**Case Report**

**Bilateral Painless Testicular Enlargement: An Unusual Presentation of Sarcoidosis and a Literature Review**

**Abstract**

**Background:** Sarcoidosis is a systemic inflammatory disease characterized by non-caseating epitheloid granulomas; whereas it usually involves the lungs and lymph nodes, genitourinary involvement is extremely rare. For this reason, no consensus regarding the diagnostic and management options for testicular sarcoidosis has been reached.

**Objective:** The aim of this case report was to describe an unusual clinical presentation of sarcoidosis. An 8-year-old boy presented with a 6-month history of painless scrotal enlargement and a 2-month history of photophobia, blurred vision, and pain in both eyes. Physical examination revealed tearing, conjunctival hyperemia, and nodular lesions in both eyes as well as bilateral, nontender, irregularly shaped, and very hard testes. Laboratory investigations revealed elevated serum angiotensin-converting enzyme levels, and 18-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) showed intense FDG accumulation in both testes. Testes biopsy showed non-caseating epitheloid granulomas, staining negative for acid-fast bacilli and fungi; tuberculosis culture was negative. A diagnosis of sarcoidosis was made.

**Conclusions:** This is the first reported case of testicular sarcoidosis in a Turkish child. Frozen section assessment is the most sensitive and reliable method for differentiating testicular masses. The diagnosis of testicular sarcoidosis should be made after excluding other inflammatory diseases characterized by non-caseating epitheloid granulomas.

**Introduction**

Sarcoidosis is a multisystemic inflammatory disease characterized by non-caseating epitheloid granulomatous lesions that classically affect the chest and lymph nodes. Extrapulmonary involvement is seen in 75% cases, but genitourinary sarcoidosis represents an extremely rare presentation of this condition [1–3]. Genitourinary involvement usually presents between the ages of 20 and 40 years. Due to its extreme rarity, there is no consensus regarding the diagnosis and management of testicular sarcoidosis.

To date, seven cases of testicular sarcoidosis, including the present one, have been reported in patients < 20 years of age. The presence of firm and painless nodular enlargements of the testis should always be considered suspicious for malignancy. The aim of this study was to report an unusual presentation of sarcoidosis and to provide updated information regarding the diagnostic and management options for testicular sarcoidosis.

**Case Report**

An 8-year-old boy was referred to our pediatric endocrinology outpatient clinic with a 6-month history of painless scrotal enlargement and a 2-month history of tearing, photophobia, blurred vision, and pain in both eyes. His parents denied constitutional symptoms or tuberculosis contacts, and his medical history was otherwise noncontributory. Family history was negative for tuberculosis or connective tissue disease. Physical examination revealed normal weight and height, with tearing and redness in both eyes. Testicular palpation revealed a nontender, firm, “woody” consistency, with the right and left testes measuring 35 × 25 mm and 38 × 28 mm, respectively (Figure 1A). The patient was then referred to the ophthalmology department. Ophthalmic examination revealed hyperemia and multiple translucent, yellowish nodules in the bulbar conjunctiva (Figure 1B). Slit-lamp biomicroscopy revealed fine keratic precipitates on the corneal endothelium, 2+ cells in the anterior chamber, and scanty cells in the anterior vitreous, bilaterally, in accordance with the diagnosis of acute anterior uveitis. The ophthalmologist’s interpretation was that the granulomatous inflammation and yellowish nodules could be explained by tuberculosis, sarcoidosis, or lymphoma. The findings from the rest of the physical examination were normal.
including with respect to the respiratory and cardiovascular systems. A biopsy from the conjunctival nodular lesions was suggested, but the patient’s parents refused.

Scrotal ultrasound revealed multiple, poorly defined intratesticular hypoechoic masses in both testes (Figure 2). Approximately 75% of the testicular parenchyma was occupied with these hyperechoic masses, and 8 fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG–PET) showed intense FDG uptake in both testes (Figure 3).

Laboratory examination revealed a white blood cell count of 5,350/mm² with a normal differential; a hemoglobin level of 13.2 g/dL; an erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) of 71 mm/h (normal range: 3–13 mm/h); a C-reactive protein (CRP) level of 7.62 mg/dl (normal, < 0.8 mg/dl); follicle-stimulating hormone and luteinizing hormone levels of 0.23 and 0.1 mIU/mL, respectively; a total testosterone level of < 2.5 ng/dL; a serum fibrinogen level of 514 mg/dL (normal range: 200–400 mg/dL); and a serum angiotensin–converting enzyme (ACE) level of 178 U/L (normal range: 8–55 U/L). Serum electrolyte levels and renal, pulmonary and liver function test results, were in the normal reference ranges. The 24-h urinary calcium excretion was 4.8 mmol/24 h (normal, 0–7.5 mmol/24 h). Serologic tests for autoimmune diseases revealed that antinuclear antibody (ANA) was positive at 1:320 and staining patterns were 2+ homogenous and 1+ granular, but anti-double stranded DNA, rheumatoid factor, and ENA panel (anti–RNP, anti–SSA, anti–SSB, anti–Sm, anti–Scl–70, Anti–Jo–1) as well as cytoplasmic and perinuclear antineutrophil antibodies (c–ANCA and p–ANCA, respectively) were negative. Tumor markers (serum α-fetoprotein [AFP] and β-human chorionic gonadotropin [β-HCG]) were negative, whereas lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) was at 503 IU/L (normal, 240–480 IU/L). The tube agglutination test for brucellosis, galactomannan antigen level for Aspergillosis, Treponema pallidum Western blot for syphilis, direct fluorescent antibody test for Chlamydia antigens, and serologic studies for histoplasmosis, coccidioidomycosis, and blastomycosis, were all negative. The intradermal purified protein derivate (PPD) skin test was also negative (0.05 μg intra-dermal). On three consecutive days, fungal and mycobacterial culture from sputum and urine were negative. To distinguish testicular malignancy from benign testicular lesions, patient underwent bilateral inguinal exploration after written informed consent was obtained from the patient’s parents. Incisional wedge biopsies were taken from both testes. Frozen section assessment showed non-caseating epitheloid granulomas, with most of the normal testicular parenchyma replaced by granulomatous inflammation (Figure 4). Stains for acid–fast bacilli and fungi and tuberculosis culture from testicular biopsy specimens were also negative. Based on the clinical presentation, the laboratory evaluations, and the histological report, the patient was diagnosed with testicular sarcoidosis. A chest roentgenogram and computed tomography (CT) of the thorax and abdomen were performed to assess other organ involvement; there were no abnormal findings. Prednisone (1 mg/kg daily) was started with a proton pump inhibitor. After 6 months of corticosteroid treatment, all symptoms and signs of acute uveitis disappeared, but testicular masses remained constant, and a diagnosis of iatrogenic Cushing’s syndrome was made. Prednisolone treatment was tapered and discontinued.

Discussion

Sarcoidosis is a chronic inflammatory disease of unknown cause characterized by the formation of non-caseating epitheloid granuloma in various organs. The tests are rarely involved in sarcoidosis, being reported in less than 0.1% of all clinically diagnosed cases [2,4]. To the best of our knowledge, 71 cases of male genitourinary sarcoidosis, including our case, have been reported to date [2,3,5]. Manifestations of genitourinary sarcoidosis include typically painless, unilateral or bilateral, nodular epididymal or testicular enlargements. More than 80% of patients with genitourinary sarcoidosis present with intrathoracic involvement [6]. To our knowledge,
seven cases, including ours, presented without thoracic involvement [2,7]. Sarcoi...and normal seminiferous tubules and interstitium (arrowheads) (H&E x40). B: Non-caseating epithelioid granulomatous lesions in the testes (arrow) (H&E x40).

Figure 4: A: Non-caseating epithelioid granulomatous lesions of testes (arrows) and normal seminiferous tubules and interstitium (arrowheads) (H&E x40). B: Non-caseating epithelioid granulomatous lesions in the testes (arrow) (H&E x40).

Clinical presentation, imaging studies, and laboratory investigations have limited value for differentiating benign from malignant conditions. The most common sonographic finding in testicular sarcoi...masses, as is the case in all the other organs.

Solid testicular masses in children are generally considered malignant until proven otherwise. Inguinal orchiectomy is the primary management option for most patients with a suspicious testicular mass [12]. Unfortunately, nearly one-third of patients with testicular sarcoidosis undergo unnecessary radical orchiectomy [2,3,13–15]. Although corticosteroids remain the principal treatment, side-effects are common with systemic steroids, depending on the dose and length of treatment. In addition, as in our case, some patients with testicular sarcoidosis are unresponsive to steroid treatment [16–18] or relapse when prednisone is stopped or reduced to < 10–15 mg/day [10,19]. The literature is unclear regarding the optimal duration of steroid therapy. Another important issue is that, without treatment, remission occurs in more than 50% of patients within 2–3 years of diagnosis [20]. When all these issues are taken into consideration, it is clear that there is an urgent need for consensus regarding the diagnosis and management of testicular sarcoidosis. The first issue concerns the differentiation of testicular sarcoidosis from malignant lesions, and the second issue pertains to the decision for radical orchiectomy in a patient diagnosed with unilateral or bilateral testicular sarcoidosis. Finally, there are uncertainties regarding the patient subgroups that require steroid treatment as well as the dose and duration of therapy. After a comprehensive review, we reached conclusions regarding all three issues.

In our case, due to diffuse the bilateral involvement of the testes with hypoechoic ill-defined masses, we suspected testicular malignancy, particularly lymphoma. Unfortunately, it is extremely difficult to differentiate testicular malignancies from sarcoidosis based on the clinical presentation and imaging modalities. However, simultaneous testicular cancer plus sarcoidosis has been reported in several cases [20–25] and case series [26–33]. In an epidemiologic study of 2,944 patients with respiratory sarcoidosis, Brincker and Wilbek [26], reported, that malignant lymphoma was 11-fold more prevalent and lung cancer 3-fold more prevalent than would be expected in the general population. In a retrospective study of 1,120 patients with germ cell tumor, nine cases had sarcoidosis in the presence of testicular tumor [27]. A retrospective study from the Mayo Clinic demonstrated a strong association between sarcoidosis and testicular tumors, with an approximately 100-fold increase in sarcoidosis in men with a history of testicular cancer treatment [28]. The study conducted by Asking et al. [30], included the largest cohort (n = 8,541) and found an overall increase in the relative risk for cancer (standardized incidence ratio = 1.3, 95% CI: 1.2–1.4). A review by Paparel et al. [31], noted the coexistence of sarcoidosis and testicular cancer. Hunt et al. [32], reported 21 cases of sarcoidosis accompanied by various malignancies. The most important conclusion from these studies is that sarcoidosis should always be considered in the differential diagnosis of testicular mass.

Clinical presentation, imaging studies, and laboratory investigations have limited value for differentiating benign from malignant conditions. The most common sonographic finding in testicular sarcoidosis is a poorly defined hypoechoic mass, but this is not specific to testicular sarcoidosis. In a series of FDG-PET investigations, the majority of patients with sarcoidosis showed increased FDG uptake at areas of sarcoidosis involvement [34–36], as in our case. FDG-PET may also show intense FDG uptake in testicular tumor cells, and it is therefore unlikely to be a helpful imaging method for differentiating between sarcoi...malignant tumors. The prevalence rate of ANA positivity in systemic sarcoi...masses has been reported to be between 4% and 28% [37,38]. ANA positivity in patients with sarcoidosis may be suggestive of connective tissue disease, with which sarcoi...may share a common immunopathogenic mechanism. Furthermore, mildly or moderately increased serum ACE levels have been reported in untreated active sarcoi..., but such increases cannot be used for diagnostic purposes because ACE elevations can be seen in many diseases [39]. Additionally, in non-seminoma testicular cancers, serum AFP, β-HCG, and LDH levels are elevated in only 50% of patients [40]. On the other hand, as in our case, elevated LDH [15,33,41], AFP, and βHCG [42], levels have been reported in patients with sarcoidosis. For these reasons, tumor markers are neither sensitive nor specific for detecting testicular cancer. All these data indicate that histological examination remains the only sensitive and specific method for discriminating between benign and malignant testicular masses, as is the case in all the other organs.

Open biopsy, through an inguinal approach with intraoperative clamping of the spermatic cord, is preferred because of the potential for malignant diagnoses and to avoid seeding of malignant cells [15]. The other advantage of this method is that it allows for intra-operative frozen section assessment (and potential testicle preservation), but it can...
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progress to radical orchectomy if this assessment suggests malignancy [43]. In our case, frozen assessment revealed non-caseating epitheloid granulomata, ruled out malignant testicular tumors, and prevented unnecessary orchectomy.

Before a definitive diagnosis can be made, numerous other conditions with potentially similar pathologic findings should be ruled out. The differentials of testicular non-caseating epitheloid granulomas include infectious diseases (such as Mycobacterium tuberculosis, brucellosis, Treponema pallidum, Chlamydia trachomatis, and fungi infections), sperm granulomas, Wegener’s granulomatosis [7], and sarcoidosis. Genitourinary tuberculosis is the second most common form of extrapolunmonary tuberculosis after lymph node involvement [44]. It usually presents as a painful scrotal mass, which initially cannot be distinguished clinically from epididymo-orchitis or other mass lesions of the testes. Definitive diagnosis of tuberculosis involves demonstration of M. tuberculosis by microbiological or cytopathological results. Pelvic ultrasound examination is a poor modality for showing genitourinary changes in tuberculosis. In our patient, except for ESR, all the tests for tuberculosis were negative. However, elevated ESR is nonspecific and does not point to a specific disease. All tests for other infectious diseases and Wegener’s granulomatosis were negative. Sperm granulomas are extratesticular, inflammatory lesions that are usually located adjacent to the testis or seminal vesicle and are associated with a history of infection, trauma, or prior surgery; there has been no previous report of this condition in prepubertal children [45]. Because our case was prepubertal, and granulomatous lesions were localized to the intratesticular space, sperm granuloma was ruled out. Testicular sarcoidosis should be suspected when features of sarcoidosis exist elsewhere (e.g., on chest X-ray showing typical lung lesions, characteristic skin lesions, uveitis or conjunctival redness, or elevation of serum ACE or FDG uptake). After a prolonged workup, our patient was diagnosed with testicular sarcoidosis based on acute uveitis, elevated serum ACE level, elevated FDG uptake in the testes, and a characteristic histopathological report. Other inflammatory diseases characterized by epitheloid non-caseating granulomas were excluded.

There have been only two large cohort studies on pediatric sarcoidosis; these included 46 and 41 cases, respectively [46,47]. Interestingly, no genital involvement was reported in either study. However, in our case, the first organ involved was the testis, followed by the eye. Recently, Nathan et al. [47], reported thoracic involvement in 56% of pediatric sarcoidosis patients, with liver and eye involvement in 49% and 39% of cases, respectively. Anterior uveitis is the most common ocular manifestation of sarcoidosis [48]. The signs of anterior uveitis secondary to sarcoidosis include granulomatous keratic precipitates, iris nodules, posterior synechiae, and peripheral anterior synechiae, as in our case. The definitive diagnosis of ocular sarcoidosis is made on the basis of uveitis compatible with sarcoidosis and biopsy of another organ supporting sarcoidosis [49]. We excluded mycobacterial infection during the work up for differentials of testicular non-caseating epitheloid granulomas. In light of our detailed work-up, the eye symptoms and signs in our sarcoidosis patient were suggestive of ocular involvement; all these symptoms and signs disappeared following steroid treatment.

The effect of lesions on the fertility of testicular sarcoidosis patients, as well as the optimal management approach, has been debated. Currently, radical orchectomy is suggested for patients with unilateral testicular sarcoidosis, indeterminate pathological findings, and possible testicular malignancy on a frozen section of the biopsy as well as for those in whom a diagnosis of malignancy is confirmed [50–52]. Before the decision for radical orchectomy, surgical exploration and frozen section assessment may prevent unnecessary orchidectomy and preserve functional testes in patients who desire to be fertile in the future, as in our case. Long-term corticosteroid treatment of various doses has been reported for testicular sarcoidosis cases. Some authors have suggested that corticosteroids are indicated in genitourinary sarcoidosis because they can reduce testicular mass size and pain and improve azospermia [53,54] in contrast, other studies have found that cases with epididymal or testicular involvement were unresponsive to corticosteroid treatment [16–18,55], as in our patient. It is well-known that long-term supraphysiological corticosteroid treatment has numerous side-effects. On the other hand, spontaneous total remission over a period of up to 3 years has been reported in 25–78% of sarcoidosis cases [2,20,46,47]. When all these data are considered together, corticosteroid treatment should be reserved for cases with vital organ involvement (such as the lungs, kidneys, eyes, heart, and central nervous system).

Sarcoidosis of the ovaries is an extremely rare and symptoms are usually nonspecific and presented with the clinical features concerning ovarian tumors [56]. According to our extensive English literature review from 1968 to date, only eleven cases of ovarian sarcoidosis reported [57–67]. To our knowledge, there are no specific radiological findings of genital sarcoidosis. The unusual clinical presentation of ovarian sarcoidosis, and the imaging features mimicking ovarian malignancies, the diagnosis of the previously reported cases of genital tract sarcoidosis was usually made on the basis of the histopathological examination of surgical specimens after the total abdominal hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy (TAH–BSO). The diagnosis of pelvic sarcoidosis has been confirmed by demonstrating non-caseating granulomas in the ovarian cortex, fallopian tubes, uterus, and pelvic lymph nodes, and excluding the other granulomatous inflammatory diseases, such as tuberculosis, coccidiomycosis, lymphphogranuloma inguinale, leprosy, Crohn’s disease, and foreign body reaction [61,63]. To prevent of unnecessary TAH–BSO, especially in re-productive ages, laparoscopic tissue sampling from enlarged pelvic lymph nodes and ovaries for histological examination is the only essential method for forming a differential diagnosis.

In conclusion, sarcoidosis cases with bilateral testicular involvement and poorly defined hypoechic masses can be diagnosed by a combination of clinical presentation, laboratory tests (ACE, AFP, ß–HCH), and frozen section assessment. Frozen section assessment and special staining techniques are the most sensitive and specific methods for differentiating...
testicular masses and can prevent unnecessary orchidectomy; this is important for preventing future fertility issues. Radical orchidectomy should be performed only under special conditions. Testicular non-caseating epitheloid granulomas can also be differentiated by excluding other inflammatory diseases that are characterized by the same histological presentation. Long-term corticosteroid treatment for sarcoidosis should be decided according to the organs involved and is not indicated in all cases.
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