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Introduction

The concepts of acute rheumatic fever [1-3] and septic endocarditis (according to the International Classification of Diseases, is called infectious endocarditis. Septic endocarditis is the principal author’s standpoint) – diseases that are still considered the main causes of acquired heart defects in Russia, have been under discussion for many years. The main question pertaining to this is the “specificity” of its pathogen. The opinion about the strict nosological independence of diseases, established in the late 60s, was questioned in the early 80s.

The clinically unusual form of septic endocarditis – primary chronic septic endocarditis [4] – which was first identified by us (Galina Bushmanova) in patients with acquired heart defects towards the end of 1979, was a serious basis for the subsequent long-term study of the key aspects of the problem (1980–2020) [5–14]. The disease’s unusual clinical manifestations, which allowed it to be associated with primary chronic inflammation, served as an opportunity for positioning the disease as a “new” clinical phenomenon of the 20th and 21st centuries, disguised as acute rheumatic fever.

We proposed a pentad of extracardiac pathognomonic symptoms for both diseases, which solved the problem of early diagnosis in the prevalvular, isolated parietal stage [15-17]. This made it possible to conduct long-term studies focused on the natural course of the disease with its features, stages and progression trends [18–24]. The existence of primary chronic septic endocarditis has been proven using all available methods of modern cardiac surgery and pathomorphology, including the use of a scanning electron microscope [6,10,13]. The results of a long-term prospective study of patients at early prevalvular stages within the periods exceeding 20 to 30 years confirmed the accuracy of diagnostic criteria and the existence of primary chronic septic endocarditis.

However, in connection with the results obtained, a question arose about the key aspects of the acute rheumatic fever and septic endocarditis problems.

The purpose of this work is to present an analysis of the key aspects of acute rheumatic fever, from the standpoint of their evidentiary significance, in relation to the disease traditionally associated with the “sterile complication” of infection that is associated with Group A Beta-Haemolytic Streptococcus comparing them with the results concerning septic endocarditis.

Material and methods

The material is the result of our long-term study (1980–2020) of primary chronic septic endocarditis (G.M. Bushmanova, 1979) [4,13]. The pre-surgery clinical diagnosis of this condition in patients with comorbid acquired valvular disease, established upon the identification of our proposed extracardiac pathognomonic markers of primary chronic septic
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endocarditis, constituted the main diagnostic pentad [15–17], as previously reported. This was confirmed by the results of intra-
surgery studies, including morphological ones. The latter was
carried out by V.A. Gross [6]. A total of 1962 operated patients
with acquired heart defects were examined. Using scanning
electron microscopy, the researcher studied biopsy and autopsy
material of valves resected during surgery from 73 patients. The
clinical study was supplemented with a wide range of methods
available in modern cardiac surgery and pathomorphology:
macro- and histomorphological, bacteriological, bacterioscopic,
cytological, and immunohistochemical methods. P.M. Larionov
studied morphological changes in internal organs in this
pathology [25]. The patent “Method for the diagnosis of
bacterial endocarditis” [8] was received. Studies for blood
parasitic infection in 42 patients were performed by A.V.
Terletsky and L.G. Akhmerova, who received the patent
“Method for the diagnosis of blood parasitic infection” [26].
Echocardiographic studies were performed by I.G. Zorina.

Primary chronic septic endocarditis, as the further studies
showed, can be present under the guise of acute rheumatic
fever [10,13]. In this regard, it seems that our earlier results
serve as the basis for a revolutionary change in the existing
paradigm of this disease, which is responsible for acquired heart
defects. Further, it is suggestive of a need for change in the
“generic” concept of “rheumatoid” to “chroniosepsy”, with
a corresponding change in the main pathogenetic directions of
the therapeutic strategy.

In this regard, several questions arise. How unexpected was
this result? What does the data about acute rheumatic fever look
like from the perspective of evidence-based medicine? What
new information has become available in recent years that
would allow considering the opinion that it is a nosologically
independent and highly specific disease, inextricably linked
with Group A Beta-Haemolytic Streptococcus, to be reasonable
and justified? Key aspects of acute rheumatic fever were analyzed
to answer these questions. The results of our own targeted
research and literature data concerning these aspects were
used.

Research results and discussion

As our previous studies showed, primary chronic septic
endocarditis showed the true face of acute rheumatic fever – a
disease with a long history, which at the initial stage was the
subject of close attention of the world scientific community.
The “new”, unusual form of the old disease seemed to be a
possible alternative to acute rheumatic fever. Acute rheumatic
fever and primary chronic septic endocarditis were associated
as antipodes with significantly different characteristic, which
form genesis of each of these diseases. So, according to the
popular opinion, acute rheumatic fever seemed to be a “sterile
complication” of Group A Beta–Haemolytic Streptococcus. It was
this position that served as a reference in the differential
diagnosis. The modern theory about acute rheumatic fever is
not deliberately discussed.

We are basing this on the belief that the primary chronic
septic nature of the disease is responsible for acquired heart
defects, which can be presented in all manifestations –
from clinical and paraclinical to morphological. We see the
only possible explanation for this disease as being a result of
intrapartum transplacental genesis. The results of our
research show that today’s modern scientific doctrine focuses
on the secondary mechanisms of pathology formation that
are characteristic of the later stages of the disease (immune
response, systemic autoimmune inflammation, and others).
At the same time, attention is not paid to the core issue –
the nature of the disease. Is endocarditis, septic or aseptic, a
“sterile complication” of Group A Beta–Haemolytic Streptococcus?
This was a hypothesis taken on faith, with autoimmune
inflammation undeservedly placed at the forefront.

Based on the transplacental genesis of primary chronic septic
endocarditis we have assumed that before the development
of the immune response to the pathogen while the pathology
is formed, a certain, sometimes considerable, period of time
passes. While in the body of a pregnant woman, the pathogen
becomes “native” to her body. During this period, the immune
system is not able to differentiate “self” from “other”. The
birth of a child, apparently, can be considered the starting point
of the development of nosological characteristics of primary
chronic septic endocarditis. It seems logical to associate this
period with a long-term lack of an immune response to the
pathogen that is stimulated after a period of intrauterine
“sleep”. Only over time, as the pathogenic and immunogenic
potential increases, the pathogen acquires the ability to induce
an immune response to it, with the resultant development of
systemic inflammation.

When addressing key aspects of acute rheumatic fever, the
following data was obtained:

*An experimental model of acute rheumatic fever has not
yet been created, and an attempt to reproduce the disease
in animals was made by representatives of the school of the
He was the author of the hypothesis of the viral streptococcal
nature of rheumatism, and the attempt resulted in a model of
the infectious-pyemic variant of sepsis, the highest expression
of non-specific inflammation [27,28].

*The absence of an experimental model of acute rheumatic
fever was the reason for the lack of scientific understanding of
its pathogenesis. The supposed strict specificity with respect to
Group A Beta–Haemolytic Streptococcus comes into conflict with
Koch’s postulates concerning the specificity of the pathogen
[7].

*The scientific interpretation of the essence of the
Aschoff–Talalaev granuloma by clinical rheumatologists
and morphologists does not coincide. The latter excludes its
belonging to systemic immune inflammation, regarding it as
local, such as delayed hyperresponsiveness, not as immune
granuloma of foreign bodies with toxic effects because of the
remaining streptococcus membranes [29–31]. In this way, a
pathogen has actually been recognized thus excluding the
“sterility” of granulomas and indicating its belonging to sepsis.
This is confirmed by the detection of the coccoïd microbota
in the Aschoff–Talalaev granuloma and its environment during the study on the Scanning Electron Microscope. Thus, the Aschoff–Talalaev granuloma, which was considered a pathognomonic (absolute) histomorphological phenomenon of the disease called acute rheumatic fever, by its 115th anniversary, had turned from an argument “for” it into an absolute counter-argument “against” it. It is fundamentally important to understand that granulomatous, i.e. primary chronic inflammation, is causally associated with viruses or rickettsia. This is something that must be taken into account in the process of searching for a real-life key to understanding the essence of this mysterious disease.

*There is still no reliable diagnosis of acute rheumatic fever, despite its diagnostic criteria having been repeatedly reviewed by WHO experts. Hyperdiagnosis of acute rheumatic fever, regarded by Professor V.N. Anokhin as “inconsistent with WHO criteria”, which turned out to be equal to 50% (with primary rheumatism) and 80% (with recurrent rheumatism) when recounted, did not attract attention of rheumatologists [32]. It is important to bear in mind that WHO criteria only allow for provisional, not reliable, diagnosis. At the same time, an even higher percentage of overdagnosis by the clinic’s specialists, which is the historical cradle of Russian rheumatology, suggesting the work of highly qualified rheumatologists, is not excluded. Criteria are, thus, not the case, and the reason behind this phenomenon should be more deeply sought. V.N. Anokhin, taking into account the results of a retrospective review of the acute rheumatic fever diagnosis (920 cases), in his report at the First Congress of Rheumatologists of Russia, drew attention to the “relative suitability” of the WHO criteria for both primary and palindromic rheumatism, emphasizing that they will not replace the creative approach, and the doctor’s experience. He suggested that the criteria should be reviewed again.

According to one of the founders of Russian rheumatology, academician A.I. Nesterova (1895-1979), “Jones’s criteria were never intended to replace the doctor’s wisdom and prudence.” It is noteworthy that Jones himself did not insist, unlike A.A. Kissel (1859-1938), on the pathognomonicity of the markers he proposed, urging doctors to look for other diseases when they are detected. Probably, the scientist was afraid to overestimate their diagnostic significance.

*Pathogenetic therapy for acute rheumatic fever, due to the lack of strict scientific understanding of pathogenesis, is impossible. The widely used salicylates and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are among the reversible and irreversible indirect immunosuppressants, the use of which at first was accompanied by a false positive effect, which give experts false ideas. The so-called “anti-rheumatic” therapy, which was applied for many years, being symptomatic, could not prevent acquired heart defects. Moreover, there is a reason to believe that acquired heart defects were developed because of such a therapy.

As is widely known, bicillin and its modern analogues are among the repository medicines that do not provide a constant concentration of an antibiotic in the body, which could contribute to pathogen selection. The lack of preventive measures when using probiotics contributes to dysbiosis (gut microbiota imbalance), which negatively affects immunity. Given that taking antibiotics, especially penicillins, can lead to the development of fungal superinfection, patients need targeted monitoring and, if necessary, antymycotic therapy, which, as a rule, was not taken into account.

**Taking into consideration all of the above mentioned, it is impossible to prevent the disease.**

Today, acute rheumatic fever has been studied over a long period of time, but no evidence in favor of its nosological independence as a sui generis disease has been discovered. Moreover, when using a scanning electron microscope, a coccoid microbiota was found in Aschoff–Talalaev granuloma, which proves its non-specificity. Also, septic endocardial damage was observed. Against this background, “rheumatogenic” M–proteins – M–5, M–6, M–18, M–24 [3] and the discovery of a genetic marker of predisposition to acute rheumatic fever cannot but cause bewilderment. It seems incorrect to try at any level, including morphological (Aschoff–Talalaev granuloma) and genetic, to talk about possible diagnostic markers and criteria for a disease that does not actually exist.

In contrast to the foregoing, the key aspects of primary chronic septic endocarditis, which have been studied for over 40 years in one of the leading centers for cardiovascular surgery in Russia, the Novosibirsk Research Institute of Blood Circulation Pathology named after E. N. Meshalkin, then the Federal Research Center named after Academician E.N. Meshalkin, and then continued at the Research Institute of Regional Pathology and Pathomorphology SB RAMS (which is now called the Institute of Molecular Pathology and Pathomorphology of the Federal Research Center of Fundamental and Translational Medicine), can be characterized as follows:

**Preoperative chronic septic endocarditis in patients was confirmed intraoperatively, before admission to the Department of Septic Endocarditis, which was treated at their places of residence for rheumatism. I.e., it is confirmed that chronic septic endocarditis, for which so-called acute rheumatic fever was mistaken, exists. With the use of a scanning electron microscope, coccoid microbiota were detected in the cells of the Aschoff–Talalaev granuloma and in its environment, which indicates the non-specific inflammation, and its infectious nature. This dispels the myth of the strict specificity of this phenomenon. During the surgery on acquired heart defects, bacteriological examination of 883 different bio-substrates were found in 528 patients with the following high microbial infection: valve – 82%, pericardium – 72%, blood culture from the left heart – 68%, left atrium, atrial appendage – 64%, thrombus – 61%, and pleura –60%. In the etiological structure of the disease, 75% were Staphylococcus epidermidis and Staphylococcus aureus. Positive blood culture before surgery was 64% and 75% in different samples.

As was shown earlier [13], all methods available to modern cardiac surgery and pathomorphology were used to verify the preoperative diagnosis, which ensured the high reliability of the results.
It is noteworthy that the founder of clinical medicine, the author of the theory of the chronioseptic nature of rheumatism, N.D. Strazhesko (1876–1952) drew attention to the fact that several researchers (Reye, Salus, Krogius, Siegmund, etc.) reported on the detection of virulent streptococci on the endocardium in patients with rheumatic heart disease [33]. These data indicate that many years ago, an attempt was made to directly study the nature of endocarditis by obtaining evidence of its septic nature. Unfortunately, this did not prevent the teachings of the outstanding scientist, whose high professionalism and talent allowed him to be ahead of his time by almost a century, to undeserved oblivion for many years. At present, many years later, one can speak of the triumph of the ideas of the great diagnostician, academician N.D. Strazhesko!

The new data obtained by us today completes the clinical portrait of the disease, highlighting new sides of this, which still remains mysterious, phenomenon.

**The experimental model of the disease has been reproduced repeatedly. The researchers of our Institute A.M. Belyaev and P.M. Lariinov received a patent for the invention “A method for modeling infective endocarditis” during our experiment [34].**

**Along with the clinical and morphological manifestations of the disease, this made it possible to develop an idea of pathogenesis. It belongs to an endogenous infection (III, septic stage, according to the classification of A.F. Bilbin (1897–1986) against the background of dysbiosis and reducing the nonspecific resistance of the body (immunodeficiency) [35]. One of the clinical manifestations of which was primary chronic septic endocarditis. Histomorphological manifestations, in the form of proliferative mononuclear–infiltrative inflammation, suggest that the nature of the disease in the form of systemic septic (nonspecific) endovasculitis is viral. However, the primary chronic nature of the accompanying inflammation, in combination with a high epidemic threshold and the detection of the disease in 3 generations (child <mother <grandmother) suggest an intraternal translacental genesis. It occurs due to the transmission of the pathogen against the background of physiological gestational immunosuppression. The placenta is transformed from an organ that should physiologically protect a developing fetus from infection, into the nidus of infection [36].

Along with this, blood parasites, such as Babesia of the genus Piroplasma of the family Babesidae with a frequency of up to 100% with parasitemia within 39.4% and 29% in different samples (97% in the Russian Federation), Bartonella with frequency (82%), Riketsia (15% and 50% in different samples) were discovered in these patients, and their role and place in this pandemic multicomponent non-cyclic process requires clarification. This invasive zooanthroponic pathology, which overcame the species barrier, and which has been discussed by A.V. Terletsky and L.G. Akhermo for several years, deserves in-depth study, to determine its possible role in the genesis of many diseases that are currently considered somatic [37–39]. What contribution can babesia invasion make to the current pandemic of endogenous sepsis? There is no doubt that in parallel there is a latent pandemic invasion caused by bloodsuckers, which claim their permanent representation in the human and animal body.

**Knowledge of pathogenesis opens up the possibility of etiological and pathogenetic therapy, which is accompanied by a positive clinical and laboratory effect, counteracts the disease’s progression and inhibits acquired heart defects [18–24]. It meets the requirements of preventive medicine.**

**Early diagnosis is provided, because the hierarchy of diagnostic priorities, including Duke Criteria, were revised. The extracardial symptoms that we have identified become a priority. These are, namely, the pentad of clinical markers of P–cholesterol and endocarditis, the pathognomonicity of which is proved by the results of intraoperative verification of the diagnosis and long-term (over 20–30 years) prospective observation of patients in early stages, including isolated parietal endocarditis [18–24]. Figure 1 shows a cardinal, pathognomonic (absolute) symptom of primary chronic endocarditis and sepsis – conjunctival necrosis, which occurs in patients with acquired heart defects in 80% of cases. It should be noted that alignment with the Duke Criteria, as the main necessary stage of the diagnostic process, is associated with a loss of necessary clinical primacy in the diagnosis of the disease. This is instead of a priority linked to laboratory and instrumental research methods, the result of this disease’s late diagnosis. It should also be noted that Durack, the author of the Duke Criteria, proposed them for epidemiological research, and not for the early diagnosis of septic endocarditis. This must be borne in mind in order to represent the true level of diagnostic capability that these criteria hold.

Early diagnosis made it possible to conduct long-term prospective observation of patients in the early stages, and to monitor its natural development, revealing the features, stages and trends of progression. The obtained results make up for the missing section of ideas concerning the early periods of the disease, which is today called septic endocarditis.

The priority in diagnostics was given to clinical diagnostics. The pentad of clinical markers of pathology that are extracardiac and pathognomonic is represented by two minor syndromes:

**Microthromboembolism**

- Necrosis on the eyelid conjunctiva, which we consider as evolutionary variants of the classic pathognomonic symptom for septic endocarditis – Lukin–Libman’s (Rot) spots;

---

**Figure 1:** Cardinal, pathognomonic symptom of primary chronic endocarditis and conjunctiva’s necrosis- sepsis.
Spontaneous subungual hemorrhages that look like splinters.

Small vesicular rashes on the skin and mucous membranes:
- Small vesicular exanthema of the hard palate is closer to the soft palate;
- Small vesicular exanthema of the skin, mainly of the hands and feet, often accompanied by itching;
- Elements of small focal atrophy or hypertrophy of the skin, sometimes accompanied by mild itching. The frequency of symptoms in patients with acquired heart defects is as follows: 80%; 54%; 86%; 24%; 30%. Elements of the latter syndrome, presumably in genesis, are associated with viruses. These symptoms can be observed in the early stages of the disease, sometimes being the only manifestations of a latent disease. This gives them strategic value.

The early echocardiographic diagnosis of primary chronic septic endocarditis is of particular interest. It is a reflection of the characteristic primary chronic inflammation which is structurally expressed as fibrosis. Disguised as the latter, in the presence of a low–virulent pathogen, the flat vegetations can be hidden, which are visualized only during SEM. This was shown by us earlier [40–43].

Prospective studies were conducted, along with echocardiographic monitoring. This allowed the establishment of the structural features of the disease, and its progression trends [18–24]. It should be emphasized that the dynamics were observed against the background of periodical treatment. This helps to make it possible to restrain heart defects and the increase in hemodynamic significance, which requires surgery. It has been established that the main structural feature of the disease is fibrosis. Its progression is accompanied by the gradual involvement of the valve endocardium, more often in the stereotypical sequence: MK -> AK -> JK, with a gradual expansion of the area of damage, at the expense of endocardial extravalvular structures (subvalvular chords, heads of papillary muscles, interventricular septum, moderator bundle of the right ventricle, and ventricular wall). Over time, endocardial fibrosis increases and becomes more diffuse. Against this background, the area of the valve, when it is open, is reduced, and stenosis is formed.

Since primary chronic septic endocarditis is a manifestation of systemic vasculitis, fibrosis will first capture local areas of the vascular system. This is accompanied by local stenosis in the brachiocephalic, coronary, renal, femoral and other arteries. At the same time, progressive fibrosis of the endocardium and blood vessels gradually cause systemic organosclerosis, which triggers an organ’s acquired pathology and chronic cardiac insufficiency. The observed dynamics of the echocardiological features of the sequential development of the disease is reflected in the previously presented clinical-morpho-topographic classification [44].

Thus, the right to exist as an independent sui generis disease lost by acute rheumatic fever seems to be non-accidental, as evidenced by the analyzed key aspects. An alternative to acute rheumatic fever is primary chronic septic endocarditis, the existence of which is absolutely proven.

In addition, over the past decade, foreign scientists have acquired unprecedented results during the implementation of the Global International Project “Human Microbiome” which was the continuation of the “Human Genome” project. They discovered a microbiome in the female reproductive system, including the placenta. This is real evidence of its infection. The same goes for the male reproductive system, breast milk and blood! [45–54]. We are talking about the total infection of a person. Reproduction in such critically threatening conditions creates serious problems for modern obstetrics and neonatology, the overcoming of which is real heroism.

Conclusion

This study’s results indicate that acute rheumatic fever has lost its right to nosological independence sui generis (which was proved by our previous works), not by chance. It was based on the absence of an evidence base for the basic postulates of its scientific doctrine. An alternative to acute rheumatic fever is a universal systemic primary chronic nonspecific septic endovasculitis and its complication – an obligate component of a multicomponent non-cyclic pandemic of the 21st century (MY Supotnitskiy) [55–58].

It is possible to consider the data mentioned above to confirm our ideas about the ongoing pandemic of endogenous sepsis. Primary chronic septic endocarditis is one of its clinical complications. This is a mandatory component of a pandemic multicomponent non-cyclic infection, the ideas about which are associated with the name of the famous Russian microbiologist M.V. Supotnitskiy [55–58].

Considering foreign scientists’ unique data on DNA sequencing various human biotopes; we can state that our concept of primary chronic septic inflammation, in an endogenous sepsis pandemic (G.M. Bushmanova), has been confirmed at a high metagenomic level.

Thus, one of the oldest diseases of mankind – sepsis, incurable even with the triumph of antibiotic therapy, today demonstrates its new facet in the form of primary chronic sepsis and its complication – primary chronic septic endocarditis. Apparently, nature threw us a trial balloon in the form of a large-scale epidemic (pandemic) in the form of endogenous sepsis. It is not yet realized by representatives from the global medical community. It is testing humanity’s strength and readiness to face an even more formidable enemy. The current multi-component non-cyclical pandemic has been enlarged by the COVID–19 pandemic, which has made the world shudder from a new awareness in the inevitability of global catastrophe [59]. Being confidently presented by a tandem of various representatives from the viral–bacterial–protozoal microworld, this has an unpredictable destructive potential. But, it turned out to be much more inventive than a man who, unfortunately, was unprepared to receive such dangerous and uninvited guests.
The problem of biotic relations between a microbe and a body under conditions of the host immunosuppression at all times will remain the Alpha and the Omega of internal medicine.
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