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Abstract

Background: The classic pathologies seen in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) are amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles, but synapse and spine loss have been recognised as new pathologies. Microtubules are thought to be less plentiful in spines, so it has been thought that spine shape change and molecular transportation in spines is performed mainly by actin. However, reports of the intraspinal invasion of microtubules, alternative mechanisms require investigation. Microtubule-associated protein 1B has microtubule conserving and polymerising effects and is overexpressed in Fragile X syndrome, in which spines are thin and elongated. Fragile X protein is an mRNA-binding protein and as mRNA is transported along microtubules as RNA granules by kinesine family, we suspected that Fragile X protein is conjugated with kinesin family tail and RNA granules. As a result, the mutation of this protein may cause impairment of mRNA transport to spines. This could result in low local protein synthesis in spines that may induce thin spines, and finally inducing MAP1B overexpression by a negative feedback mechanism. As a result, intraspinal microtubules may be elongated and spines may be elongated. It is speculated that the polymerisation of these intraspinal microtubules by MAP1B may restore spine integrity and rescue AD symptoms, however, this has not yet been proven.

Method: We injected a Map1b-lentivirus chimera to the hemi-hippocampus of AD-model mice. The spatial working memory was assessed by the Y-maze and compared with non-injected mice. The change in spines by MAP1B overexpression in cultured neurons was investigated.

Results: The overexpression of Map1b to the hemi-hippocampus of AD model mice rescues memory impairment. Spatial working memory assessed by the Y-maze in injected mice improved to almost normal levels within 2 days of the injection. The overexpression produced microtubule-dense remarkably enlarged spines in the cultured neurons. Map1b-lentivirus chimera injection also restored reduced postsynaptic densities in AD model mice, as assessed by protein immunoblots.

Conclusions: These results suggest that MAP1B-dependent intraspinal microtubules may enhance the structural integrity of spines, restoring spine shrinkage, improving the bidirectional transportation of memory-facilitating molecules, and rescuing memory impairment in AD model mice.
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AD: Alzheimer’s Disease; MAP1B: Microtubule-Associated Protein 1B; MAPs: Microtubule-Associated Proteins; APP: Amyloid Precursor Protein; PS1: Presenilin 1; MMRRC: Mutant Mouse Regional Resource Center; PME: PIPES, MgCl2, EGTA buffer; GFP: Green Fluorescent Protein; PSD 95-YFP: Postsynaptic Density 95-Yellow Fluorescent Protein; SEM: Standard Error of the Mean; DIV: DAY in vitro; PSD: Postsynaptic Density; HOMER1; Homer Scaffolding Protein 1;

Introduction

The classic pathologies seen in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) are amyloid plaques [1] and neurofibrillary tangles [2], but synapse and spine loss [3-5], have been recognised as new pathologies. The mechanism of new pathologies in AD requires investigation. It has been thought that few microtubules are present in dendritic spines, with many in dendritic shafts and axons [6]. We suspected that intraspinal microtubules may be very unstable, and would only be observed if we used a microtubule-preserving fixation technique. Using acute hippocampal slices, long term potentiation (LTP)-producing stimulation, microtubule-preserving fixation [7] and electron microscopy, we previously found and reported, for the first time, the existence of stimulation-dependent intraspinal microtubules, which conjugate dendritic shafts and postsynaptic densities after stimulation [8-11]. Three other labs

repeated this finding [12–14]. These other labs mostly used live cultured neurons and microscopy to show the temporal entry of microtubules into spines.

Microtubule–associated proteins (MAPs) form regularly spaced projections from microtubules and consist of tau, MAP1A/MAP1B, and MAP2. MAPs have microtubule conserving and polymerising effects, and their phosphorylation causes depolymerisation of microtubules. MAP1B has been thought to reside mainly in axons, but has recently been reported to also be present in dendrites and spines [15].

Fragile X syndrome is a disorder often associated with intellectual deficit. In the neurons of Fragile X syndrome patients, dendritic spines are thin and elongated, and MAP 1B reside mainly in axons, but has recently been reported to also be present in dendrites and spines [15].

Materials and Methods
Animal preparation
All animal procedures followed the National Institutes of Health guidelines, and all procedures were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Education and Research Centre of Animal Models for Human Diseases in Fujita Health University. The experimental protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Fujita Health University. To ascertain whether MAP1B could be used as a therapeutic agent for AD, we used Tg6799 mice as a mouse model. This model has Map1b overexpression [18]. Fragile X protein is an mRNA-binding protein [16], and as mRNA is transported along microtubules as RNA granules by kinesine family [17], we suspected that Fragile X protein is conjugated with kinesin family tail and RNA granules. As a result, the mutation of this protein may cause impairment of mRNA transport to spines. This could result in low local protein synthesis in spines that may induce thin spines, and finally inducing MAP1B overexpression by a negative feedback mechanism. As a result intraspinal microtubules may be elongated and spines may be elongated. We aimed to determine what controls intraspinal microtubules, with MAPs being the most promising contenders. We hypothesised that MAP1B overexpression in the hippocampus may rescue the symptoms of AD by restoring spine loss or shrinkage.

Map1b-lentivirus chimera-injection
It has been reported that amyloid deposition starts very early (from 2 months) and that poor performance in the Y-maze test can be seen from 4–5 months of age in APP/PS1 double transgenic AD model mouse [18]. The transgenic AD mouse [18], purchased from MMRRC, were anesthetized with isoflurane (2–3 % for maintenance). These APP/PS1 double transgenic mice co-express five Familiar Alzheimer’s Disease mutations. Each animal’s head was restrained and 1 μl of Map1b-lentivirus chimera solution was stereotaxically microinjected into the right hippocampus using mice-head fixation and microinjection system (Narisige, Tokyo, Japan). The injections were administered 2 mm laterally from the bregma, 2 mm posteriorly from the bregma, and 1 mm from the brain surface.

Y-maze test
Memory impairment was assessed using the Y-maze test (Map1b-lentivirus chimera-injected Tg6799 mice, n = 9; control Tg6799 mice, n = 10). The Y-maze parameters are as follows: size of arms, 40 cm; height, 10 cm (Muromachi Machine, Tokyo, Japan). There was no trial run. The temperature was controlled at 21°C. When each mouse is put in the center of the Y-maze, it begins searching. Normal mice tend to search a new arm after searching one arm. The number of arms entered in 8 minutes is counted. The number of good sets, visiting the three different arms (for example ABC), is divided by the number of good + bad three sets (e.g. ACA) during the 8-minute session. This is then multiplied by 100 to give the alternation percentage. When the mouse did not perform the searching action, the data were excluded.

Primary culture and transfection
Twenty-three 18-day-old C57BL/6 normal pregnant mice (SLC, Hamamatsu, Japan) (wild type mice of the same strain as the AD model mouse) were used. The mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. The hippocampi were removed, dissected, and primary cultured on the bottom of 4–slide culture chambers (Corning Incorporated, New York, USA) as described elsewhere [19]. At DIV14, 2 μl of Map1b-lentivirus chimera solution was added to each chamber. At DIV18, the cells were fixed using a microtubule-preserving fixation system [7] as follows: 8% paraformaldehyde was dissolved by heating in PIPES, MgCl2, EGTA (PME) buffer consisting of 0.1 M PIPES (pH 6.9), 1 mM MgCl2, and 1 mM EGTA at 100°C. Glycerol (microtubule-stabilizer) was added to a final concentration of 30% of the paraformaldehyde solution. Finally, Paclitaxel (microtubule-stabilizer, 1 mg/100 ml; Wako Pure Chemical, Osaka, Japan) was added. The room temperature was maintained at 37°C to avoid cooling of the specimens. Sufficient fixative solution was maintained at 37°C in a water bath to also avoid cooling the specimens. Prefixative opening of the CO2 chamber door for cell observation was avoided, lest the shape and molecular distribution supported by the microtubules be lost. At DIV17, GFP or PSD95–YFP were transfected as described [20]. As transfection controls of GFP and PSD–95–YFP, we performed the same protocol with no cDNA, resulting almost no expression (data not shown). As transfection controls for the Map1b-lentivirus chimera, we used Map1b alone, and no enlarged spines were seen (data not shown).

Imaging
Fluorescence microscopy was performed using an A1 confocal microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) and a BX-51 polarising microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). After cells cultured on 4–slide culture chambers were separately transfected with GFP or PSD95–YFP, cells in every chamber were fixed and immunostained using an anti–tubulin antibody (mouse, DM1A, x100, Sigma, Tokyo, Japan) and anti–mouse Alexa 594 (x100, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., MA, USA) as described [21]. After the immunostaining, the side walls of 4–slide culture chambers were removed and cells were mounted using coverslips and ProLong Diamond (Thermo Fisher Scientifie Inc., MA, USA) mounting solution.
Fisher Scientific, MA). Imaging experiments using cultured neurons were repeated more than three times.

**DNA construction**

Mouse Map1b was cloned from a mouse genome library which was produced from polyA RNA and Map1b sequence was verified. Mouse Map1b was conjugated with the lentiviral vector using Lenti-X™ 293T Cell Line and Lenti-X HTX Packaging System (Takara Bio Inc, Shiga, Japan).

**Immunoblot analysis**

Four Tg6799 mice were anesthetized with isoflurane. The brains were removed and the hippocampi were dissected for biochemical analysis. For immunoblotting, each hippocampus was homogenised in the same volume of 4× Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Tokyo, Japan) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Calbiochem, Darmstadt, Germany) in a 1 ml Eppendorf tube. A 10–20 μl aliquot of each sample was run on 12% mini–protean TGX gels (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Tokyo, Japan), the membranes were incubated in the following primary antibodies: HOMER1 rabbit polyclonal antibody (1:500; Proteintech Group Inc., Rosemont, IL, USA) and anti-tubulin (1:10,000; DM1A, Sigma, St. Louis, MO), which was used as an internal control. After that, the membranes were incubated with peroxidase–conjugated secondary antibodies (Amersham), and signals detected by Image Quant 400 (GE Health Care, Buckinghamshire, England). We tested several antibodies as markers of the postsynaptic density, before selecting HOMER1 rabbit polyclonal antibody for our investigations. Quantitative band patterns were obtained using ImageJ (NIH).

**Data analysis**

All data are expressed as means ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical analyses for two–sample comparisons were performed by a two–tailed Student’s t–test or one–way analysis of variance following estimation of least significant differences.

**Results**

**Effect of hemi-hippocampal MAP1B injection on memory impairment measured by Y-maze test in Tg6799 mice (AD model mice)**

We injected a Map1b-lentivirus chimera to the hemi-hippocampus (right side) of nine Tg6799 mice at 101 days old. The spatial working memory of injected mice was assessed by spontaneous alternation in the Y-maze (n = 9) and compared with non-injected Tg6799 mice (n = 10). Memory deficits in the Tg6799 mice were improved by MAP1B overexpression. Both the injected and non-injected Tg6799 mice showed poorer alternation performance in the Y-maze the day before the injection (slightly above 50% chance levels). However, the MAP1B treated group showed a remarkable improvement in alternation performance in the Y-maze during the week following the injection (Figure 1). This improvement appears to reduce with time in the injected group.

The change in spines resulting from MAP1B overexpression in cultured neurons of wild type mice

To clarify the mechanisms by which memory deficits are improved by MAP1B overexpression, we investigated the change in spines resulting from MAP1B overexpression in cultured neurons of wild type mice from the same strain as the model mice (C57/BL6). At DIV14, 2 μl of Map1b–lentivirus chimera solution was added to each cultured chamber (Figure 2A–C) and at DIV18, cells were fixed using a microtubule–preserving fixation method [7]. At DIV17, GFP (green fluorescent protein) (Figure 2A,B,D, and E) or PSD (postsynaptic density)–95 (representative protein of postsynaptic density which localizes to the top of the spines)–YFP (yellow fluorescent protein) (Figure 2C) was transfected into the cells. After 4 days of incubation with the Map1b–lentivirus chimera, remarkable protrusions of dendrites appeared (Figure 2A,B), compared with the negative controls, which had normal spines as delineated by GFP (Figure 2D,E). In cells co-transfected with PSD95–YFP and Map1b–lentivirus chimera, remarkable protrusions were slightly delineated by PSD–95–YFP. This indicates that Map1b is overexpressed in these cells. Furthermore, PSD–95–YFP high density spots were present in the protrusions (Figure 2C), suggesting that the protrusions of dendrites appearing...
following Map1b-lentivirus chimera administration may be enlarged spines.

**The parts of the shaft microtubules participate in filling enlarged spines**

To investigate the relationship between microtubules and enlarged spines, cells co-transfected with GFP and a Map1b-lentivirus chimera were simultaneously stained with anti-tubulin antibody. The normal dendritic shaft was densely stained with anti-tubulin antibody. However, in the enlarged spines, dendritic shafts had lesser tubulin staining than normal dendritic shafts. The domes of enlarged spines were moderately stained by tubulin antibody (Figure 3).

We speculate that in the vicinity of enlarged spines, packed normal shaft microtubules may be dispersed laterally as intraspinal microtubules, resulting in reduced staining of dendritic shaft microtubules. This lateral invasion of microtubules may cause spine enlargement. In negative controls using mouse serum as the primary antibody, there was almost no staining of microtubules. A staining defect seen in GFP-transfection or tubulin staining in an enlarged spine may be concentrated PSD–95, because in the enlarged spines delineated by GFP, staining defects and concentrated PSD–95 were sometimes colocalized (Figure 2).

This suggests that parts of the shaft microtubules participate in filling enlarged spines. The force of the lateral invasion of shaft microtubules may cause the structural changes leading to the formation of enlarged spines. This agrees with our previous electron microscopic data [8]. LTP-inducing stimulation introduced intraspinal microtubules emerged from the dendritic shaft to the postsynaptic membranes in acute hippocampal slices [8].

![Figure 3. Dendritic shaft microtubules may participate in the formation of enlarged spines. The spine is remarkably dilated, as delineated by GFP, indicating that this cell was transfected with the Map1b-lentivirus chimera (A). Simultaneous staining with anti-tubulin antibody. Arrow heads indicate the boundary of densely stained microtubules (B). Schematic of how the shaft microtubules participate in forming enlarged spines (C). An arrow may be the staining defect by postsynaptic density, because staining defects and concentrated PSD–95 were sometimes colocalized. Red lines indicate microtubules. (Scale bars, 4 μm in all panels).](image)

**Reduced postsynaptic density levels in the hippocampus of AD model mice were recovered by hippocampal MAP1B injection**

In both AD patients and AD model mice, including the model mice we used here [18], it has been reported that postsynaptic density is reduced [22]. As it has been reported that many proteins that localise to the postsynaptic site, that is, to dendritic spines, decrease in AD, the dendritic spine is a candidate for the initial location of AD pathology. We investigated whether administration of the Map1b-lentivirus chimera to the hemi-hippocampus of AD model mice causes recovery of the postsynaptic density. We tested several antibodies against PSD proteins, including PSD–95, and only an antibody against Homer Scaffolding Protein 1 (HOMER1) was successful, so we used this in subsequent investigations. HOMER1 localises to the postsynaptic site so we used and antibody against this to indicate postsynaptic densities. Seven days after injection of the Map1b-lentivirus chimera to the right hippocampus, during which time the MAP1B overexpression occurs, HOMER1 levels in the bilateral hippocampi were detected by western blotting. HOMER1 levels were recovered by hippocampal Map1b injection (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

The effectiveness of hippocampal MAP1B overexpression in rescuing memory impairment in AD model mice was transient. Large amounts of Amyloid (Aβ) may have been accumulating during this time in these AD model mice, as they have five mutations in APP and PS1. We speculate that the rescue effect of hippocampal MAP1B overexpression on memory impairment may be overcome by accumulation of Aβ. Taking into account the differences in the time frame and levels of amyloid beta production and the life spans of humans and the AD model mice used here, we speculate that this could be a new therapeutic strategy with considerable efficacy and duration for the treatment of AD.

To clarify the mechanisms of hippocampal MAP1B overexpression against memory impairment in normal neurons, we used primary cultures of wild type mice of the same strain as the transgenic AD model mice. We found that remarkable spine enlargement was induced by MAP1B overexpression. As recent reports strongly suggest the transportation of plasticity-promoting molecules to the stimulated postsynaptic membrane in normal memory formation [23, 24] and that spine loss or shrinkage is thought to be an important factor in AD pathology [3–5], this MAP1B–induced spine enlargement may be the key mechanism for rescuing memory impairment in AD.

To observe how spines are enlarged by MAP1B overexpression, enlarged spines and normal dendritic shafts were co-stained with tubulin antibodies (Figure 3). It was suggested that the MAP1B overexpression may worked for the spine enlargement via microtubules polymerization, because these results suggest that Map1b injection into the hippocampus may reverse spine shrinkage and have a positive effect on memory impairment in AD model mice.

MAPs have the microtubules polymerizing effect. Our previous electron microscopy report [8], used acute hippocampal slices, LTP-producing stimulation, and microtubule-preserving fixation, demonstrated the emergence of stimulation-dependent intraspinral microtubules from dendritic shafts to postsynaptic membranes. This may help the interpretation of these findings, suggesting that dendritic shaft microtubules may participate in the formation of enlarged spines.

Here we have demonstrated that the reduction of the postsynaptic density protein HOMER1 in AD model mice is reversed by hippocampal MAP1B overexpression. This may support the finding that MAP1B overexpression enlarges spines in cultured neurons and that MAP1B overexpression improves memory impairment in AD model mice.

In the 1990s, two big discoveries in memory formation were made: One was that transportation of plasticity-promoting molecules to the postsynaptic membrane is essential for memory formation [23, 24]. However, this transportation must go only to the stimulated synapse [25]. The other is that memory formation is associated with transcriptional activation, indicating that signals need to be transported from the synapse to the nucleus [26].

The reports that Amyloid-β peptide binds to MAP1B [27], impaired hippocampal long-term potentiation in MAP1B-deficient mice [28] may support our findings.

**Conclusion**

The findings of this study suggest the possibility that a new therapy for AD, using hippocampal MAP1B overexpression, may not only restore shrunken spines but also restore the bidirectional transport between the stimulated postsynaptic membrane and the nucleus.
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