Embryo implantation, an essential prelude for the establishment of pregnancy, is successful upon the interaction of a high-quality embryo with a receptive uterus. Nevertheless, ‘inadequate uterine receptivity’ which has been pointed out as the cause for approximately two-thirds of repeated implantation failures (RIF, [1-3]), combined with the low rate of implantation (~25%) obtained upon transfer of good embryos in IVF, makes implantation the rate-limiting step for the success of ART.

Joining the pressing need to deepen the knowledge on implantation and to define biological markers for predicting implantation competence, we studied the expression profile of the gap junction protein in the uterus throughout the menstrual cycle [4]. For this purpose, repetitive endometrial sampling (biopsies) was performed during the spontaneous menstrual cycles of 12 IVF patients who had undergone several failing cycles of treatment. Surprisingly, 11 of these patients conceived at the following IVF cycle. Further exploration of this phenomenon in a larger group of patients, indeed showed for the first time, that repeated endometrial biopsy substantially increased the IVF outcome in RIF patients [5]. This favorable effect of endometrial biopsy on IVF outcome, was later demonstrated by other clinical centers, most of which selected RIF patients undergoing IVF for their studies [6-13].

Other studies challenged these findings, claiming that endometrial injury does not improve IVF outcome. Yeung, et al. [14] however, did not test a homogeneous population of IVF patients with RIF. Even more concerning was the fact that participants in this study as well as in the study by Liu, et al. [15] were subjected, prior to the endometrial biopsy, to either Saline Infusion Sonogram (SIS) or hysteroscopy. These procedures, on their own, could cause mechanical injury that may positively affect endometrial receptivity, leaving no potential for beneficial effect of the biopsy.

The most significant study on this topic was published by Lensen, et al. in NEJM [16], concluding that “Endometrial scratching did not result in a higher rate of live-birth than no intervention among women undergoing IVF.” Moreover, these authors stated that “endometrial scratch should no longer be offered”. This conclusion is indeed based on a pragmatic, multicenter, open-label, randomized, controlled trial of a total of 1364 IVF patients. An impressive sample size indeed! Nevertheless, examining the data we learn that 87.9% of the control group and 88.2% of the experimental group are not defined as RIF patients. This analysis of non-selected patients is somewhat surprising as the first author of this paper coauthors a Cochrane review published by Nastri, et al. in 2015 [17], anticipating that the effect of mechanical uterine intervention might differ between women in whom implantation had failed repeatedly and women in whom it had not. To confront this point, the current study updated the previously made calculation, to reach a size which keeps the study power adequate and allows the detection of between-group differences in live-birth rates of 15%. Nevertheless, this size amendment still leaves secondary factors defining subgroups not randomized. In the absence of randomization, these factors may correlate with other covariates potentially influencing the treatment effect among subgroups. Another major limitation of this study is the lack of an identical protocol for all participating clinics, obviously expected from a multicenter study. The timing of the endometrial biopsy varied greatly among the different clinics, spreading over a month, between day 3 of the preceding cycle and day 3 of the
cycle of treatment. Most importantly, there was no common protocol for the timing of ET that is well known to directly affect IVF outcome. Embryos selected for transfer ranged throughout different developmental stages. The knowledge that blastocysts make a selected, top quality population, with higher implantation potential than that of earlier cleavage-stage embryos is commonly accepted. This fact, is not reflected in the analysis.

A more recent prospective multi-center randomized controlled study by Olesen, et al. [18], did apply a similar protocol for all participating clinics, in which biopsy was performed during the luteal phase, day 18–22 of the preceding cycle to the IVF treatment. Moreover, the effect of the biopsy was tested on different homogeneous subgroups of IVF patients, showing that “endometrial scratching in the luteal phase before ovarian stimulation significantly improves the IVF outcome in patients with three or more prior implantation failures”.

Joining this continuing debate, and attempting to reach a conclusion, several Meta- analyses were performed using the data of the different randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Despite the large variability among the different RCTs in terms of the number and the timing of the biopsies, as well as the number of previous failing cycles of the IVF patients, a positive effect of endometrial biopsy on the rates of implantation, clinical pregnancies and life births could be demonstrated. Cochrane analysis by Nastri, et al. [17] as well as by Vitagliano, et al. [19], revealed that endometrial injury is associated with an improvement in live birth and clinical pregnancy rates in women with more than two previous failing embryo transfers. Sar–Shalom, et al. [20] showed that endometrial injury during the luteal phase of the preceding cycle, improved IVF success in younger patients (age ≤30 years) who had undergone no more than two previous failing cycles, whereas, in the older group, in whom quality of embryos is lower, the effect was not significant.

The fact that the immune system plays a central role in implantation is commonly accepted [21–30]. In full agreement with this knowledge, basic science experiments provided clear evidence that the mechanism by which local injury stimulates the endometrium to increase its receptivity for implantation, involves the immune system, which responds in a ‘wound healing-like’ manner. Specifically, endometrial biopsy performed during the proliferative phase of the spontaneous menstrual cycle, increases the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines that in turn recruit macrophages and DCs to the inflammation site. These immune cells secrete cytokines and growth factors that enhance the inflammatory reaction, triggering the endometrial epithelium to produce molecules, such as integrins and OPN facilitating implantation [30–32]. Later studies demonstrated a direct correlation between the expression of these molecules in the endometrium of IVF patients and IVF outcome [33]. Furthermore, it has been recently reported that endometrial scratching is a recommended protocol for the enhancement of endometrial receptivity in patients with low immune activation [34]. These inflammatory events apparently do not take place in RIF patients in the absence of mechanical intervention.

As reported in all of the above-mentioned studies, endometrial injury is performed with a biopsy ‘Pipelie’ catheter. This procedure that for some reason, gained the definition of ‘endometrial scratching’, is a simple, almost harmless procedure that has been used for many years for diagnosis of endometrial pathologies and for endometrial dating, such as the ERA test [35].

Taken together, it is strongly suggested that any clinical recommendation taken should await a multi–center controlled randomized trial of endometrial injury performed using one identical protocol of treatment on a selected group of IVF patients to whom embryos of a single specific developmental stage are transferred. Conclusions made otherwise may be premature, not benefiting, but rather harming IVF patients.
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