**Research Article**

**Comparison of Biochemical Changes in Kefirs Produced from Organic and Conventional Milk at Different Inoculation Rates of Kefir Grains**

**Abstract**

The purpose of this study was to assess effects of milk variety (organic and conventional) and the inoculation rate (0.2 g 100 g⁻¹ and 0.5 g 100 g⁻¹) as kefir grains on organic acids, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and free amino acids (FAAs) as well as basic chemical composition of kefir. Total organic acids (10.2 g kg⁻¹), total FAA (0.007 g 100 g⁻¹) and VOCs such as fatty acids (0.123 g kg⁻¹) and benzene-containing components (0.066 g kg⁻¹) were higher in conventional milk kefir than those (9.54 g kg⁻¹, 0.006 g kg⁻¹, 0.095 g kg⁻¹ and 0.042 g kg⁻¹, respectively) in organic milk kefir. Increase in inoculation rate of kefir grains was directly proportional to increases in succinic and lactic acids, VOCs acetaldehyde, ethanol, ethyl acetate, benzene derivatives, free fatty acids C₁₃, C₁₅ and total FAAs especially proline, tyrosine, cysteine and valine amino acids. As a result, the most biochemical changes in kefir samples showed considerably differences depending on the both inoculation rate of grains and milk origin, and panelists liked kefir made from organic milk with 0.2% inoculation rate as grains due to probably the low lactic acid (6.43 g kg⁻¹), toluene (0.004 g kg⁻¹), butanoic acid (0.010 g kg⁻¹), total FAA (0.006 g kg⁻¹) and the high carbohydrate (54.84 g kg⁻¹) concentrations.

**Introduction**

Kefir is a fermented milk product which contains high ethanol and CO₂ contents, and has characteristics of sharp acidic taste and yeasty flavour. The word kefir is derived from Turkish language which means “feel good” [1]. For traditional kefir production, kefir grains are directly added to pasteurized milk at 20–25 °C and incubated for 18–22 h. After incubation, kefir grains are removed from the fermented milk for re-use. Kefir grains contain mainly lactic acid bacteria (*Lb. kefiranaeaciens* and *Lb. kefir*), acetic acid bacteria and yeasts (*Kluyveromyces lactis, Kluyveromyces marxianus, Torula kefir, Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) in a protein and polysaccharide matrix which provide fermentation of the product [2].

Due to symbiotic metabolic activity of bacteria and yeasts in microbial flora of kefir grains, kefir has both a unique flavour and complex probiotic properties when compared with yogurt. Lactose fermentation and lactic acid assimilation, lipolysis and proteolysis are important reactions of microbial flora in kefir grains which are responsible for the diverse flavour compounds such as ethanol, CO₂, lactic acid, acetic acid, pyruvic acid and diacetyl reported in kefir [3-6]. The numbers and concentrations of volatile organic compounds, organic acids and free amino acids are responsible for kefir flavour, which are mainly dependent on the ratio of kefir grains added to milk, type of milk used and source of grains [3,6,7]. The effects of two different rates (1% and 5%) of inoculation of kefir grains on microbiological, basic physicochemical and sensory characteristics of the kefir products have been studied [8]. However, to our knowledge, no studies have been available on determination of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), organic acids and free amino acids (FAAs) of kefir made from organic cow milk in comparison with conventional cow milk using kefir grains at different ratios are available in literature. In Turkey the conventional milk compared to organic milk, is very cheap and widely used for home-made kefir using kefir grains as inoculate.

Therefore, the objective of our study was to compare organic cow milk with conventional cow milk with respect to biochemical changes in VOCs, organic acids and FAAs as well as basic chemical composition and overall acceptability of kefir manufactured using two different rates of inoculation of kefir grains by 0.2 g 100 g⁻¹ and 0.5 g 100 g⁻¹.
Material and Methods

Experimental design

The study was conducted in a 3 x 2 x 2 factorial experiment. Three batches of Kefir were manufactured using organic and conventional UHT cow milks. Two levels of Kefir grains as 0.2 g 100 g⁻¹ and 0.5 g 100 g⁻¹ were inoculated in the two different milks. Analyses of all experimental data were determined in duplicates.

Preparation of kefir grains and milks

Kefir grains were provided from Ankara University, Department of Dairy Science (Ankara, Turkey). Certificated organic and conventional UHT (Ultra High Temperature) cows milk with the same brand was obtained from a retail market at Antakya, Hatay, Turkey.

Preparation of chemicals

Organic acid, amino acid, glucose, galactose and lactose standards were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich GmbH (Steinheim, Germany), Fluka (Buchs, France) and Supelco (Bellefonte, PA, USA). The authentic standards of volatile organic compounds were obtained from Merck (Hohenbrunn, Germany) and Fluka, Sigma–Aldrich (Switzerland).

Manufacture of experimental kefirs

The experimental Kefirs were made using the traditional kefir production method by inoculating the Kefir grains directly the two types of milk. Kefir grains were inoculated at the rates of 0.2 g 100 g⁻¹ milk and 0.5 g 100 g⁻¹ milk to organic and conventional cow milks at 25°C. Samples were coded as O-0–Kefir for organic milk kefir with 0.2 g 100 g⁻¹ inoculation rate, O-0.5–Kefir for organic milk with 0.5 g 100 g⁻¹ inoculation rate, O-0.2–Kefir for conventional milk kefir with 0.2 g 100 g⁻¹ inoculation rate and O-0.5–Kefir for conventional milk kefir for 0.5 g 100 g⁻¹ inoculation rate. The inoculated proportion of Kefir grains was decided after preliminary sensory studies for kefir made from organic cow milk. After incubation at 25°C for 20–24 h to pH 4.5, the grains were separated from the fermented milk by filtration through a sieve and were washed with cooled sterile water before they were used for the next kefir production. All produced kefir samples were taken into glass jars (500 g) and stored at 4°C. After one day, the kefir samples were analyzed for biochemical and sensory analysis.

Nutritional and biochemical analyses

Basic nutrients and pH analyses: The total solids, fat, ash and acidity as lactic acid of the kefir samples were analyzed according to methodology recommended by the Association of Official Analytical Chemist Methods [9]. The pH was measured using a pH meter (Orion, Thermo, Beverly, MA, USA). Total nitrogen was measured by the micro-Kjeldahl method [10], using the Gerhardt KB 40S digestion and Vapotest distillation systems (C. Gerhardt, Bonn, Germany).

Organic acids and carbohydrates analyses: Organic acids and carbohydrates were analyzed according to the procedure by Fernandez–García & McGregor [11] in an automated HPLC system (HPLC–20 AD Prominance, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) using an ion exchange column (Aminex HPX–87 H, 300x7.8 mm, BIO–RAD, Hercules, CA, USA). Organic acids and carbohydrates were detected at 210 nm with a UV/VIS detector (SPD–20 AV, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) and refractive Index detector (RID–10A, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), respectively. Linear regression curves based on peak areas were calculated for the individual organic acid and carbohydrate covering a broad range of concentrations.

Volatile compounds analysis: The extraction and characterization of the volatile compounds were carried out by headspace (HS)/solid phase micro-extraction (SPME)/Gas Chromatography (GC)/Mass Spectrometry (MS) analysis, which were able to detect the most volatile compounds. Ten of the kefir samples were immediately transferred in 20 mL head space vial containing 2 g NaCl (Agilent, USA). The vials were sealed using crimp–top caps with TFE/silicone headspace septa (Agilent, USA) and immediately frozen at −20°C until use. Prior to analysis, frozen samples were thawed at 4°C overnight. At the time of solid phase micro–extraction analysis, the vials were placed in a water bath with temperature control and stirring. The sample vials were equilibrated for 30 min at 60°C in water bath then a 70 μm Carboxen/PDMS (Supelco, Bellefonte PA., USA) fibre was exposed to the sample headspace for 40 min at 60°C. Several preliminary tests were carried out to optimize solid phase micro–extraction (SPME) system. Identification and calculation of volatile compounds were performed according to procedure described by Güler et al. [12] and Güler and Gürsoy–Balcı [13], respectively.

Amino acids composition analysis: Amino acid composition was analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC–20 AD Prominance, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) using method advised by the manufacturer (Shimadzu) with the modifications proposed by Aristoy and Toldrá [14], which involves pre–column derivatization with phenylisothiocyanate. Separation and detection were carried out with Mediterranean Sea 18 column (3 μm 15 cm x 0.46 cm, Teknokroma, Barcelona, Spain) and UV detector at 254 nm (SPD–20 AV, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), respectively. Solvents used for the separation were: sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.0 (solvent A) and acetonitrile (solvent B). Gradient conditions were: initial= 100% A, 1 min= 95% A, 5 min= 90% A, 12 min= 65% A, 16 min= 55% A, 19 min= 30% A, 24,01 min= 95% A. HPLC oven temperature was 40°C and total flow rate of solvent was 0.9 mL/min. The working solutions of amino acid standards were prepared at the six different concentrations. Linear regression curves based on peak areas were calculated for the individual amino acids.

Sensory analysis: Sensory quality and acceptability of all experimental kefir samples were analyzed by 15 panelists. By using a 9-point hedonic sale (1 = dislike extremely, 5 = neither like nor dislike, 9 = like extremely), the sensory scores of the kefir products were evaluated for overall acceptability for the samples with respect to taste, odor and appearance.
Table 1: Carbohydrate and organic acid concentrations (g kg⁻¹) of Kefir samples made using kefir grains at different proportions. (Values are means±SD), (n=6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0.2-O Kefir§</th>
<th>0.5-O Kefir§</th>
<th>P≤</th>
<th>0.2-C Kefir‡</th>
<th>0.5-C Kefir‡</th>
<th>P≤</th>
<th>P‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carbohydrates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose</td>
<td>52.79 ± 0.15</td>
<td>51.98 ± 0.60</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>50.67 ± 1.8</td>
<td>48.30 ± 0.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.01</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.81 ± 0.02</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.01</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.006</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>54.84</td>
<td>53.89</td>
<td></td>
<td>52.82</td>
<td>50.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organic acids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.06</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.04</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvic (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>7.19 ± 0.93</td>
<td>17.19 ± 1.75</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>6.46 ± 1.12</td>
<td>4.38 ± 0.38</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.56 ± 1.88</td>
<td>3.78 ± 0.86</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>4.03 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.64 ± 0.82</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succinic</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.03</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.02</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactic</td>
<td>6.43 ± 0.23</td>
<td>6.70 ± 0.58</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>7.42 ± 0.19</td>
<td>8.31 ± 0.08</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.36</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.29</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.20</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.15</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.01</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.03</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionic</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.00</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.02</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>10.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

§, Kefir produced from organic milk inoculated 0.2% and 0.5% kefir grains as culture; ‡Kefir produced from conventional milk; * Significance at between inoculate ratios; †Significance at between milk varieties; NS, not significant; *P≤0.05, **P≤0.01.

of Iriogoyen et al. [8] and Leite et al. [18]. This may be due to the low inoculation rate and grains origin. Formic and succinic acids were found for the first time in kefir. Organic milk kefir with high citric acid contained significantly (P ≤ 0.05) high succinic acid when compared with conventional milk kefir. This result confirmed that succinic acid could be formed as a consequence of citrat metabolism by yeasts and probably some heterofermentative Lactobacillus strains since yeasts such as S. cerevisiae disrupting in succinate dehydrogenase enzyme in the absence of oxygen can dramatically accumulate succinate via citrate [20]. Regardless of the variety of milk, inoculation rate of kefir grains resulted in significant (P ≤ 0.05, P ≤ 0.01) increases in lactic and succinic acids.

Variations in the volatile organic compound (VOCs)

A total of 19 VOCs were identified by SPME/GC/MS: 5 benzene-containing compounds, 6 acids, 4 ketones, 2 alcohols, 1 ester and 1 aldehyde (Figure 1). Volatile compound profiles of kefir samples were similar each other. Most of these compounds can derive from more than one metabolic pathway; precursors may be amino acids derived from proteolysis as well as fatty acids from lipolysis or carbohydrates (lactose, citrate, and lactate) [21]. As presented in Figure 1a, acetaldehyde, ethanol, ethyl acetate and pentanol were significantly (P ≤ 0.05) influenced by the both inoculation rate of kefir grains and the variety of milk. Ethanol was primary volatile compound, ranging from 0.26 g kg⁻¹ to 0.29 g kg⁻¹ kefir. An increase in kefir grains as inoculate resulted in increases in acetaldehyde, ethanol and ethyl acetate concentrations. This could be attributed to the increase in yeast number in kefir grains since they can form as secondary metabolite from yeast growth [6,8]. The levels of acetaldehyde, ethanol and ethyl acetate were lower than the values obtained from kefir made using 5% and 3% kefir grains as inoculate by Magalhães et al. [22] and Leite et al. [18], respectively.

As for ketones (Figure 1b), inoculation rate of kefir grains did not significantly effect on ketone concentrations of kefir samples. However, ketones 2-heptanone and 2-nonenone which originate from the oxidation of the FFA (octanoic and decanoic acids, respectively) by microbial metabolism [23] were significantly (P ≤ 0.01) higher in organic milk kefir samples than conventional milk kefir. Acetoin concentrations of kefir samples were slightly lower than that reported by Grønnevik et al. [6], but both acetoin and diacetyl were higher than values found by Aghlara et al. [5] and Beshkova et al. [4]. This could be attributed to the kefir grains origin, their inoculation rate, use of kefir grains, mother culture or bulk culture as starter and kefir manufacturing conditions. Diacetyl content in all the samples was considerably lower than acetoin, which could be reduced to acetoin by the microbial flora in kefir grains.

Although milk samples used for kefir manufacturing had similar fat concentrations (30 g kg⁻¹), fatty acids concentrations, that is, lipolysis was significantly higher in conventional milk kefir than in organic milk kefir (Figure 1c). Higher C₁₀ and C₁₂ fatty acid concentrations in conventional milk kefir could be related to the action of both lipoprotein lipase (LPL) and microbial lipases or initial high fatty acids; in particular, LPL shows specificity toward sn-3 position of triacylglycerides, in which short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) are predominantly esterified [23].

Higher concentrations (with value of 0.66 g kg⁻¹) of benzen-containing compounds were found in conventional milk kefir (Fig.1d). Aromatic hydrocarbons do not make a major contribution to aroma, although they may serve as precursor for the formation of other aromatic compounds. Phenyl derivatives and styrene can be formed from amino acids like Phe and Tyr by Strecker degradation [21] or benzene and toluen are a normal component of milk [24] and also could originate from the degradation of the carotene in the milk [25]. Higher content of phenyl ethanol found in organic milk kefir was consistent with the higher content of the corresponding amino acid Phe found in its. The inoculation rate of kefir grains affected significantly (P ≤ 0.05) benzene derivatives in conventional milk whereas it did not effect on those, except for benzeneethanol, in organic milk kefir. This could be related to the microbial activity in kefir grains depending on initial media.

Free amino acid (FAA) contents

The changes in FAAs are shown in Figure 2. From a quantitative point of view, proline (range in values of 1219–1352 mmol kg⁻¹), alanine (394–544 mmol kg⁻¹), asparagine (457–485 mmol kg⁻¹), lysine (472–501 mmol kg⁻¹), arginine (364–586 mmol kg⁻¹), cysteine (314–569 mmol kg⁻¹), tyrosine (340–392 mmol kg⁻¹) and valine (223–374 mmol kg⁻¹), glutamine (240–296 mmol kg⁻¹) were found to be the major FAAs in all the kefir samples. Liutkevicius and Sarkinas [26] reported the presence of mainly tryptophan, valine, lysine, methionine, phenylalanine, threonine, and isoleucine in fermented kefir. The main amino acids in kefir samples are presumably not required by the lactic acid bacteria and yeasts and/or they can be produced from proteins by acetic acid bacteria and yeasts with existence lactic acid and thus accumulate in larger quantities in kefir samples [27]. There are some conflicting reports on the distribution of free amino acids in kefir in terms of their amounts. Grønnevik et al. [6] found that glutamic acid was the most abundant amino acid in kefir. According to Simova et al. [28], Lactobacillus bulgaricus HP1 and Lactobacillus helveticus MP12 isolated from kefir starter had produced mainly proline as well as glutamic acid, and alanine was the second most abundant amino acid. This could be attributed to the origin of grains, the variety of milk and inoculation rate of grains depending on milk medium since proline, tyrosine, cysteine and valine amino acids increased significantly (P ≤ 0.05) in 0.5–C–Kefir compared with the other kefir samples. Regardless of inoculation rate of grains, organic milk kefir had arginine, alanine, glycine, leucine amino acids at significantly (P ≤ 0.05) higher levels, and asparagine, valine, cysteine and tryptophan at lower levels than conventional milk kefir. Glutamic acid and methionine, is derived from cysteine and also aspartate, were no detected in organic milk kefir. Glutamic acid can be decarboxylated to γ-amino butyric acid (GABA) during fermentation [29]. The initial concentration of methionine can be low in organic milk since both methionine and cysteine, and also aspartate were high in conventional kefir. Total free amino acid content with the mean value of 0.0072 g

kg kg\(^{-1}\)) was higher in conventional milk kefir than that (0.0063 g kg\(^{-1}\)) in organic milk kefir. This result was consistent with titratable acidity since fermented milk products with higher lactic acid concentrations are proved to high amino acid levels [27]. Total free amino acid concentrations of kefir samples in present study were higher than value (0.0057 g kg\(^{-1}\)) reported by Simova et al. [28].

**Overall sensory acceptability**

On account of sensory analysis, kefir made from organic milk with 0.2% inoculation rate was obtained the highest score (with value of 7.4±1.3) by the panelists, followed by 0.5–0–Kefir (5.5±0.6), 0.5–C–Kefir (4.6±0.8) and 0.2–C–Kefir (3.4±1.2). The panelists preferred kefir with low lactic acid (6.43 g kg\(^{-1}\)), butanoic acid (0.001 g kg\(^{-1}\)), toluen (0.0044 g kg\(^{-1}\)), total FAA (0.006 g kg\(^{-1}\)), and high total carbohydrate (54.84 g kg\(^{-1}\)), when compared with the other kefir samples. It is noteworthy that these chemical parameters may be essential for kefir overall acceptability.

**Conclusions**

Biochemical changes in kefir samples at the end of the fermentation process showed that differences were dependent on the types of milk and inoculation rate of kefir grains. Effect of inoculation rate on biochemical changes was more pronounced in conventional milk than that in organic milk. Regardless of inoculation rate of kefir grains, the organic milk kefir had higher acetic, pyruvic, succinic and propionic organic acids, but lower lactic acid than the conventional milk kefirs. Panelists preferred the organic milk kefir made using 0.2 g 100 g\(^{-1}\) inoculation rate probably to low organic acid and total free amino acid, and high carbohydrate concentrations. Further study may be needed to determine microbial identification and its count in kefir samples as a long-term goal of this research.
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Research Highlights

Organic milk kefir had high succinic, acetic, propyonic and pyruvic acid contents. Increase in inoculation rate increased in acetaldehyde, ethanol and ethyl acetate. Conventional milk kefir had high free fatty acids and benzene-containing compounds. High inoculation rate increased in proline, tyrosine, cysteine and valin levels. Panelists liked organic milk kefir made using 0.2% kefir grains as inoculate.
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