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Human Dentinal Tubules alterations after desensitizing Dentifrices use: An ex vivo study

Introduction

Dentine hypersensitivity is a common dental problem caused by the exposure of dentinal tubules which allow the movement of intradental fluid leading to dentine hypersensitivity [1,2].

Many theories have been proposed to explain the dentin hypersensitivity [3,4] as (a) odontoblasts and their processes act as dentinal receptor mechanisms; and (b) pulp nerves are stimulated by a hydrodynamic mechanism, and nerve impulses in the pulp are modulated by the release of certain polypeptides during pulp injury [3,4].

The hydrodynamic theory is widely accepted as the principal mechanism of action for this manifestation [5]. According to this theory, under certain chemical, thermal, tactile and osmotic stimuli the dentinal fluid moves inside the tubules indirectly stimulating the pulpal nerve endings, causing the sensation of pain. Nevertheless, the exact mechanism through which the dentinal fluid stimulates the nerve endings and causes pain is still unknown (5,6).

A viable treatment modality consists of desensitization by tubule occlusion, which decreases both dentine permeability and fluid movement, thereby reducing dentine sensitivity [6]. Thus, any substance that causes a decrease the dentinal fluid conductance by occluding the tubules is able to reduce clinical symptomatology of dentine hypersensitivity [7].

Various chemical agents have been used for mechanical formation of a natural smear layer by burnishing dentine induces tubule occlusion dentinal tubules occlusion and for dentine hypersensitivity treatment [6,8,9]. Introduction of topically applied compounds that form insoluble materials that precipitate in the tubules and on the surface, or that facilitate the formation of natural biological minerals, are also effective. Such compounds include abrasive particles, strontium or stannous salts, calcium phosphate, soluble oxalates and bioactive glasses [8-11].

In vivo studies have shown considerable decrease in hypersensitivity when teeth were brushed with desensitizing dentifrices [11-13]. However, the problem arises when attempts are made to determine the mode of action of active agents incorporated into these dentifrices.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of desensitizing dentifrices on dentinal tubule occlusion in human teeth thought qualitative and quantitative analysis.

Abstract

Objective: Evaluated the effects of desensitizing dentifrices on dentinal tubule occlusion in human teeth thought qualitative and quantitative analysis.

Methods: Eighty dentin block were divided in eight groups, two controls (positive and negative) and six using desenstitizing dentifrices (Colgate Pro-alívio®, Colgate Tripla Ação®, Colgate Sensitivity®, Sensodyne Repair & Protect®, Sensodyne dentes sensíveis® and Cariax®) The specimens were storaged in natural saliva. A simulated toothbrushing machine were used corresponding 21 brushings weekly and used six cicles. For qualitative analysis Scanning Eletronic Microscopy (SEM) and Energy-Dispersive X-ray Epectroscopy (EDS) were used. Percentage of occluded tubules was obtained for quantitative analyses. The data were statistically analyzed by ANOVA and Tukey tests (p < 0.05).

Results: Colgate Pro-alívio®, Colgate Sensitivity®, Sensodyne Repair & Protect® and Sensodyne dentes sensíveis® showed better effect in occluded dentinal tubules (p<0.05), the slurry on dental surface were differents depending of active principle of samples and EDS showed presence of calcium and phosphorus in all groups.

Conclusion: All dentifrices were able to occluded dentinal tubules and Calcium and phosphorus were a regular found, however Cariax® andColgate Tripla Ação® had the worst results in quantitative analyses.

Methodology

This was an in vitro study, using sound human dentin of permanent teeth for evaluation of dentin tubules occluding. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of a Federal Institution.

Selection of experimental products

The dentifrices used for this research were those indicated for the treatment for dentin hypersensitivity with different active ingredients reported for the manufactures. They are described in table 1.

Selection and preparation of the samples

Ten sound premolars teeth were select for this research. They were obtained from a Regulated Local Teeth Storage. These teeth were extracted for orthodontic indication and passed through a visual inspection with a optical microscopy (DX 41 - Olympus, Tokyo, Japan), those with any type of racks were eliminated from this research.

After this pre-selection, the teeth were sectioned along their central axis, just below the cemento-enamel junction (CEJ) through a high precision metallographic cutter (Isomet 1000 precision saw, Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA), for tried to get the same numbers and orientations of dentinal tubules in all specimens. Only were used blocks until 2 mm above CEJ. These cuts were standardized measuring 2x2 mm, being checked by a digital caliper MPI/E-101 (Mytutoyo, Tokyo, Japan).

The specimens were then fixed with cyanoacrylate in plastic bases of 4x4 mm with the buccal surface exposed. It was then performed a standardized polishing on all specimens using water silicon carbide paper # 400 followed # 600 for a minute each, under water refrigeration using a polishing machine (Metaloughic Politriz - DPU 10, Struers, Denmark) to remove any surface contamination and facilitate the access to the dentin.

Storage of specimens

It was used a pool of human saliva in this research for storage the dentin blocks with the aim of simulated oral conditions. The saliva’ donnors were healthy and could not use any drugs in the last 1 month before. As fluoride could interfered in the final result in occluded dental tubules, all donor were instructed to use a no fluoridated toothpaste (Malvatrikis Baby®) during the collection period. It was used a non-stimulated saliva with was frozen after the collect used during the all experimental period.

In order that tried to eliminated all possible vies, the dentin blocks of the same tooth passed through all dentifrices used in the experiment, beside controls groups, thus the specimens were divided into eight groups, each one containing ten specimens. One for each dentifrice used plus two controls groups, a negative control (G1) which it was applied to 37% phosphoric acid to remove any smear layer and allowed to open dentinal tubules and a positive one (G2), which was not performed any experimental intervention beside the sample preparation. A total of eighty specimens were produced and used in this experiment.

The block of dentin were kept in separate containers throughout the research for there wasn’t any possibility of contamination amoung groups.

Experimental tests

The brushing of the specimens was performed with a Simulated Toothbrushing Machine (MEV2 (Odeme, Joaçaba, SC, Brasil) according to ISO/DT 145692 [14].

Sixty soft brushes (Condor Plus, Condor ®) were used for the experimental They had 26 tufts of 0.25 mm diameter and 10 mm in height, it was used one for each specimen of the experimental groups.

This simulated toothbrushing machine worked at a frequency of 1,400 cycles per time, corresponding to 21 brushings weekly (3 times daily for 7 days) for six week. The brushes moved 3.8 cm axially. A weight of 200 grams was attached on the rods of the machine to created a satisfactory brushing pressure [14].

All the dentifrices were dilluited in deonized water in a 1:1 ratio to simulate the action of the saliva in oral cavity during a normal brushing.

SEM analyses

After the last brushing session, specimens were sotred in a shaker machine for 2 hours, washed with deonizer water na sputter coated with a thin gold palladium layer. Photomicrographs were taken with a Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) (JSM – 6510 L.V – OBE) from each dentinal surface at 1,000x and 5,000x magnification for qualitative analysis, and Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) were done as well for slurry evaluated . Thus quantitative analyses was performed by obtained percentage of occluded tubules, by dividing the total numbers of occluded tubules by dividing the total numbers of occluded tubules by the total numbers of tubules in each photomicrograph. This result was then multiplied by 100, to obtain the percentage of occluded tubules.


Table 1: Desensitizing toothpastes used in this study, commonly used products for dentin hypersensitivity with different active ingredients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dentifrices</th>
<th>Active Ingredients</th>
<th>Manufacture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colgate Pro-alívio®</td>
<td>Sodium Fluoride 1,10% + Arginine 8% (1445 ppm Flúor)</td>
<td>Colgate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Palmolive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colgate Tripla Ação®</td>
<td>Sodium Monofluorophosphate (1445 ppm Flúor) + Calcium</td>
<td>Colgate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Palmolive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colgate Sensitivity®</td>
<td>Potassium Citrate 5,54% + Sodium Monofluorophosphate 1,1% (1445 ppm Flúor)</td>
<td>Colgate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Palmolive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensodyne Repair &amp; Protect®</td>
<td>Sodium Monofluorophosphate (1445 ppm Flúor) + Sodium Potassium phosphosiliclate 5% (NOVAMIN)</td>
<td>GlaxoSmithKline (GSK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensodyne dentes sensíveis® (G7)</td>
<td>Strontium chloride 10%</td>
<td>GlaxoSmithKline (GSK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cariex® (G8)</td>
<td>5% Potassium Nitrate + Sodium Fluoride 0,05% (1000 ppm Flúoride)</td>
<td>PharmaKin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tubules for each photography [15]. The datas were statistically analyzed by one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Tukey test (p < 0.05) using SPSS 16.0 program.

Results

For quantitative results, table 1 display the mean percentage of occluded tubules in each group. Positive group showed almost all open dentinal tubules (4.6 ± 5.2), result similar to the negative group (11.3 ± 10.7).

Among groups that used desensitizing dentifrices, all specimens showed occluded dentinal tubules. However Colgate Pro-alívio®, Colgate Sensitivity®, Sensodyne Repair & Protect® and Sensodyne dentes sensíveis® showed better effect in occluded dentinal tubules (p<0.05).

Analyzing the photomicrographs of SEM, the positive group showed almost all open tubules. While the negative group differed from the others by the presence of smear layer that partially occluded dentin tubules, but with a smoothly appearence. (Figure 1A–D).

The Sensodyne Repair & Protect® showed the better result, however there were no difference significance compared with others desensitizing dentifrices (Figures 2A,B and 3A–F) with the exception of Colgate Tripla Ação® and Cariax® (Figure 4A–D).

Table 2: Average numbers of occluded tubules per 100 dentinal tubules on the dentin surface after the experimental.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean (%) ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colgate Pro-alívio®</td>
<td>81.5 ± 17.4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colgate Tripla Ação®</td>
<td>56.9 ± 24.9a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colgate Sensitivity®</td>
<td>93.2 ± 3.7a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensodyne Repair &amp; Protect®</td>
<td>98.7 ± 1.2 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensodyne dentes sensíveis®</td>
<td>96.5 ± 2.3 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cariax®</td>
<td>62.3 ± 14.7a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>4.6 ± 5.2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>11.3 ± 10.7c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Letters differs means significantly differences (p<0.05, ANOVA and Tukey tests).

The EDS images shows similar results in all experimental groups (Figure 5A,B) with concentration of calcium and phosphorus. Some specimens shows slurry as titanium as Sensodyne dentes sensíveis® (5C). De figures of EDS of groups 4, 7 and 8 to demonstrated similar founds in all groups.

The aspect of the slurry also differed among groups depending of active agent of the dentifrices. The slurry of Sensodyne Repair and Protect ® showed as a thick crystals bonded to the tooth surface and tubules as a barrier, thus in others dentifrices, these slurries showed more smoothly adhered with appearence to mineral reservoirs.

Discussion

Dentine hypersensitivity is the term used to describe common, painful condition of the permanent teeth; the etioly of which, however, is still poorly understood and the various mechanisms have been proposed to explain the development of
Many physiological and morphological studies have demonstrated that dentine hypersensitivity is more common in cervical areas and results from open dentinal tubules at the surface being responsible for a higher dentin hypersensitivity by patients. The usual causes for that are abrasive habits, patient’s age, malocclusion or other factors [6,18].

The difficulty found in treating for dentine hypersensitivity is expressed by the enormous number of techniques and therapeutic alternatives to relieve it [19]. This sensibility has been treated by a number of agents, which have been claimed to reduce pain by occluding dentinal tubules. However, other possible modes of action for desensitizing agents have been proposed, such as coagulating or precipitating constituents of tubular fluids, or by stimulating the formation of secondary dentine [17,20].

Several methods and materials have been tried to reduce dental sensitivity, ranging from home-use, over the counter products such as desensitizing mouthwashes, dentifrices or tray application foams to in-office application products such as varnishes, liners, restorative materials, dentinal adhesives iontophoresis procedures and more recently, lasers [19].

The home use products are most realistic and practical means of treating most patients with mild to moderate dentine hypersensitivity and they generally form the first step in routine management. Among these, desensitizing dentifrices have established themselves as principal home care therapeutic agents owing to the fact that they are readily and widely available, cost effective, simple to use and non-invasive and the habit of tooth brushing being almost universal [21].

However the exact way of each method acts for reducing sensitivity still shows undefined, thus this research tried to show in vitro research, the effect of different agents in occluded dentinal tubules both qualitatively using SEM and EDS as quantitatively determining the percentage of tubules obliterated by each product tested as well changes in tooth surface and the arrangement of slurry on tooth, and their potential to produce secondary dentin or a mineral reserve.

The selection of dentifrices for this research were based in previous studies with proved the efficacy of active principles of the principal ingredients and the different modes of action of the different ingredients. These studies related for example that Arginine (Pro-Argine) is a sticky agent that physically occludes the tubules. The Calcium Sodium Phosphosilicate (Novamin) produces a form of apatite when in aqueous environment, an effect that is augmented by the presence of smear layer. The Potassium Nitrate, Potassium Citrate, Sodium Monofluorphosphate, Stronium Chloride, and Sodium Potassium Phosphosilicate with the use, are deposited inside the dentinal tubules helping to obliterate them [1,3,8,12,13,22-27].

In order to try to avoid any bias that could affect the final result of this study, a new methodology was created from adaptations of similar studies [14,15] and was chosen to evaluate the results by various methods togheter such as SEM, EDS and quantification of tubules occluded in order to get a more reliable conclusion.

dentine hypersensitivity and treatment alternatives have been reviewed [16,17]. Most accepted of these is the hydrodynamic theory which was first explained by Gysi in 1900 and the experimental evidence for which was provided by Bränström [5]. According to this theory, the movement of dentinal fluid on stimulation with thermal, chemical, evaporative or electric stimulus is responsible for excitation of the underlying dentinal mechano-receptor resulting in sensitivity.
All blocks of dentin were obtained of the same region of the teeth, just below the cemento-enamel junction (CEJ). Thus, numbers and orientations of dentinal tubules could be standart. In addition, it was possible obtained from one tooth a number of samples enought for composing each group, experimental and controls, therefore each dentin block was able to be its own standard in occluded tubules, specially Sodium Potassium Phosphosilicate [1,13,15,23,24,26,31,32], in our research all dentifrices were able to occluded dentinal tubules without any statistical difference. It could be seen at SEM images and qualitative analyses. The only exception was the dentifrices Colgate Tripla Ação® and Cariax®.

As fluoride could affect the occluded of tubules as previous studies demonstrated [25,31], it was chose storage the samples in natural saliva from donors that have not using fluoride dentifrices during the time of saliva collection. This time was short to the point of not harming the donors.

Although some studies shows some substances as gold standarts in occluded tubules, specially Sodium Potassium Phosphosilicate [1,13,15,23,24,26,31,32], in our research all dentifrices were able to occluded dentinal tubules without any statistical difference. It could be seen at SEM images and qualitative analyses. The only exception was the dentifrices Colgate Tripla Ação® and Cariax®.

Thought SEM images it was possible to see the differences among the slurry formed from each dentifrice, although there was no statistical difference in the results of Sensodyne Repair and Protect®, it’s possible to see a solid mineral crystal formation on dental surface as well inside the dentinal tubules, while the others dentifrices showed a slurry disorganized on dentin surface as a mineral reserve and an aspect of occluded tubules by secondary dentin formation.

The EBS shows a presence of calcium and phosphorus in all samples, as others studies [15,33]. A substance usually found in this kind of study is a potassium [34,35]. As calcium, the potassium are able to be a reservoir of minerals but potassium ions are thought to act by blocking synapses between nerve cells, thereby reducing nerve excitation and the associated pain [34,35]. Potassium are able to be a reservoir of minerals but potassium ions are thought to act by blocking synapses between nerve cells, thereby reducing nerve excitation and the associated pain [34,35]. In our study, the EDS couldn’t find potassium in the samples.

Although it could been found other substances not related by manufacturers in the bulla of dentifrices, as silica, titatium and carbon. These substances have abrasives potential and in theory are able to promove a superficial wear of dentin surface and then stimulated a formation of secondary dentin and occluded dentinal tubules [36,37]. While the titanium was an unexpected finding, once its acts in remineralization process mostly [38,39]. Probably the titanium was present in the dental structure instead dentifrices. Therefore, the results of this research clarify the different effects on dental surface and slurry formation associating to different principal active dependind of formulation of dentifrices. Taking this into consideration, researchs in vivo could transpose these findings allowing a more resolutive treatment for dentin hypersensitivity.

Conclusion

The Groups G4, G5, G6 e G7 Sensodyne Dentes Sensíveis® were statistically better on occluded dentinal tubules. The EDS showed that calcium and phosphorus were a regular found in all samples analyzed and SEM images demonstrated a formation of a slurry occluding tubules and stimulating secondary dentine.
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