A rare case of bilateral acute retinal necrosis due to varicella zoster virus in a patient of Multiple myeloma

**Abstract**

Acute retinal necrosis syndrome (ARN) is a well-defined entity with characteristic clinical picture of progressive intraretinal inflammation and necrosis and is caused by one of the members of the Herpes group of viruses. The condition occurs typically in otherwise healthy patients. Rarely ARN has been reported in immunosuppressed patients with AIDS. We report a rare case of Multiple myeloma patient presenting with bilateral ARN, which to our knowledge is the first such case reported from India.

**Case Report**

A 68-year-old male diagnosed case of Multiple myeloma, presented with gradual & progressive diminution of vision in both eye for last 6 weeks. He had received four cycle of chemotherapy before presentation. On ocular examination, visual acuity in the right eye was 6/60 and 6/36 in left eye. Intraocular pressures in both eyes were normal (10 mm Hg) by Goldman applanation tonometry. Slit lamp examination showed anterior chamber cells 1+ with vitreous cell 1+ in both eye [3]. Fundus examination revealed Vitreous haze 2+ with extensive areas of whitening and retinal necrosis involving the peripheral retina in both eye (Figures 1,2). Right eye anterior chamber tap done for Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for herpes simplex (HSV), herpes zoster, varicella zoster (VZV), cytomegalovirus (CMV). Agarose Gel Electrophoretogram showed positive result of VZV PCR on aqueous aspirate specimen (Figure 3). A diagnosis of bilateral Acute Retinal Necrosis was made. Patient received intravenous acyclovir for 7 days with topical corticosteroids. Prednisolone 60mg/day was started after 48 hours of antiviral treatment. At day 7 of follow up fundus examination showed healing retinitis with vitreous haze 1+ in both eye. No obvious vitreous traction was noted. Intravenous Acyclovir changed to oral valacyclovir 1 gram three times a day and oral steroid tapered. One month follow-up showed similar findings. Unfortunately patient did not turn up for further follow-up.

**Figure 1:** Fundus photograph showing multiple foci of confluent retinal necrosis with discrete borders in the peripheral retina with vitreous haze suggestive of acute retinal necrosis syndrome.

**Figure 2:** Fundus Photo Montage: Extensive areas of whitening and retinal necrosis involving the retinal periphery with vitritis in both eyes.
Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported case of acute retinal necrosis syndrome in a patient of multiple myeloma from India. The other reported cases from western world was a case of multiple myeloma with bilateral panuveitis from human herpes virus [4].

The ARN syndrome may be insidious and presents with floaters, blurred vision, or rarely with decreased peripheral vision. Features of ARN syndrome include a vaso-occlusive angitis of both retinal and choroidal vessels, a necrotizing retinitis that preferentially involves the peripheral retina, and significant intraocular inflammation. Peripheral retinal whitening is typically present, and the entire peripheral retina may be involved. Vitritis and optic disc swelling either hyperemic or pallid, are common features of the ARN syndrome.

Diagnosis

The American Uveitis Society released its criteria for diagnosis of acute retinal necrosis syndrome in 1994 [1]. Under this definition, diagnosis of ARN syndrome is dependent solely on the observed clinical findings and their progression. Recent developments lead to Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) as the preferred method of viral diagnosis now a days. PCR analysis from anterior chamber fluid or vitreous fluid can identify the particular virus causing ARN. Multiple studies support the utility of PCR analysis with a sensitivity and specificity of greater than 90% in detecting VZV, HSV, and CMV. PCR assays are capable of detecting a single varicella zoster virion from vitreous biopsy. It has been proposed to include laboratory data in the diagnostic criteria for ARN [8]. Both qualitative and quantitative PCR testing may be used to know the aetiology of ARN and may also be utilized to monitor response of therapy [9,10].

Treatment

Earlier treatment was supportive with or without corticosteroids, which commonly led to progression of the retinitis, fellow eye involvement, and poor visual outcomes. With the knowledge of the herpes family causing the retinitis, antiviral therapy emerged as mainstay of treatment with other adjunctive therapies includes corticosteroids, prophylactic laser, and vitrectomy. The main aim of systemic therapy is to inhibit viral replication and halt disease progression in the affected eye and prevent involvement of the unaffected eye. Options for systemic therapy are intravenous and oral acyclovir, oral valacyclovir, famciclovir, valganciclovir, intravenous foscarnet and ganciclovir. Earlier, ARN was treated initially with intravenous acyclovir (1500 mg/m²/day) for 1 week followed by oral acyclovir (800 mg 5 times/day) for 6 weeks. Acyclovir therapy has been shown to decrease viral progression and prevent bilateral eye involvement. Now a day after the development of newer oral agents with improved vitreous penetration, especially valacyclovir may be preferable as first-line therapy in ARN. Oral acyclovir has poor bioavailability in plasma when compared to valacyclovir (acyclovir pro-drug). Valacyclovir is capable of achieving plasma levels comparable to intravenous acyclovir making valacyclovir an excellent oral option for the treatment of ARN [11-12]. Huyhn et al. demonstrated that oral valacyclovir (1 gram TID) can reach concentrations in the vitreous and achieve inhibitory ranges of HSV–1, HSV–2, and VZV [13]. Furthermore, multiple case reports support valacyclovir use in ARN and it has been shown to be effective in halting disease progression and preventing second eye involvement [11,14-15]. Intravitreal foscarnet and ganciclovir provides direct and immediate therapy to the area of active infection to rapidly halt viral multiplication particularly in an immune suppressed host, which may be necessary in view of aggressive nature of ARN.

Corticosteroids, prophylactic laser, and vitrectomy are proposed adjuvant therapies for this condition. Oral corticosteroids are typically added to the treatment regimen at 48 hours after initiation of antiviral therapy. If initiated too early or without co-administration of systemic antiviral treatment it may potentiate viral replication and cause rapid progression of the retinitis [16]. A case series of 4 patients treated with valacyclovir (2 gram TID) and oral corticosteroids (1 kg/mg/day) with supplemental intravitreal triamcinolone acetate showed decrease in the vitritis and improved vision in 3 of 4 patients after 1 week of treatment [17]. Prophylactic barrier laser around lesions and early vitrectomy prior to retinal detachment should be considered to lower the risk of retinal detachment in ARN.

Conclusion

ARN should also be considered in the differential for infectious uveitis in immunocompromised hosts, although it commonly occurs in immunocompetent individual. PCR from intraocular fluid have rapidly improved our ability to identify the precise herpetic aetiology of ARN and initiate prompt therapy. The valacyclovir and ganciclovir achieve high vitreous levels when administered orally and have been increasingly used in clinical practice. Careful consideration must be given to the timing of corticosteroid administration. Severe exacerbation of ARN following systemic and local corticosteroid administration is reminder of the importance of judicious use of corticosteroid-based therapies whenever an infectious viral uveitis is a diagnostic consideration. The destructive nature
of the disease process may limit visual acuity outcomes and predispose patients to Retinal detachment if they are not rapidly treated. Further large randomized clinical trials are needed to evaluate the efficacy of the treatment in bilateral ARN syndrome.
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