingly lower [3]. The Total Fertility Rate (TFR) was 1.6–1.8, and lower than the world standard 2.1. In 2017, the number of neonates decreased by 630 thousand compared with the same period in 2016 all around the country [4]. The proportion of population at the age of 60 and age of 65 and above reached 15.53% and 10.06% in 2014 [5]. The sex ratio was 118, rising to 135 in some rural areas. The sex ratio at birth started to rise about 25 years ago, peaking at 121 in 2005 [6]. The high sex ratio at birth has a close relationship with the decline of the Total Fertility Rate (TFR), and both have negative correlation, the reversal time was around 1980 [7]. In order to reduce risks and negative effects, China started to adjust the one-child policy to improve the total fertility rate and to alleviate the degree of population aging [5]. Selective two-child policy was performed on November 2013, which indicated that either the husband or the wife from a single-child family could have a second child. However, the eligible fertility rate was much lower than expected after one and a half years. The policy that a couple could have two children (universal two-child policy) was implemented on January 2016 [5].

Although the government encourages the second child fertility recently, fertility desire and behavior are closed with factors such as age, socioeconomic status, housing, medical services, working status, education level, income, gender of first–birth, raising costs and gender inequality in housework [8–10]. Socioeconomic Status (SES) was one of the main socioeconomic factors, as assessed by income, educational achievement, job and so on. Some studies showed that SES’s widely accepted definition was identified and classified into different levels: 3 ranks (low, middle and high) or 5 ranks (low, mid–low, middle, mid–high and high) [11–14]. On the basis of socioeconomic status scale proposed by an American sociologist Duncan, Li Qiang conducted localization research in China, and established a SES scale of Chinese large city. The SES scale included income, occupation and educational level [15]. Different SES possessed unequal social resources, and affected fertility desire.

**Methods**

**Subjects and procedure**

Data were obtained from cross-sectional survey in 2017. The target population comprised married women aged from 20 to 50 who had one child and were from urban areas in Zhejiang province, randomly selected by stratified sampling technique. At first, three stratifications were divided by economic level in Zhejiang province (3, 4, 4 cities respectively). Wenzhou, Shaoxing and Huzhou were chosen to survey. In each city, three communities were sampled randomly. About 30 percent of this target population for study sample according to the community registration information. We got 436 valid questionnaires with the response rate of 99.1%.

**Social class**

Social classes were determined by income, education and employment. The item was rated 1 (low) to 7 (high) in the three variables respectively. The score of social class was the total score of income, education and employment. So that a high score indicated a higher social class.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses performed by SPSS version 18.0 software, included the χ² test and multiple correspondence analysis (MCA). If there were significant difference between second–birth fertility desire and socioeconomic factors (P<0.05) based on χ² test, MCA was performed to assess the relationship of related variables. Correspondence analysis (CA) is a way to scale jointly a matrix of non–negative data to represent objects described by rows or columns as points in a low-dimensional space and decompose a measure of association. MCA is the multivariate version of CA used to analyze data tables containing three or more variables (features). The results of MCA are presented in the form of graphs [16, 17]. MCA was performed based on optimal scaling in SPSS software.

**Results**

**Socioeconomic score**

In accordance with Qiang Li’s socioeconomic score, the monthly household income per capita in the original scale was revised according to the income level of urban residents in Zhejiang Province in 2016. The specific scores are shown in Table 1. Urban women were divided into five groups according to the total score of the three indicators (education, income and job) (Table 2 for details).

**Second-birth fertility desire**

No significant difference in the gender of first–birth or the number of abortions was found. However, the second child fertility desire was significantly different in the factors of age, CFOCF and SES (P<0.05, Table 3). The second child fertility desires of different ages were: age 20–34 (21.5%), 35–39 (19.2%) and 40+ years (8.8%). The factor CFOCF was associated with second–child fertility desire: both (22.4%), one (17.9%) and none (15.7%). On the other hand, people of different socioeconomic status have different second-child fertility desires: low (11.1%), mid–low (20.0%), middle (14.4%), mid–high (22.4%) and high (35.7%).

There were good discriminations in dimension 1 and dimension 2 in three variables (couple from one-child families,
second child fertility desire and SES). Good discrimination was also found in gender in dimension 1 (Figure 1).

The MCA dimensions explained almost 74.5% of total variance. Dimension 1 contributed 60.1% of the total inertia and dimension 2 contributed 39.9%. In the MCA plot, four variables were divided into four quadrants to show the association of the categories. The points SBFD “Have intention”, CFOCF “Both” and SES “Mid-High” were closer and distributed in the first quadrant, which showed that couples both from one-child families and with Mid–High SES were inclined to have a second child. There were relationships among the points SBFD “Not sure”, CFOCF “One” and SES = “Middle” in the fourth quadrant. The SBFD “Do not have intention” was related to SES “Low”, “Mid–Low” and 40+ years old in the second quadrant (Figure 2).

### Table 1: Indicators of socioeconomic score in urban women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Monthly household income per capita (yuan)</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Primary school</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;520</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Temporary workers / unemployed</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>521~1560</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Manual worker</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle school</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1561~2600</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Skilled worker</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2601~5200</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>General office staff</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical School/ Professional School</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5201~7800</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>General managers/ general professional technicians</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College/ University</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7801~10400</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Middle management staff/middle professional technicians</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥Postgraduate</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>≥10401</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Senior management/ senior professional technicians</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Socioeconomic score in urban women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social class</th>
<th>Range of score</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>18~21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-High</td>
<td>15~17</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>12~14</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Low</td>
<td>9~11</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>3~8</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Second-birth fertility desire (SBFD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classify</th>
<th>Intention to have another child (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Have intention</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;35</td>
<td>48(21.5)</td>
<td>119(53.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35~39</td>
<td>19(19.2)</td>
<td>35(35.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+</td>
<td>10(8.8)</td>
<td>29(25.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender of first-birth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boy</td>
<td>40(16.0)</td>
<td>101(40.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>girl</td>
<td>37(19.9)</td>
<td>82(44.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple from one-child families (CFOCF)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>19(22.4)</td>
<td>38(44.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>24(17.9)</td>
<td>73(54.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>34(15.7)</td>
<td>72(33.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>5(11.1)</td>
<td>15(33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Low</td>
<td>24(20.0)</td>
<td>41(34.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-High</td>
<td>26(14.4)</td>
<td>91(50.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>17(22.4)</td>
<td>30(39.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of abortions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>43(16.7)</td>
<td>106(41.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24(19.7)</td>
<td>52(42.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>10(17.5)</td>
<td>25(43.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

Correspondence analysis provides a means of displaying or summarizing a set of data with categorical variables in two-dimensional graphical form [18]. Multiple correspondence analysis is to analyze the correlation of a set of attribute variables. In a lower dimensional space, the proportional structure of each element in the row and column is represented in the lower dimensional space MCA is a widely used technique to analyze categorical data and aims to reduce large sets of
variables into smaller sets of components that summarize the information contained in the data [19]. MCA has been widely used in different fields and disciplines [20,21], it can serve to analyze real problems in a large variety of different fields, social surveys, psychometry, marketing and also to further develop the methodology to produce research papers [22]. In this study, MCA based on optimal scaling was used to study the correlation between socioeconomic factors and second-birth fertility desire.

MCA showed that couples both from one-child families and with "Mid-High" SES were inclined to have a second child. Couples both from one-child families had a higher SBFD, which was consistent with the results of other research [23,24]. People from one-child families grew up in a lonely environment and were less likely to be accompanied by brothers or sisters. On the other hand, they also took greater pension pressure from the future "4:1" family structure (4 old people and 1 child). So they were often inclined to have two or more children.

The study showed that women of "Mid-High" SES were inclined to have a second child. On the contrary, women of "Low" and "Mid-Low" SES had lower intentions. With the improvement of socioeconomic status, second- birth fertility desire was on the rise. Some research suggested that second-birth fertility desire was largely affected by family income and economic conditions [23,25–26]. People with stable high income tended to have a second child [23]. Almost all people who didn't want a second child mentioned economic pressure. Families in the middle of or even below the social economy were busy to make a living every day and didn't have superfluous energy and time to take care of one more child. Due to higher and higher costs of raising children, parents paid more attention to living and education quality rather than the number of children and "High" SES. Though "High" SES was also in the first quadrant, it was far away from the point "Have intention" SBFD so their relationship was not so close, which confused us. In this study, except for income, SES also included education and job. High-income and well-educated women prefer to be successful at work, perhaps second-child will affect their career pursuits. However, people with "Mid-High" and "High" SES often possess more social resources and better education to provide their children with good living environment, so government should encourage them to have second child in order to improve the overall quality of the next generation.

Age is also one of the most important factors for most women to make the second-child birth plan. Age of women has a certain effect on the second-child fertility desire [27]. "Intergenerational difference" makes different age groups have different fertility desires. In this study, married women aged 40+ were not inclined to have a second child. Due to various burdens produced by the first child and greater risk of fertility as the age increases, fertility will weaken. Some studies confirmed that the older a woman is, the less willing to have a second child, especially over 35 years old [28,29].

In this study, gender preference was not a factor to second-child fertility desire among married women. The percent of intention for the second child was 17.9% (first child was a boy) vs. 18.3% (first child was a girl), and there was no significant difference ($\chi^2 = 0.314, p = 0.855$). Compared to mother, father preferred to fertility boys [9].

In the last four decades, the one-child family policy and social economic development have jointly promoted the decline of China's fertility level [30]. At the same time, the increase in the cost of raising children has reduced fertility. Reduced fertility, improved living conditions, and increased life expectancy in recent years will lead to the rapid increase of elderly population and the rapid decrease of human workforce in the following decades. This phenomenon will turn into a serious social challenge [31]. Government should be focus on people with middle and above socioeconomic status, couples who have at least one spouse from one-child family and people under 40 to improve the total fertility rate of urban dwellers. On the surface, the problem of birth is a family problem, and its essence is a major basic problem in the operation of the social and economic system. Population policies will have a major impact on a country’s economic development, social stability and improvement of people’s living standards. The universal two-child policy not only plays a key role in relieve the aging of society, but also is necessary to solve the problem of low fertility in the long run [32]. The second child can make the family more harmonious and lead a better life, it also helps the children to cultivate the spirit of solidarity and mutual assistance, learn to share and take responsibility, optimistic and cheerful disposition and so on.

The universal two-child policy is the intermediate link between fertility desire and fertility behavior. Fertility behavior has a strong population selectivity and uncertainty [33]. So whether the fertility desire can be transformed into actual fertility behavior depends largely on the birth plan and other factors.

Limitations

The study only sampled three cities in this province, although it had a large sample size, the results were not applicable to all aspects and could not be generalized to whole married women in Chinese cities. It was difficult to establish cause and effect relationship between second-birth fertility desire and socioeconomic factors based on a cross sectional study. Family planning was couple based and fertility did not depend on women’s desire only. Lots of factors other than individual issues were involved. Other factors such as health status, husband’s age and age gap, husband or whole family's second-birth fertility desire and the health of first-child that were known as influencing factors on second-birth fertility desire, were not measured in this research.

Conclusion

Fertility desire can be influenced by a number of factors that operate at the social and individual levels. Second-birth fertility desire was related with social factors, including SES, age and CFOCF. Government should be focus on people with middle and above socioeconomic status, couples who have at least one spouse from one-child family and people under 40 to improve the total fertility rate of urban dwellers.
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